Paper No.

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

APPLE, INC. Petitioner

v.

MEMORYWEB, LLC Patent Owner

Patent No. 10,621,228

Inter Partes Review No. IPR2022-00031

JOINT MOTION TO SEAL

DOCKET A L A R M Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at <u>docketalarm.com</u>. IPR2022-00031 Patent No. 10,621,228

Patent Owner MemoryWeb, LLC ("MemoryWeb") and Petitioner Apple, Inc. ("Apple") submit this Motion to Seal ("Motion") Patent Owner's Demonstrative Exhibit in support of its Motion to Terminate ("Patent Owner's Demonstrative") (Exhibit 2125) and Petitioner's Demonstrative Exhibit in support of its Motion to Terminate ("Petitioner's Demonstrative") (Exhibit 1119) (collectively, "Demonstratives"). The parties jointly submit this Motion to safeguard Unified, Apple, Samsung and MemoryWeb's confidential information, pursuant to the Protective Order.¹ *See* Paper 52. The parties will provide redacted versions of the Demonstratives once they have had the opportunity to consult with the relevant parties to determine the extent of the redactions.

As discussed in greater detail below, the forthcoming redacted version of the Demonstratives will rely on and discuss the confidential information disclosed in exhibits previously filed under seal. *See* Paper 72; *see also* Paper 70.²

¹ The relevant parties with respect to this Motion are Apple, Inc. ("Apple" or "Petitioner"), Samsung Electronics Co., Ltd. ("Samsung"), and Unified Patents, LLC ("Unified").

² The Board has yet to grant Patent Owner's Motion to Seal portions of Patent Owner's Reply in support of its Motion to Terminate ("Reply") and the exhibits cited

I. MOTION TO SEAL

In an *inter parties* review, it is the default rule that all filings are publicly available. 35 U.S.C. § 326(a)(1); 37 C.F.R. § 42.14. Where an exhibit contains confidential information, a party may file "a motion to seal with a proposed protective order as to the confidential information."³ See 37 C.F.R. § 42.55; see also 35 U.S.C. § 326(a)(1). A motion to seal will only be granted if the movant demonstrates "good cause." 37 C.F.R. § 42.54(a). Good cause exists if the movant "demonstrate[s] adequately that (1) the information sought to be sealed is truly confidential, (2) a concrete harm would result upon public disclosure, (3) there exists a genuine need to rely in the trial on the specific information sought to be sealed, and (4), on balance, an interest in maintaining the confidentiality outweighs the strong public interest in having an open record." Argentum Pharm. LLC v. Alcon Research, Ltd., IPR2017-01053, Paper 27 at 4 (PTAB Jan. 19, 2018) (citing 37 C.F.R. § 42.54(a)). All four prongs are satisfied here.

therein, and the Motion to Seal portions of Petitioner's Opposition to the Motion to Terminate and certain of the exhibits thereto.

³ Patent Owner filed an unopposed motion for entry of a Protective Order (Paper 52) and the Board granted Patent Owner's motion (Paper 55). All relevant parties have executed the Protective Order.

IPR2022-00031 Patent No. 10,621,228

First, the Demonstratives and the exhibits cited therein contain non-public, highly confidential proprietary business information pertaining to Petitioner's and Samsung's contractual relationship with Unified and confidential communications between MemoryWeb and Unified. This information includes confidential commercial information that Unified, Apple, Samsung and MemoryWeb have not made, and do not intend to make, publicly available. The parties also understand that this information was produced pursuant to the Protective Order. As discussed above, a redacted version of the Demonstratives is forthcoming.

Second, public disclosure of this information would expose the relevant parties' confidential business activities. The Demonstratives and the exhibits cited therein contain information that the relevant parties maintain as confidential. The parties believe that the public will not be harmed by sealing the confidential business information.

Third, the Demonstratives are directly relevant to whether Apple is a real party in interest ("RPI") to Unified's IPR and will be used in support of the parties arguments at the oral hearing. *See Unified Patents, LLC v. MemoryWeb, LLC*, IPR2021-01413. The parties must rely on confidential information to present arguments related to whether Apple is an RPI to Unified's IPR.

Fourth, on balance, the interest in maintaining confidentiality outweighs the public interest in having an open record. Accordingly, the redacted portions of the

IPR2022-00031 Patent No. 10,621,228

Demonstratives (redactions forthcoming) should be sealed. The parties respectfully request that the Board grant this Motion.

II. GOOD CAUSE EXISTS FOR SEALING THE MOTION TO TERMINATE AND THE RELEVANT EXHIBITS

In deciding whether to seal exhibits, the Board must find "good cause" and must "strike a balance between the public's interest in maintaining a complete and understandable file history and the parties' interest in protecting truly sensitive information." *Garmin Int'l, Inc. v. Cuozzo Speed Techs. LLC*, IPR2012-00001, Paper 36 at 4 (PTAB Apr. 5, 2013).

The Demonstratives contains screenshots of exhibits and other information that have been marked "Confidential" or "HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL – ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY" under the Protective Order in this case. As such, the Demonstratives contains sensitive business information that was previously filed under seal in this proceeding. The parties and the other relevant parties assert that this sensitive business information has not been published or otherwise made publicly available. The Demonstratives rely on and discusses the confidential aspects of exhibits previously filed under seal. Redacted versions of the Demonstratives are forthcoming.

The balance favors protecting the relevant parties' confidential information. The information in the Demonstratives is not related to patentability, the scope of

DOCKET A L A R M



Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.