

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

CISCO SYSTEMS, INC.
Petitioner,

v.

CENTRIPETAL NETWORKS, INC.
Patent Owner.

U.S. Patent No. 9,124,552
Issue Date: September 1, 2015
Title: Filtering Network Data Transfers

Inter Partes Review No.: Unassigned

DECLARATION OF JOHN LEONE

I, John Leone, hereby declare:

1. My name is John Leone. I am currently an employee of Cisco Systems, Inc. (“Cisco”), a petitioner for *inter partes* review before the Patent Trial and Appeal Board and the Senior Manager for Technical Documentation for the Security Business Unit of Cisco. I have held numerous other positions at Cisco and at a company which was acquired by Cisco, Sourcefire, Inc. (“SF”). At SF, I was a Technical Writer (September 2002 to February 2005) and Documentation Manager and Director of Technical Publications and Certifications (February 2005 to August 2013).

2. I have been continuously employed by Cisco since the SF acquisition on or about August 2013. Prior to that, I was continuously employed by SF between September 2002 and August 2013. In my roles as a Technical Writer and Documentation Manager and Director of Technical Publications and Certifications, I have personal knowledge about SF’s prior products and offerings, in the United States, which pertain to network security systems, including SF’s 3D System (hereinafter the “SF 3D System”), the various versions of software for such products and offerings, and the supporting technical documentation that was created by SF for customers with each version of SF software for such products and offerings. In these roles, I have personal knowledge about the practices and procedures for writing and delivering such documentation to SF representatives in

SF's Support and Manufacturing Departments for distribution and otherwise making such documentation publicly accessible.

3. I am over the age of eighteen (18) and otherwise competent to make this declaration. The statements made in this declaration are to the best of my knowledge and recollection. I have personal knowledge of the facts set forth in this Declaration, and, for facts stated on information and belief, I have been provided with information by a person having personal knowledge of such facts. If called as a witness, I could and would testify competently under oath to the facts stated in this declaration. By making this declaration, it is not my intent, nor the intent of Sourcefire, Inc. or Cisco, to waive the attorney-client privilege, attorney work product doctrine, or any other applicable privilege.

4. In my roles as Technical Writer and Documentation Manager and Director of Technical Publications and Certifications, I participated in and supervised the process for writing SF's supporting technical documentation and coordinated with representatives in SF's Support, Engineering, and Manufacturing Departments to deliver such documentation to consumers of SF products with SF's release of a new software version for the SF 3D System.

5. For each new feature in a new software version release for the SF 3D System, the assigned Technical Writers reviewed design specifications, attended design review meetings, interviewed subject matter experts, and tested

such functionality using development builds of the version of the SF 3D System software running on SF's test hardware to confirm product behavior. The assigned Technical Writers updated the technical documentation (*e.g.*, user guide, release notes) for the prospective new software version release to include documentation of the new feature as appropriate. The assigned Technical Writers provided drafts of the technical documentation to the engineers who designed and coded the software for review, and made any changes requested by the reviewing engineers.

6. When the assigned Technical Writers were working on technical documentation updates for a prospective new software version release for the SF 3D System, the source files for each technical document (*e.g.*, SF 3D System user guide) were kept in a “*version-Current*” working directory in SF's files. When the content for each technical document (*e.g.*, SF 3D System user guide) was complete for a prospective new software version release for the SF 3D System and had been approved by engineering, one of the assigned Technical Writers saved the document, using Adobe Acrobat, as a PDF-formatted file that included security settings to prevent future modification of the document. This writer then copied this secured PDF document into a folder labeled “*final_docs_with_metadata*” for that new software version which was retained within an internal directory in SF's files. This writer also then archived the final source files that were used to create the PDF-formatted file of this technical

document to a “*version-number*” directory under the “Documentation_source_Files” directory in SF’s files based on the corresponding new software version number for the SF 3D System.

7. Once the secured PDF file for each technical document (*e.g.*, SF 3D System user guide) had been copied into the “final_docs_with_metadata” folder for that new software version, the assigned Technical Writers (1) zipped the secured PDF files from the final_docs_with_metadata folder and checked such files into a concurrent versioning system (“CVS”) repository for distribution by the SF Manufacturing Department, and (2) posted all of the secured PDF files from the final_docs_with_metadata folder to a staging directory on another SF file server for distribution by the SF Support Department.

8. The assigned Technical Writers provided the zipped PDF files from the final_docs_with_metadata folder to the SF Manufacturing Department as an ISO image. SF’s Manufacturing Department printed the documentation ISO to a CD-ROM or DVD disk and included this documentation disk in the packaging with each SF 3D System appliance (*e.g.*, 3D Sensor, Defense Center) purchased by a customer.

9. The assigned Technical Writers also created release notes for each new software version release for the SF 3D System. While other documentation (*e.g.*, the SF 3D System user guide) was typically completed,

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.