UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD ______

LG ELECTRONICS, INC. and LG ELECTRONICS U.S.A., INC. Petitioner

V .

GESTURE TECHNOLOGY PARTNERS LLC Patent Owner

Case No. IPR2022-00092 U.S. Patent No. 8,878,949

PETITION FOR INTER PARTES REVIEW OF U.S. PATENT NO. 8,878,949



TABLE OF CONTENTS

					Page			
I.	INTF	RODUCTION						
II.	SUMMARY OF THE '949 PATENT							
	A.	The '949 Patent's Alleged Invention						
	B.	The '949 Patent's Prosecution						
	C.	Overview of the Proposed Grounds						
	D.	A Person Having Ordinary Skill in the Art5						
III.	REQUIREMENTS FOR IPR UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 42.104							
	A.							
	B.	Chall	lenge U	Under 37 C.F.R. § 42.104(B) and Relief Requested	6			
	C.	Claim Construction Under 37 C.F.R. § 42.104(B)(3)						
IV.	THE CHALLENGED CLAIMS ARE UNPATENTABLE1							
	A. Ground 1: Claims 1-18 are obvious under pre-AIA 35 U.S.C 103 over Numazaki in view of Nonaka				10			
		1.	Over	view of Numazaki	10			
		2.	Over	view of Nonaka	17			
		3.	Moti	vation to Combine Numazaki and Nonaka	19			
			a.	Claim 1	24			
			b.	Claim 2	32			
			c.	Claim 3	35			
			d.	Claim 4	36			
			e.	Claim 5	36			
			f.	Claim 6	39			
			g.	Claim 7	41			
			h.	Claim 8	41			
			i.	Claim 10	42			
			j.	Claim 11	42			
			k.	. Claim 12	45			
			1.	Claim 13	45			



TABLE OF CONTENTS (Continued)

				Pag	ţе		
			m.	Claim 144	7		
			n.	Claim 154	7		
			о.	Claim 164	7		
			p.	Claim 174	7		
			q.	Claim 184	7		
	В.	U.S.	and 2: Claims 6, 12, and 17 are obvious under pre-AIA 35 C. § 103 over Numazaki in view of Nonaka and in further of Aviv				
		1.		rview of Aviv4			
		2.		ivation to Modify Numazaki in view of Nonaka and in ner view of Aviv5	50		
			a.	Claim 65	3		
			b.	Claim 125	3		
			c.	Claim 175	3		
V.	DISC	DISCRETIONARY CONSIDERATIONS					
	A.	The Fintiv Factors Favor Institution54					
		1.	The	Fintiv factors strongly favor institution5	i 4		
			a.	Whether the court granted a stay or evidence exists that one may be granted if a proceeding is instituted5	54		
			b.	Proximity of the court's trial date to the Board's projected statutory deadline for a final written decision.	55		
			c.	Investment in the parallel proceeding by the court and the parties5	56		
			d.	Overlap between issues raised in the petition and in the parallel proceeding5	6		
			e.	Whether the petitioner and the defendant in the parallel proceeding are in the same party5	57		
			f.	Other circumstances that impact the Board's exercise of discretion, including the merits5	57		



TABLE OF CONTENTS (Continued)

					Page	
		2.	The Fintiv Framework Should Be Overturned			
			a.	The Fintiv framework exceeds the Director's authority	58	
			b.	The Fintiv framework is arbitrary and capricious	60	
		3.	The I	Fintiv framework was impermissibly adopted without		
			notic	e-and-comment rulemaking	61	
VI.	CON	CLUSION				
VII.	MANDATORY NOTICES UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 42.8(A)(1)					
	A.	Real Party-In-Interest				
	B.	Relat	Related Matters			
	\mathbf{C}	Lead	and B	ack-Un Counsel	62.	



I. INTRODUCTION

Petitioner LG Electronics, Inc. and LG Electronics U.S.A. Inc. ("Petitioner") requests an *Inter Partes* Review ("IPR") of claims 1–18 (the "Challenged Claims") of U.S. Patent No. 8,878,949 ("the '949 Patent"). This petition is substantively the same as IPR2021-00921 (which is currently pending institution), and is being filed concurrently with a motion for joinder with respect to that proceeding.

II. SUMMARY OF THE '949 PATENT

A. The '949 Patent's Alleged Invention

Generally directed to digital imaging, the '949 Patent seeks to automate the process of taking a picture by analyzing the scene and capturing an image when "certain poses of objects, sequences of poses, motions of objects, or any other states or relationships of objects are represented." '949 Patent (Ex. 1001), 1:50-2:8. The patent describes a number of different scenarios that, when detected, cause the camera to capture an image. Some examples include detecting (1) a "[s]ubject in a certain pose," (2) a "[s]ubject in a sequence of poses," (3) a "[p]ortion of [s]ubject in a sequence of poses (e.g., gestures)," (4) a "[s]ubject or portion(s) in a specific location or orientation," (5) a "[s]ubject in position relative to another object or person" such as a "bride and groom kissing in a wedding," and (6) "a subject undertak[ing] a particular signal comprising a position or gesture" such as "raising one's right hand." *Id.* at 5:30-49. Only gestures are claimed,



DOCKET

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

