IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS WACO DIVISION

TRAXCELL TECH	NOLOGIES, INC.,	
	Plaintiff,	Civil Action No. 6:21-cv-00074-ADA
v.		
APPLE INC.,		JURY TRIAL DEMANDED
	Defendant.	

DEFENDANT APPLE INC.'S OPPOSED MOTION TO STAY ACTION PENDING FINAL DISPOSITION OF RELATED PROCEEDINGS BEFORE THE FEDERAL CIRCUIT COURT OF APPEALS



TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	INTRODUCTION	1
	FACTUAL BACKGROUND	
III.	LEGAL STANDARD	2
IV.	ARGUMENT	
	A. A Stay Will Conserve Judicial Resources and Simplify Issues	5
	B. Apple May Incur Unnecessary Costs and Economic Harm Absent a Stay	9
	C. A Stay Will Not Prejudice Traxcell	9
V.	CONCLUSION	10

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

rage(s
Cases
Adaptix Inc. v. Pantech Wireless, Inc., Case No. 6:13-cv-00778-CMC, 2016 WL 7364674 (E.D. Tex. Jan 12, 2016)
Astec Am., Inc. v. Power-One, Case No. 6:07-cv-00464-JDL, 2008 WL 11441994 (E.D. Tex. July 15, 2008)7, 8,
Carder v. Cont'l Airlines, Inc., 595 F. App'x 293 (5th Cir. 2014)
In re Google Inc., 588 Fed. App'x. 988 (Fed. Cir. 2014) (unpublished)
Ikorongo Texas LLC v. LG Electronics Inc., Case No. 6:20-cv-00257-ADA, Doc. No. 104 (W.D. Tex. July 7, 2021)
Lighthouse Consulting Grp., LLC v. Ally Fin. Inc., Case No. 19-cv-00592-ADA, 2020 WL 6365538 (W.D. Tex. Mar. 25, 2020) passin
Navistar Leasing Co. v. Tango Transp., LLC, Case No. 15-cv-00866-ALM, 2017 WL 87859 (E.D. Tex. Jan. 10, 2017)
Nichia Corp. v. Mary Elle Fashions, Inc., Case No. 2:16-cv-615-JRG, 2016 WL 9558954 (E.D. Tex. Dec. 22, 2016)4, 6,
Rotatable Techs. LLC v. Fossil, Inc., Case No. 2:13-cv-00109-JRG, Doc. No. 42 (E.D. Tex. Nov. 26, 2013)
Sapp v. Mem'l Hermann Healthcare Sys., 406 F. App'x 866 (5th Cir. 2010)
Traxcell Tech., LLC, v. Sprint Commc's Co. LP, Case Nos. 2020-1852, 2020-1854 (Fed. Cir. 2020)
Traxcell Technologies, LLC v. AT&T, Inc. et al., 2:17-cv-00718 (E.D. Tex.)
Traxcell Technologies, LLC v. Google LLC, 6:21-cv-00023-ADA (W.D. Tex.)
Traxcell Technologies, LLC v. Nokia Solutions and Networks,



Case 6:21-cv-00074-ADA Document 24 Filed 07/15/21 Page 4 of 16

Traxcell Technologies, LLC v. Nokia Solutions and Networks, 2:17-cv-00044 (E.D. Tex.)	2
Traxcell Technologies, LLC v. Nokia Solutions and Networks, 2:18-cv-00412-RWS-RSP (E.D. Tex.)	2
Traxcell Technologies, LLC v. Nokia Solutions and Networks US LLC et al., Case No. 2:18-cv-00412-RWS-RSP, Doc. No. 82 (E.D. Tex. May 17, 2021)	passim
Traxcell Technologies, LLC v. Sprint Communications Co., LP et al., 2:17-cv-00719 (E.D. Tex.)	2
Traxcell Technologies, LLC v. T-Mobile, USA, Inc., 2:17-cv-00720 (E.D. Tex.)	2
Traxcell Technologies, LLC v. Verizon Communications, Inc. et al., 2:17-cv-00721 (E.D. Tex.)	2, 3
Traxcell Technologies, LLC v. Verizon Wireless Personal Communications LP, 6:20-cv-01175-ADA (W.D. Tex.)	2
Traxcell Techs., LLC v. AT&T Corp. et al., Case No. 2:17-cv-00718-RWS-RSP, 2019 WL 6006202 (E.D. Tex. Oct. 7, 2019)	2
Traxcell Techs., LLC. v. AT&T Corp. et al., Case No. 2:17-cv-00718-RWS-RSP, 2019 WL 6037984 (E.D. Tex. Oct. 7, 2019)	2
VoIP-Pal.Com, Inc. v. Facebook, Inc., Case No. 20-CV-00267-ADA Doc. No. 38 (W.D. Tex. Apr. 2, 2020)	5 8



I. INTRODUCTION

Defendant Apple Inc. ("Apple") respectfully moves to stay this patent infringement action filed by Plaintiff Traxcell Technologies, LLC ("Traxcell") pending the Federal Circuit's resolution of Traxcell's appeals in related actions. *See Traxcell Tech., LLC, v. Sprint Commc's Co. LP*, Nos. 2020-1852, 2020-1854 (Fed. Cir. 2020). Those appeals, which are fully briefed and scheduled for oral arguments on August 5, 2021, involve issues common to both asserted patents in this case, including the construction of key claim terms.

A stay will promote judicial efficiency without prejudicing Traxcell. Indeed, just two months ago Traxcell successfully moved to stay its case against Nokia involving the same two patents asserted against Apple, pending final resolution of the same appeals Apple is citing here. See Ex. A, Traxcell Technologies, LLC v. Nokia Solutions and Networks US LLC et al., Case No. 2:18-cv-00412-RWS-RSP, Doc. No. 82 at 9 (E.D. Tex. May 17, 2021) (hereinafter "Motion to Stay Nokia II"). Though Traxcell refused to agree to staying this case, Traxcell argued a stay was warranted in Nokia II because (1) "there is no risk of prejudice or hardship from entry of the stay," (2) the stay "will promote judicial economy, as some or all disputed issues in the case could be resolved by the pending Federal Circuit appeal," (3) the "need for claim construction may be narrowed or eliminated" entirely, and (4) "[i]f the parties move forward with discovery while the appeal is pending, the [c]ourt might needlessly spend time litigating discovery disputes that are mooted by the resolution of key disputes on appeal." Id. These arguments are equally applicable here, and the Court should stay this case for the same reasons argued by Traxcell in its successful bid to stay Nokia II.

II. FACTUAL BACKGROUND

This litigation is one of several related cases filed by Traxcell in this District and in the



DOCKET

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

