

**IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE**

In re Patent of: Theodore L. Brann  
U.S. Patent No.: 6,059,576 Attorney Docket No.: 50095-0041IP2  
Issue Date: May 9, 2000  
Appl. Serial No.: 08/976,228  
Filing Date: November 21, 1997  
Title: TRAINING AND SAFETY DEVICE, SYSTEM AND METHOD  
TO AID IN PROPOER MOVEMENT DURING PHYSICAL  
ACTIVITY

**DECLARATION OF DR. KENNY**

I declare that all statements made herein on my own knowledge are true and that all statements made on information and belief are believed to be true, and further, that these statements were made with the knowledge that willful false statements and the like so made are punishable by fine or imprisonment, or both, under Section 1001 of Title 18 of the United States Code.

By:  \_\_\_\_\_

Thomas W. Kenny, Ph.D.

October 7, 2021

Date: \_\_\_\_\_

## Table of Contents

I.	QUALIFICATIONS AND BACKGROUND INFORMATION.....	4
II.	OVERVIEW OF CONCLUSIONS FORMED.....	12
III.	LEVEL OF ORDINARY SKILL IN THE ART.....	12
IV.	LEGAL STANDARDS.....	13
	A. Terminology .....	13
	B. Legal Standards .....	14
	1. Anticipation .....	14
	2. Obviousness.....	15
V.	THE '576 PATENT .....	19
	A. Overview of the '576 Patent.....	19
	B. Prosecution History of the '576 Patent.....	21
	C. Claim Construction.....	22
	1. <b><i>“a movement sensor”</i></b> (claim 1 and claims depending therefrom) .....	22
VI.	OVERVIEW AND COMBINATIONS OF PRIOR ART REFERENCES .	24
	A. Allum.....	24
	B. Raymond.....	29
	C. Combination of Allum and Raymond .....	35
	D. Conlan.....	38
	E. Combination of Allum, Raymond, and Conlan (“ARC”) .....	41
	F. Gaudet.....	45
	G. Combination of ARC and Gaudet .....	47
	H. de Remer.....	49
	I. Combination of ARC and de Remer .....	49
	J. Gesink.....	50
	K. Combination of Gesink and Raymond .....	55
VII.	MANNER IN WHICH THE PRIOR ART REFERENCES RENDER THE '576 CLAIMS UNPATENTABLE.....	59
	A. Claim 1 .....	59
	B. Claim 2 .....	77
	C. Claim 3 .....	80
	D. Claim 4 .....	83
	E. Claim 5 .....	83
	F. Claim 8 .....	84
	G. Claim 9 .....	85
	H. Claim 10 .....	85

I. Claim 11 .....	85
J. Claim 20 .....	86
1. Claim 20 is obvious over Allum, Raymond, and Conlan .....	86
2. Claim 20 is obvious over Gesink in view of Raymond .....	89
K. Claim 25 .....	99
1. Claim 25 is obvious over Allum, Raymond, and Conlan .....	99
2. Claim 25 is obvious over Gesink in view of Raymond .....	99
L. Claim 30 .....	99
M. Claim 31 .....	100
1. Claim 31 is obvious over Allum in view of Raymond, Conlan, and optionally de Remer .....	100
N. Claim 32 .....	101
1. Claim 32 is obvious over Allum in view of Raymond, Conlan, and optionally de Remer .....	101
O. Claim 36 .....	103
P. Claim 39 .....	104
Q. Claim 40 .....	105
R. Claim 41 .....	106
S. Claim 42 .....	108
T. Claim 45 .....	109
U. Claim 46 .....	110
V. Claim 47 .....	112
W. Claim 48 .....	112
X. Claim 49 .....	113
Y. Claim 50 .....	113
Z. Claim 51 .....	115
AA.Claim 61 .....	116
BB.Claim 62 .....	116
CC.Claim 63 .....	117
DD.Claims 64 and 65 .....	118
1. Claims 64 and 65 are obvious over Allum in view of Raymond, Conlan, and Gaudet.....	118
EE. Claim 144 .....	118
FF. Claim 147 .....	119
VIII. CONCLUSION .....	119

## **I. QUALIFICATIONS AND BACKGROUND INFORMATION**

1. My education and experience are described more fully in the attached curriculum vitae (APPENDIX A). For ease of reference, I have highlighted certain information below.

2. My academic and professional background is in Physics, Mechanical Engineering, Sensing, and Robotics, with a research specialization focused on micro-fabricated physical sensors, and I have been working in those fields since the completion of my Ph.D. more than 30 years ago. The details of my background and education and a listing of all publications I have authored in the past 35 years are provided in my curriculum vitae. Below I provide a short summary of my education and experience, which I believe to be most pertinent to the opinions that I express here.

3. I received a B.S. in Physics from University of Minnesota, Minneapolis in 1983, and a Ph.D. in Physics from University of California at Berkeley in 1989. I was educated as a Physicist specializing in sensors and measurement. My Physics Ph.D. thesis involved measurements of the heat capacity of monolayers of atoms on surfaces, and relied on precision measurements of temperature and power using time-varying electrical signals, and also on the design and construction of miniature sensor components and associated electrical circuits for conditioning and conversion to digital format.

4. After completion of my Ph.D. in Physics at U.C. Berkeley in 1989, I joined the Jet Propulsion Laboratory (JPL) in Pasadena, CA, as a staff scientist, and began working on miniature sensors and instruments for small spacecraft. This work involved the use of silicon microfabrication technologies for miniaturization of the sensors, and served as my introduction to the field of micro-electromechanical systems (MEMS), or the study of very small mechanical sensors powered by electricity and used for detection of physical and chemical signals.

5. While at JPL, we developed accelerometers, gyroscopes, uncooled infrared sensors, magnetometers, seismometers, force and displacement sensors, soil chemistry sensors, miniature structures for trapping interstellar dust, and many other miniature devices. Some of these projects led to devices that were launched with spacecraft headed for Mars and for other interplanetary missions. Much of this work involved the use of physical sensors for detection of small forces and displacements using micromechanical sensors.

6. I am presently the Richard Weiland Professor at the Department of Mechanical Engineering at Stanford University, where I have taught for the past 27 years. I am also currently the Senior Associate Dean of Engineering for Student Affairs at Stanford. I am currently on partial leave from this position to serve as CEO of Applaud Medical, a startup company that I co-founded which is focused on developing new treatments for Kidney Stones.

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.