

PROTECTIVE ORDER MATERIAL

IPR2022-00031
Patent No. 10,621,228

Paper No. ____

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

APPLE, INC.
Petitioner

v.

MEMORYWEB, LLC
Patent Owner

Patent No. 10,621,228

Inter Partes Review No. IPR2022-00031

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL – ATTORNEYS’ EYES ONLY

**REPLY IN SUPPORT OF PATENT OWNER’S
MOTION TO TERMINATE**

PROTECTIVE ORDER MATERIAL

IPR2022-00031
Patent No. 10,621,228

TABLE OF CONTENTS

- I. Apple Is an RPI or Privy to the Unified IPR.....1
 - A. Apple’s Denial that Unified Acted in Apple’s Interests or That Apple Benefited from The Unified IPR Is Not Credible1
 - 1. Unified’s Settlement Practices Reinforce That Unified’s Validity Challenges Benefit Apple, Rather Than All Practicing in a Zone1
 - 2. Apple’s Argument That Unified Ignored Apple’s Interests Is Also Not Credible2
 - B. Apple’s Avoidance of Direct Communications With Unified is Irrelevant....3
 - C. The Presentation Materials Unified Prepares For Members Are Relevant to Apple’s RPI Status5
 - D. Apple’s Discussion of Unified’s [REDACTED] to File IPRs Also Misses the Mark.....5
 - E. Apple Does Not Contribute [REDACTED] Annually For “Other Activities” ...6
 - F. Apple’s Reliance on the Board’s Prior Determinations Misses The Mark.....7
- II. Unified Could Have Raised Apple’s Invalidity Ground9
 - A. Apple Did Not Show Unified Lacked Evidence to Prove the Prior Art Status of A3UM.....9
 - B. A Skilled Searcher Would Have Discovered Aperture 3 and A3UM.....11
- III. Apple Fails to Rebut That the Entirety of This Proceeding Should be Terminated.....17
- IV. MemoryWeb’s RPI Arguments Are Not Waived19
- V. Conclusion19

PROTECTIVE ORDER MATERIAL

IPR2022-00031
Patent No. 10,621,228

Patent Owner’s Reply ISO Motion to Terminate

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

	Page(s)
Cases	
<i>Apple Inc. v. MemoryWeb, LLC</i> , IPR2022-00033, Paper 39 (PTAB May 18, 2023)	11
<i>Cascades Canada ULC v. Essity Prof. Hygiene N. Am. LLC</i> , IPR2017-02198, Paper 40 (PTAB Feb. 25, 2019).....	19
<i>Ironburg Inventions Ltd. v. Valve Corp.</i> , 64 F.4th 1274 (Fed. Cir. 2023)	10
<i>Medtronic, Inc. v. Teleflex Innovations S.a.r.l.</i> , 70 F.4 th 1331, 1344 (Fed. Cir. 2023)	19
<i>RadLAX Gateway Hotel, LLC v. Amagamated Bank</i> , 566 U.S. 639 (2012).....	17
<i>Rogers v. Missouri Pac. R. Co.</i> , 352 U.S. 500, 508 n.17 (1957).....	19
<i>RPX Corp. v. Applications in Internet Time, LLC</i> , IPR2015-01750, Paper 128 (PTAB Oct. 2, 2020).....	3
<i>Unified Patents Inc. v. Bradium Techs. LLC (Bradium)</i> , IPR2018-00952, Paper 31 (PTAB Dec. 20, 2018)	8, 9
<i>Unified Pats., LLC v. Cellular Commc’ns Equip. LLC</i> , IPR2018-00091, Paper 33 (PTAB May 22, 2019)	8, 9
<i>Uniloc 2017 LLC v. Facebook Inc.</i> , 989 F.3d 1018 (Fed. Cir. 2021)	18
Statutes	
35 U.S.C. § 315(e)	1, 17, 18

PROTECTIVE ORDER MATERIAL

IPR2022-00031

Patent Owner's Reply ISO Motion to Terminate

Patent No. 10,621,228

35 U.S.C. § 315(d)17, 18

PROTECTIVE ORDER MATERIAL

IPR2022-00031
 Patent No. 10,621,228

Patent Owner’s Reply ISO Motion to Terminate

LISTING OF EXHIBITS

Exhibit No.	Description
2001	WITHDRAWN
2002	Hyunmo Kang et al., <i>Capture, Annotated, Browse, Find, Share: Novel Interfaces for Personal Photo Management</i> , International Journal of Human-Computer Interaction, 23(3), 315-37 (2007) (“Kang”)
2003	Jaffe et al., <i>Generating Summaries and Visualization for Large Collections of Geo-Referenced Photographs</i> , Proceedings of the 8th ACM SIGMM International Workshop on Multimedia Information Retrieval, MIR 2006, October 26-27, 2006 (“Jaffe”)
2004	Allan Hoffman, <i>Create Great iPhone Photos: Apps, Tips, Tricks, and Effects</i> , No Starch Press, Inc. (Copyright 2011)
2005	U.S. Patent Publication No. 2010/0171763 (“Bhatt”)
2006	Feb. 8, 2022 eBay Order Confirmation for “Apple Aperture 3 Upgrade for Mac Brand New Photography”
2007	Apple Inc. Aperture Software License Agreement
2008	Declaration of John Leone, <i>Cisco Systems, Inc. v. Centripetal Networks, Inc.</i> , IPR2018-01436, EX1005 (July 20, 2018)
2009	Aperture 3 User Manual, http://documentation.apple.com/aperture/usermanual (Archive.org: July 26, 2010)
2010	Aperture 3 User Manual, http://documentation.apple.com/aperture/usermanual (Archive.org: Feb. 17, 2010)
2011	RESERVED

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.