

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Patent of: Theodore L. Brann
U.S. Patent No.: 6,059,576 Attorney Docket No.: 50095-0041IP1
Issue Date: May 9, 2000
Appl. Serial No.: 08/976,228
Filing Date: November 21, 1997
Title: TRAINING AND SAFETY DEVICE, SYSTEM AND
METHOD TO AID IN PROPOER MOVEMENT DURING
PHYSICAL ACTIVITY

Mail Stop Patent Board

Patent Trial and Appeal Board
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

**PETITION FOR *INTER PARTES* REVIEW OF UNITED STATES PATENT
NO. 6,059,576 PURSUANT TO 35 U.S.C. §§ 311–319, 37 C.F.R. § 42**

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	REQUIREMENTS FOR IPR UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 42.104	13
A.	Grounds for Standing.....	13
B.	Challenge and Relief Requested	13
C.	Level of Ordinary Skill in the Art.....	15
D.	Claim Construction	16
II.	THE '576 PATENT	16
A.	Brief Description.....	16
B.	Construction of “movement sensor”	17
III.	THE CHALLENGED CLAIMS ARE UNPATENTABLE	18
A.	GROUND 1—Ono and Hutchings Render Claims 1, 3-5, 8, 10, 20, 25, 30, 39, 41, 42, and 61-65 Obvious	18
1.	Ono.....	18
2.	Hutchings	22
3.	Ono-Hutchings Combination	24
4.	Analysis.....	27
B.	GROUND 2—Ono, Hutchings, and Amano Render Claims 1, 3-5, 8-11, 20, 25, 30, 36, 39-42, and 61-65 Obvious.....	78
1.	Amano	78
2.	Ono-Hutchings-Amano Combination.....	79
3.	Analysis.....	81
C.	GROUND 3A—Ono, Hutchings, and Conlan Render Claims 1-5, 8, 10, 20, 25, 30, 31, 39, 41, 42, 45-47, 49, and 61-65 Obvious	84
1.	Conlan	84
2.	Ono-Hutchings-Conlan Combination	86
3.	Analysis.....	88
D.	GROUND 3B—Ono, Hutchings, Conlan, and Hickman Render Claims 48, 50, and 51 Obvious	94
1.	Hickman.....	94
2.	Ono-Hutchings-Conlan-Hickman Combination.....	95
3.	Analysis.....	95

E. GROUND 4—Ono, Hutchings, and Kaufman Render Claims 1, 3-5, 8, 10, 20, 25, 30, 39, 41, 42, 61-65, 144, and 147 Obvious.....	97
1. Kaufman.....	97
2. Ono-Hutchings-Kaufman Combination.....	98
3. Analysis.....	99
F. GROUND 5A—Ono, Hutchings, Amano, Conlan, and Kaufman Render Claims 1-5, 8-11, 20, 25, 30-32, 36, 39-42, 45-47, 49, 61-65, 144, and 147 Obvious	102
G. GROUND 5B—Ono, Hutchings, Amano, Conlan, Kaufman, and Hickman Render Claims 48, 50, and 51 Obvious	105
H. GROUND 6A—Ono, Hutchings, Amano, and Conlan Render Claims 1-5, 8-11, 20, 25, 30, 31, 36, 39-42, 45-47, 49, and 61-65 Obvious.....	105
I. GROUND 6B—Ono, Hutchings, Amano, Conlan, and Hickman Render Claims 48, 50, and 51 Obvious	105
J. GROUND 7—Ono, Hutchings, Amano, and Kaufman Render Claims 1, 3-5, 8-11, 20, 25, 30, 36, 39-42, 61-65, 144, and 147 Obvious	105
K. GROUND 8A—Ono, Hutchings, Conlan, and Kaufman Render Claims 1-5, 8, 10, 20, 25, 30, 31, 39, 41, 42, 45-47, 49, 61-65, 144, and 147 Obvious	106
L. GROUND 8B—Ono, Hutchings, Conlan, Kaufman, and Hickman Render Claims 48, 50, and 51 Obvious	106
IV. PTAB DISCRETION SHOULD NOT PRECLUDE INSTITUTION	106
A. Factor 1: Either Party May Request Stay.....	107
B. Factor 2: The FWD will likely issue within one month of Trial (assuming that Trial is not delayed)	107
C. Factor 3: Petitioner’s Diligence and Investment in IPR Outweighs the Parties’ Minimal Investment in Litigation.....	108
D. Factor 4: The Petition’s Grounds are Materially Different from any that Might be Raised in Litigation	109
E. Factor 5: Institution Would Promote Judicial Efficiency	110
F. Factor 6: The Merits of this Petition Strongly Favor Institution	110
V. CONCLUSION	112
VI. PAYMENT OF FEES	112
VII. MANDATORY NOTICES UNDER 37 C.F.R § 42.8(a)(1)	112

A. Real Party-In-Interest Under 37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(1).....112
B. Related Matters Under 37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(2)112
C. Lead And Back-Up Counsel Under 37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(3)113
D. Service Information.....113

EXHIBITS

APPLE-1001	U.S. Patent No. 6,059,576 to Brann. (“the ’576 Patent”)
APPLE-1002	Prosecution History of the ’576 Patent (“the Prosecution History”)
APPLE-1003	[RESERVED]
APPLE-1004	Complaint, <i>Logantree LP, v. Apple, Inc.</i> , 6:21-cv-00397, W.D. Tex., Apr. 23, 2021
APPLE-1005	Stipulation by Petitioner
APPLE-1006	<i>Ex Parte</i> Reexamination Certificate of U.S. Patent No. 6,059,576
APPLE-1007	Excerpts from <i>Ex Parte</i> Reexamination No. 90/013,201 Prosecution History
APPLE-1008	[RESERVED]
APPLE-1009	U.S. Patent No. 5,778,882 (“Raymond”)
APPLE-1010	U.S. Patent No. 5,573,013 (“Conlan”)
APPLE-1011	[RESERVED]
APPLE-1012	[RESERVED]
APPLE-1013	[RESERVED]
APPLE-1014	U.S. Patent No. 5,803,740 (“Gesink”)
APPLE-1015-1023	[RESERVED]
APPLE-1024	Scheduling Order, <i>Logantree LP, v. Apple, Inc.</i> , 6:21-cv-00397, W.D. Tex., Aug. 13, 2021

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.