Paper 10 Date: January 28, 2022

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

ONEPLUS TECHNOLOGY (SHENZHEN) CO., LTD., Petitioner,

v.

BELL NORTHERN RESEARCH, LLC, Patent Owner

IPR2022-00048 Patent 8,416,862 B2

Before BRYAN F. MOORE, SHARON FENICK, and JASON M. REPKO, *Administrative Patent Judges*.

 ${\bf MOORE}, {\it Administrative\ Patent\ Judge}.$

DECISION
Settlement Prior to Institution of Trial
37 C.F.R. § 42.74



INTRODUCTION

Pursuant to our emailed authorization, the parties made several filings related to their desire to terminate the proceeding. Petitioner filed an Unopposed Motion to Terminate Proceeding Pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 317(a). Paper 6 ("Motion to Terminate"). Patent Owner filed a response indicating that Patent Owner does not oppose Petitioner's Motion to Terminate. Paper 8. The Motion to Terminate explains that the parties have settled their dispute relating to Patent 8,416,862 B2, through a Third Party and the parties' settlement agreement includes three parts: (1) an agreement between Petitioner and the Third Party ("OnePlus Agreement"); (2) a release agreement between Petitioner and Patent Owner ("Release Agreement"); and (3) an agreement between Patent Owner and the Third Party ("BNR Agreement"). Paper 6, 1.

Petitioner filed the Release Agreement as Exhibit 1023 and the OnePlus Agreement as Exhibit 1022. Patent Owner filed the BNR Agreement as Exhibit 2001. Petitioner filed an "Unopposed Request That The Settlement Agreement [OnePlus Agreement (Ex. 1022)] Be Treated As Business Confidential Information And Be Kept Separate under 35 U.S.C. § 317(b)." Paper 7 ("Petitioner Confidentiality Request"). Patent Owner filed an "Unopposed Request That The Settlement Agreement [BNR Agreement (Ex. 2001)] Be Treated As Business Confidential Information

¹ Petitioner states that Patent Owner does not oppose the content of the request. Paper 7, 1.



And Be Kept Separate under 35 U.S.C. § 317(b)." Paper 9 ("Patent Owner Confidentiality Request").²

DISCUSSION

Generally, the Board expects that a proceeding will terminate after the filing of a settlement agreement, unless the Board has already decided the merits of the proceeding. Consolidated Trial Practice Guide, 86 (November 2019).³ This proceeding is at an early stage. Patent Owner has not yet filed a Preliminary Response and we have not issued a decision on whether to institute an *inter partes* review. Under these circumstances, we grant the Motion to Terminate (Paper 6) as to both Petitioner and Patent Owner.

We also grant, *in part only*, Petitioner's Confidentiality Request (Paper 7), unopposed by Patent Owner, which is to treat the OnePlus Agreement (Exhibit 1023) as business confidential information and kept apart from the file of Patent 8,416,862 B2 pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 317(b) and 37 C.F.R. § 42.74(c). That portion of the request which states the following is *denied*, because no such requirement is contained in either 35 U.S.C. § 317(b) or 37 C.F.R. § 42.74(c):

OnePlus further requests that the Board order that in the event a person or entity makes a written request, as stated in 37 C.F.R. § 42.74(c)(1)-(2), for access to the OnePlus Agreement, that any such written request be served upon OnePlus on the day the written request is provided to the Board and that OnePlus be provided an opportunity to respond to the request.

Paper 7, 1.

³ Available at https://www.uspto.gov/TrialPracticeGuideConsolidated.



² Patent Owner states that Petitioner does not oppose the content of the request. Paper 9, 1.

We also grant, *in part only*, Patent Owner's Confidentiality Request (Paper 9), unopposed by Petitioner, which is to treat the BNR Agreement (Exhibit 2001) as business confidential information and kept apart from the file of Patent 8,416,862 B2 pursuant to 37 U.S.C. § 317(b) and 37 C.F.R. § 42.74(c). That portion of the request which states the following is *denied*, because no such requirement is contained in either 35 U.S.C. § 317(b) or 37 C.F.R. § 42.74(c):

BNR further requests that the Board order that in the event a person or entity makes a written request, as stated in 37 C.F.R. § 42.74(c)(1)-(2), for access to the BNR Agreement, that any such written request be served upon BNR on the day the written request is provided to the Board and that BNR be provided an opportunity to respond to the request.

Paper 9, 1.

ORDER

It is

ORDERED that the Petitioner's Unopposed Motion to Terminate (Paper 6) is *granted* both as to Petitioner and Patent Owner;

FURTHER ORDERED that Petitioner's "Unopposed Request That The Settlement Agreement [OnePlus Agreement (Ex. 1022)] Be Treated As Business Confidential Information And Be Kept Separate under 35 U.S.C. § 317(b)" is *granted-in-part and denied-in-part*, as explained above, under the terms of 37 C.F.R. § 42.74(c);

FURTHER ORDERED that Patent Owner's "Unopposed Request That The Settlement Agreement [BNR Agreement (Ex. 2001)] Be Treated As Business Confidential Information And Be Kept Separate under 35 U.S.C. § 317(b)" is *granted-in-part and denied-in-part*, as explained above, under the terms of 37 C.F.R. § 42.74(c);



IPR2022-00048 Patent 8,416,862 B2

FURTHER ORDERED that the OnePlus Agreement (Ex. 1022) and the BNR Agreement (Ex. 2001) shall be treated as business confidential information, shall be kept separate from the file of Patent 8,416,862 B2, and shall be made available only in accordance with the provisions of 37 C.F.R. § 42.74(c); and

FURTHER ORDERED that this proceeding is *terminated* both as to Petitioner and Patent Owner, and the Petition is *dismissed*.



DOCKET

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

