#### IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Patent of: Michael J. Koss, et al.

U.S. Patent No.: 10,206,025 Attorney Docket No.: 50095-0021IP2

Issue Date: February 12, 2019

Appl. Serial No.: 15/962,305 Filing Date: April 25, 2018

Title: SYSTEM WITH WIRELESS EARPHONES

### **Mail Stop Patent Board**

Patent Trial and Appeal Board U.S. Patent and Trademark Office P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

# PETITION FOR INTER PARTES REVIEW OF UNITED STATES PATENT NO. 10,206,025 PURSUANT TO 35 U.S.C. §§311–319, 37 C.F.R. §42



## **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

I.	INTI	INTRODUCTION1				
II.	REQUIREMENTS FOR IPR UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 42.104					
	A.	Grounds for Standing				
	В.	Cha	llenge Under 37 C.F.R. § 42.104(b) and Relief Requested	1		
III.	THE	HE '025 PATENT3				
	<b>A.</b>	Brief Overview3				
	B.	Claim Construction				
IV.	THE	THE CHALLENGED CLAIMS ARE UNPATENTABLE				
	A.	[GROUND 1A] – Obvious over Haupt and Seshadri				
		1.	Overview of Haupt	5		
		2.	Overview of Seshadri	7		
		3.	Haupt modified by Seshadri	9		
	B.	[GR	OUND 1B] - Obviousness over Haupt, Seshadri, and Pauls	son42		
		1.	Haupt Modified By Paulson	42		
	C.	[GR	OUND 1C] - Obviousness over Haupt, Seshadri, and Rose	ner48		
		1.	Overview of Rosener	48		
		2.	Haupt and Seshadri Modified By Rosener	48		
	D.	[GROUND 1D] – Obviousness over Haupt, Seshadri, Rosener, ar Paulson				
V.	DISCRETION UNDER §314(a) SHOULD NOT PRECLUDE INSTITUTION					
	A.	The	General Plastic Factors Favor Institution	68		



# Attorney Docket No. 50095-0021IP2 IPR of U.S. Patent No. 10,206,025

	<b>B.</b>	The Fintiv Factors Favor Institution	70			
VI.	CON	CONCLUSION76				
VII.	. MANDATORY NOTICES UNDER 37 C.F.R § 42.8(a)(1)		76			
	A.	Real Party-In-Interest Under 37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(1)	76			
	B.	Related Matters Under 37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(2)	76			
	C.	Lead And Back-Up Counsel Under 37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(3)	76			
	D.	Service Information	77			



### **EXHIBITS**

APPLE-1001	U.S. Patent No. 10,206,025 to Koss, et al. ("the '025 patent")
APPLE-1002	Excerpts from the Prosecution History of the '025 patent ("the Prosecution History")
APPLE-1003	Declaration of Jeremy R. Cooperstock
APPLE-1004	Certified English-language translation of WIPO PCT App. Pub. No. WO 2006/042749 to Haupt <i>et al.</i> ("Haupt")
APPLE-1005	U.S. Patent No. 8,401,219 to Hankey et al. ("Hankey")
APPLE-1006	[RESERVED]
APPLE-1007	U.S. Pat. App. Pub. No. 2006/0166716 to Seshadri <i>et al.</i> ("Seshadri")
APPLE-1008	U.S. Pat. App. Pub. No. 2008/0076489 to Rosener <i>et al.</i> ("Rosener")
APPLE-1009	[RESERVED]
APPLE-1010	U.S. Pat. App. Pub. No. 2006/0026304 to Price et al. ("Price")
APPLE-1011	U.S. Pat. No. 7,551,940 to Paulson et al. ("Paulson")
APPLE-1012	[RESERVED]
APPLE-1013	U.S. Pat. App. No. 2005/0037818 to Seshadri <i>et al.</i> ("Seshadri-818")



APPLE-1014	Plaintiff KOSS Corporations' Preliminary Infringement Contentions, <i>KOSS Corporation v. Apple Inc.</i> , 6:20-cv-00665 (WDTX)
APPLE-1015	Example Order Governing Proceedings - Patent Case
APPLE-1016	Agreed [Proposed] Scheduling Order, KOSS Corporation v. Apple Inc., 6:20-cv-00665 (WDTX)
APPLE-1017	Katie Buehler, "Texas Patent Trials Halted Due to COVID-19 Spike," Law360, available at https://www.law360.com/ip/articles/1330855/texas-patent-trials-halted-due-to-covid-19-spike.
APPLE-1018	Scott McKeown, District Court Trial Dates Tend to Slip After PTAB Discretionary Denials, available at https://www.patentspostgrant.com/district-court-trial-dates-tend-to-slip-afterptab-discretionary-denials/ (Jul. 24, 2020)
APPLE-1019	Agreed Amended Scheduling Order, <i>Fintiv, Inc. v. Apple, Inc.</i> , Civil Action No. A-19-CV-1238 (WDTX)
APPLE-1020	Letter from Michael Pieja to Darlene F. Ghavimi re Conditional Stipulation dated February 22, 2021
APPLE-1021	Constantine A. Balanis, <i>Antenna Theory: A Review</i> , 80 Proceedings of the IEEE 7 (1992)



# DOCKET

# Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

# **Real-Time Litigation Alerts**



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

# **Advanced Docket Research**



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## **Analytics At Your Fingertips**



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

#### API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

#### **LAW FIRMS**

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

#### **FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS**

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

#### **E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS**

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

