

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

MICRON TECHNOLOGY, INC.,
Petitioner

v.

VERVAIN, LLC,
Patent Owner

Case: IPR2021-01550
U.S. Patent No. 10,950,300

PATENT OWNER'S SUR-REPLY

CONTENTS

I. INTRODUCTION1

II. THE CITED PRIOR ART DOES NOT RENDER THE CHALLENGED CLAIMS UNPATENTABLE.....1

A. PETITIONER’S FOUR-LINE ANALYSIS FOR LIMITATION [1.E] IS DEFICIENT.2

1. PETITIONER’S LIMITATION [1.E] ANALYSIS IS UNCLEAR.....2

2. PETITIONER HAS NOT ESTABLISHED THAT A POSITA WOULD HAVE SOUGHT TO MAKE THE PROPOSED OBVIOUSNESS MODIFICATION.....9

3. PETITIONER IGNORES THAT DUSIJA’S PREFERRED EMBODIMENT INCLUDES A FLASH CACHE.15

B. PETITIONER’S ANALYSIS FOR LIMITATION [1.G.2] IS DEFICIENT.18

C. PETITIONER’S ANALYSIS FOR LIMITATION [1.H] IS DEFICIENT.19

D. PETITIONER’S ANALYSIS FOR INDEPENDENT CLAIM 12 IS DEFICIENT.20

E. PETITIONER’S ANALYSIS FOR DEPENDENT CLAIMS 2-9 AND 11 IS DEFICIENT.21

F. PETITIONER’S ANALYSIS IN GROUND 2 REGARDING CLAIM 10 IS DEFICIENT.21

III. PETITIONER’S EXPERT IS NOT CREDIBLE.....21

IV. CONCLUSION25

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

	Page(s)
Cases	
<i>Amazon Web Services, Inc. v. Saint Regis Mohawk Tribe</i> , IPR2019-00103, Paper No. 22 (PTAB May 10, 2019)	4
<i>Corning Inc. v. Danjou’s DSM IP Assets B.V.</i> , Case No. IPR2013-00043, Paper No. 95 (PTAB May 1, 2014).....	4
<i>Fantasia Trading LLC v. Cognipower, LLC</i> , No. IPR2021-00071, 2022 WL 1616533 (PTAB. May 11, 2022)	23
<i>Intelligent Bio-Systems, Inc. v. Illumina Cambridge, Ltd.</i> , 821 F.3d 1359 (Fed. Cir. 2016)	5, 12, 14
<i>Liberty Mutual Ins. Co. v. Progressive Casualty Ins. Co.</i> , CBM2012-00003, Paper No. 8 (PTAB Oct. 25, 2012)	5
<i>Ultratec, Inc. v. CaptionCall, LLC</i> , 872 F.3d 1267 (Fed. Cir. 2017)	23
<i>Xilinx, Inc. v. Analog Devices, Inc.</i> , No. IPR2020-01564, 2022 WL 947004 (PTAB Mar. 11, 2022).....	24
Statutes	
35 U.S.C. §§ 314(a), 316(e).....	9

EXHIBIT LIST

Exhibit	Description	Previously Submitted
Ex. 2001	Declaration of Dr. Sunil Khatri	X
Ex. 2002	Chen et al., <i>Ultra MLC Technology Introduction</i> , Advantech Technical White Paper (Oct. 5, 2012) (“Chen”)	X
Ex. 2003	Excerpts from Micheloni et al., <i>Inside NAND Flash Memories</i> (1 st ed. 2010) (“Micheloni”)	X
Ex. 2004	<i>Intentionally omitted</i>	
Ex. 2005	Microsoft Computer Dictionary definition for “data integrity”	X
Ex. 2006	Hargrave’s Communications Dictionary definition for “data integrity”	X
Ex. 2007	https://www.law360.com/articles/1381597/albright-says-he-ll-very-rarely-put-cases-on-hold-for-ptab	X
Ex. 2008	Docket Sheet for Case. No. 6:21-cv-487-ADA; <i>Vervain v. Micron Technology et al.</i> ; U.S. District Court, Western District of Texas.	X
Ex. 2009	Exhibit D-3, Invalidity Claim Chart for the ’300 Patent based on U.S. Patent Application Pub. No. 2011/0099460 (“Dusija”)	X
Ex. 2010	Exhibit D-18, Invalidity Claim Chart for the ’300 Patent based on U.S. Patent Application Pub. No. US 2008/0140918 (“Sutardja”)	X
Ex. 2011	<i>Intentionally omitted</i>	
Ex. 2012	Claim Construction Order in <i>Vervain v. Micron Tech., Inc.</i> , No. 6:21-cv-487-ADA (W.D. Tex.) and <i>Vervain v. Western Digital Corp.</i> , No. 6:21-cv-488-ADA (W.D.	X

	Tex.) (Jan. 24, 2022)	
Ex. 2013	Micron's Preliminary Invalidity Contentions for U.S. Patent Nos. 8,891,298; 9,196,385; 9,997,240; and 10,950,300; Case. No. 6:21-cv-487-ADA; <i>Vervain v. Micron Technology et al.</i> ; U.S. District Court, Western District of Texas.	X
Ex. 2014	Declaration of Dr. Sunil Khatri in Support of Patent Owner's Response	X
Ex. 2015	Transcript of June 10, 2022 Deposition of Dr. David Liu	X
Ex. 2016	<i>Intentionally omitted</i>	X
Ex. 2017	U.S. Patent No. 5,721,862	X
Ex. 2018	<i>Intentionally omitted</i>	X
Ex. 2019	U.S. Patent No. 5,535,399	X
Ex. 2020	Transcript of November 3, 2022 Deposition of Dr. David Liu	

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.