2020-1442

United States Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit

BARKAN WIRELESS IP HOLDINGS L.P.,

Appellant,

-v.-

UNIFIED PATENTS, LLC,

Appellee.

On Appeal from the United States Patent and Trademark Office Case No. IPR2018-01186

NON-CONFIDENTIAL BRIEF FOR APPELLANT BARKAN WIRELESS IP HOLDINGS L.P.

MICHAEL F. HEIM R. ALLAN BULLWINKEL BLAINE A. LARSON HEIM, PAYNE & CHORUSH LLP 1111 Bagby, Suite 2100 Houston, Texas 77002 (713) 221-2000

Counsel for Appellant



(888) 277-3259

MemoryWeb Ex. 2057



FORM 9. Certificate of Interest

Form 9 (p. 1) July 2020

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE FEDERAL CIRCUIT

CERTIFICATE OF INTEREST

Case Number 20-1442

Short Case Caption Barkan Wireless IP Holdings v. Unified Patents, LLC

Filing Party/Entity Barkan Wireless IP Holdings, L.P, Appellant

Instructions: Complete each section of the form. In answering items 2 and 3, be specific as to which represented entities the answers apply; lack of specificity may result in non-compliance. **Please enter only one item per box; attach additional pages as needed and check the relevant box.** Counsel must immediately file an amended Certificate of Interest if information changes. Fed. Cir. R. 47.4(b).

I certify the following information and any attached sheets are accurate and complete to the best of my knowledge.

Date: July 15, 2020 Signature: /s/ Michael F. Heim

Name: Michael F. Heim



FORM 9. Certificate of Interest

Form 9 (p. 2) July 2020

1. Represented Entities. Fed. Cir. R. 47.4(a)(1).	2. Real Party in Interest. Fed. Cir. R. 47.4(a)(2).	3. Parent Corporations and Stockholders. Fed. Cir. R. 47.4(a)(3).	
Provide the full names of all entities represented by undersigned counsel in this case.	Provide the full names of all real parties in interest for the entities. Do not list the real parties if they are the same as the entities.	Provide the full names of all parent corporations for the entities and all publicly held companies that own 10% or more stock in the entities.	
☐ None/Not Applicable	☐ None/Not Applicable	X None/Not Applicable	
Barkan Wireless IP Holdings L.P.	Barkan GP Inc.		
	Additional pages attach	ed	



MemoryWeb Ex. 2057

FORM 9	. Certificate	of Interest
--------	---------------	-------------

Form 9 (p. 3) July 2020

4. Legal Representatives. appeared for the entities in appear in this court for the entered an appearance in the	the originating court or age entities. Do not include tho	ncy or (b) are expected to se who have already		
□ None/Not Applicable	☐ Additional pages attached			
Armond Wilson LLP	Douglas R. Wilson	Michelle Armond		
5. Related Cases. Provide pending in this court or any directly affected by this cour originating case number(s) f R. 47.5(b).	other court or agency that rt's decision in the pending	will directly affect or be appeal. Do not include the		
□ None/Not Applicable	☐ Additiona	l pages attached		
C.A. No. 2:19-cv-00336-JRG U.S. District Court for the Ea	•	F '		
•				
6. Organizational Victims required under Fed. R. App. and 26.1(c) (bankruptcy case	P. 26.1(b) (organizational v	victims in criminal cases)		
☐ None/Not Applicable	☐ Additiona	l pages attached		



TABLE OF CONTENTS

CER	TIFI(CATE	OF IN	NTEREST	i
TAB	LE O	F COI	NTEN	TS	iv
TAB	LE O	F AU	гног	ITIES	.vii
STA	TEMI	ENT C)F RE	LATED CASES	ix
JUR	ISDI	CTION	NAL S	TATEMENT	1
STA'	ТЕМІ	ENT C	F TH	E ISSUES	2
I.	STA	TEME	ENT C	F THE CASE	3
	A.	State	ement	of Facts	4
		1.	Unifi	ed Patents, LLC	4
		2.	State	e of the Art	7
		3.	The '	284 Patent Invention	8
II.	SUM	IMAR	YOF	ARGUMENT	. 10
III.	ARGUMENT		.14		
	A.	Stan	dards	of Review	. 14
	В.	The Board committed legal error in its finding that Unified disclosed all real parties in interest			. 14
		1.	The l	Board's Institution Decision violated AIT	. 16
			a.	The Board applied an unduly restrictive interpretation of the RPI requirement, which resulted in legal error	. 16
			b.	The Board ignored Barkan's evidence establishing that the litigation defendants were members of Unified, and had paid money to Unified for post-grant challenges	. 19
			c.	The Board relied on unsupported statements by Unified that were backed by no evidence.	. 25



DOCKET

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

