

Paper No. ____

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

APPLE, INC.

Petitioner

v.

MEMORYWEB, LLC

Patent Owner

Patent No. 10,423,658

Inter Partes Review No. IPR2022-00033

PATENT OWNER'S RESPONSE

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page(s)
I. INTRODUCTION	1
II. OVERVIEW OF THE ‘658 PATENT	1
A. The ‘658 Patent “Views”	2
B. The Claimed Methods Provide Easy Navigation of These Views	6
III. SUMMARY OF PETITIONER’S REFERENCES	6
A. A3UM	6
1. The Browser/Viewer	7
2. The Toolbar	8
3. The Inspector Panes	8
4. Places and Faces Views	9
5. The Apple Human Interface Guidelines	12
B. Belitz	13
C. Rasmussen	13
IV. LEVEL OF SKILL IN THE ART	14
V. CLAIM CONSTRUCTION	15
A. Claim 1: “application view”	15
B. Claims 3-4, 7, and 10: “responsive to . . . displaying”	19
C. Claims 8 and 11: “[first/second]-person-location selectable element”	24
VI. PETITIONER HAS NOT ESTABLISHED THAT ANY CHALLENGED CLAIM IS UNPATENTABLE	27
A. Petitioner Has Not Established That A3UM Qualifies as Prior Art	28
1. Petitioner Has Not Established that the Website Version of A3UM was Publicly Accessible to a POSITA	29
2. Petitioner Has Not Established That Ex. 1005 Accurately Represents What Was Shown on the Aperture 3 User Manual	

	Page Before June 2010.....	35
3.	Petitioner’s “Evidence” of Sales Fails to Establish Public Accessibility	38
4.	Petitioner’s Reliance on the Aperture 3 Installation DVD Falls Short	39
5.	Aperture 3 Installed on a Mac Computer is Not a Printed Publication	50
6.	Mr. Birdsell’s Testimony Lacks Credibility	53
B.	Other Non-Prior Art	55
C.	Ground 1: A3UM and Belitz Do Not Render Obvious Claims 1-2 and 5-15	56
1.	Claim 1: Petitioner failed to identify an “application view” distinct from the other claimed views.....	56
2.	Claim 1: Petitioner failed to meet its burden to show a POSITA would modify A3UM with Belitz.....	57
3.	Claim 5: A3UM does not disclose that Faces applies to videos	65
4.	Claims 8 and 11: A3UM does not disclose a “[first/second]-person-location selectable element”	71
D.	Ground 2: A3UM, Belitz, and Rasmussen Do Not Render Obvious Claims 3-4.....	79
1.	Petitioner failed to address all of the claim limitations	80
2.	Dr. Terveen’s assertions regarding A3UM’s Places view are wrong.....	80
3.	The alleged “[first/second] map image” in A3UM is not displayed “responsive to” a click or tap of a scaled replica	82
VII.	CONCLUSION	86

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

	Page(s)
Cases	
<i>Acceleration Bay, LLC v. Activision Blizzard Inc.</i> , 908 F.3d 765 (Fed. Cir. 2018)	<i>passim</i>
<i>ACTV, Inc. v. Walt Disney Co.</i> , 346 F.3d 1082 (Fed. Cir. 2003)	16
<i>Am. Calcar, Inc. v. Am. Honda Motor Co.</i> , 651 F.3d 1318 (Fed. Cir. 2011)	21
<i>Arctic Cat Inc. v. Bombardier Recreational Prod. Inc.</i> , 876 F.3d 1350 (Fed. Cir. 2017)	70
<i>Arctic Cat Inc. v. Polaris Indus., Inc.</i> , 795 F. App'x 827 (Fed. Cir. 2019).....	60
<i>B/E Aerospace, Inc. v. C & D Zodiac, Inc.</i> , 709 F.App'x 687 (Fed. Cir. 2017)	38
<i>Becton, Dickinson & Co. v. B. Braun Melsungen AG</i> , IPR2017-01586, Paper 8 (PTAB Dec. 15, 2017)	15
<i>Blue Calypso, LLC v. Groupon, Inc.</i> , 815 F.3d 1331 (Fed. Cir. 2016)	29
<i>Callaway Golf Co. v. Acushnet Co.</i> , 576 F.3d 1331 (Fed. Cir. 2009)	
<i>Capsugel Belgium NV v. Innercap Techs., Inc.</i> , IPR2013-00331, Paper 9 (PTAB Dec. 9, 2013)	53
<i>Centripetal Networks, Inc. v. Cisco Sys., Inc.</i> , 847 F. App'x 869 (Fed. Cir. 2021).....	33

<i>Checkpoint Sys., Inc. v. All-Tag Sec.; S.A.</i> , 412 F.3d 1331 (Fed. Cir. 2005)	54
<i>Cisco Sys., Inc. v. C-Cation Techs., LLC</i> , IPR2014-0054, Paper 22 (PTAB Aug. 29, 2014).....	80
<i>Cisco Sys., Inc. v. Centripetal Networks, Inc.</i> , IPR2018-01436, Paper 40 (PTAB Jan. 23, 2020)	48
<i>Electronic Frontier Foundation v. Personal Audio, LLC</i> , IPR2014-00070, Paper 21 (PTAB Apr. 18, 2014)	35, 36, 37
<i>Ex Parte Interval Licensing</i> , Appeal No. 2014-002901, 2014 WL 2387821 (PTAB May 29, 2014).....	21
<i>Ex Parte Stuart A. Nelson</i> , No. 2020-004978, 2020 WL 8186425 (PTAB Dec. 31, 2020)).....	53
<i>Fujitsu Ltd. v. Belkin Int’l, Inc.</i> , No. 10-CV-03972-LHK, 2012 WL 4497966 (N.D. Cal. Sept. 28, 2012)	21
<i>Gillette Co. v. Energizer Holdings, Inc.</i> , 405 F.3d 1367 (Fed. Cir. 2005)	27
<i>In re Cronyn</i> , 890 F.2d 1158 (Fed. Cir. 1989)	41
<i>Instradent USA, Inc. v. Nobel Biocare Services AG</i> , IPR2015-01786, Paper 106 (PTAB Feb. 15, 2017).....	28, 50
<i>Intel Corp. v. VLSSI Tech. LLC</i> , No. IPR2018-01040, 2020 WL 719058 (PTAB Feb. 12, 2020).....	27
<i>Intelligent Bio-Sys., Inc. v. Illumina Cambridge Ltd.</i> , 821 F.3d 1359 (Fed. Cir. 2016)	80
<i>Kinetic Concepts, Inc. v. Smith & Nephew, Inc.</i> ,	

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.