

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

---

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

---

APOTEX INC. AND APOTEX CORP.,  
Petitioners

v.

AUSPEX PHARMACEUTICALS, INC.,  
Patent Owner

---

Case IPR2021-01507  
U.S. Patent No. 8,524,733  
Issued: September 3, 2013

Title:  
BENZOQUINOLINE INHIBITORS OF VESICULAR MONOAMINE TRANSPORTER 2

**PETITION FOR *INTER PARTES* REVIEW**

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>Page</u>
<b>I. INTRODUCTION .....</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>II. MANDATORY NOTICES .....</b>	<b>6</b>
A. Real Party-In-Interest .....	6
B. Related Matters.....	6
C. Designation of Lead and Back-Up Counsel.....	6
D. Notice of Service Information.....	6
<b>III. REQUIREMENTS FOR INTER PARTES REVIEW .....</b>	<b>7</b>
A. Grounds for Standing .....	7
B. Identification of Challenge.....	7
<b>IV. BACKGROUND .....</b>	<b>8</b>
A. Knowledge in the Prior Art Would Have Led a POSITA to the Claimed Invention .....	8
1. Tetrabenazine Was a Long-Known Therapeutic Compound with Drawbacks.....	9
2. Certain Positions of Tetrabenazine Were Known to Be Essential for Activity and Were Also Sites of Metabolism.....	10
3. Strategic Deuteration Was Known to Slow Metabolism in Compounds Like Tetrabenazine .....	12
4. Deuteration Was Also Known to Impart Other Therapeutic Benefits .....	16
B. The '733 Patent .....	16
1. The Specification .....	16
2. The Claims .....	18

C.	The Prosecution History: The Examiner Was Missing Key Disclosures and Was Led to Error by Unsupported Arguments About Unexpected Results .....	19
<b>V.</b>	<b>DETAILED EXPLANATION OF GROUNDS FOR UNPATENTABILITY .....</b>	<b>23</b>
A.	Legal Standards .....	23
B.	Ground 1: Claims 1-3 Would Have Been Obvious Over Zheng in View of Naicker '921 and Kohl .....	25
1.	A POSITA Would Have Been Motivated to Combine Prior Art Teachings Regarding Tetrabenazine and Deuteration to Arrive at the Claimed Invention .....	26
a.	Zheng Would Have Motivated a POSITA to Select Tetrabenazine as a Lead Compound and Seek to Improve It .....	26
b.	Naicker '921 Would Have Motivated a POSITA To Deuterate Tetrabenazine in Order to Improve Its Activity and Highlights Methoxy Groups Specifically as Promising Sites for Deuteration.....	28
c.	Kohl Discloses Benefits from Deuterating Methoxy Groups and Also Teaches the Other Claim Limitations .....	32
2.	A POSITA Would Have Had a Reasonable Expectation of Arriving at the Claimed Compounds and Compositions .....	35
3.	The Patentee Failed to Show Unexpected Results Sufficient to Rebut Obviousness.....	36
a.	The Results Were Not Unexpected .....	36
b.	Patentee's Evidence of Reduced Side Effects Was Based on a Legally Irrelevant Comparison .....	39

4.	Ground 1 Presents New References and Disclosures That Were Not Before the Examiner and Highlights the Examiner’s Error in Accepting Evidence of Unexpected Results .....	40
C.	Ground 2: The ’733 Patent Claims Would Have Been Obvious Over Zheng in View of Foster AB and Kohl .....	42
1.	A POSITA Would Have Been Motivated to Combine Prior Art Teachings Regarding Tetrabenazine and Deuteration to Arrive at the Claimed Invention .....	43
a.	Zheng Would Have Motivated a POSITA to Select Tetrabenazine as a Lead Compound and Seek to Improve It .....	43
b.	Foster AB Would Have Motivated a POSITA to Deuterate Tetrabenazine in Order to Improve Tetrabenazine’s Activity and Highlights Methoxy Groups as Promising Sites for Deuteration .....	43
c.	Kohl Discloses Benefits from Deuterating Methoxy Groups and Also Teaches Other Dependent Claim Limitations.....	45
2.	A POSITA Would Have Had a Reasonable Expectation of Arriving at the Claimed Compounds and Compositions .....	46
3.	The Patentee Has Not Shown Unexpected Results Sufficient to Rebut Obviousness.....	47
a.	The Results Were Not Unexpected .....	47
b.	Patentee’s Evidence of Reduced Side Effects Was Based on a Legally Irrelevant Comparison .....	49
4.	Ground 2 Presents New References and Disclosures That Were Not Before the Examiner and Highlights the Examiner’s Error in Accepting Evidence of Unexpected Results .....	50

D.	Ground 3: Claims 1-3 Would Have Been Obvious Over Gano in View of Schwartz and Gant '991 .....	51
1.	A POSITA Would Have Been Motivated to Combine Prior Art Teachings Regarding Tetrabenazine and Deuteration to Arrive at the Claimed Invention .....	52
a.	Gano Would Have Motivated a POSITA to Select Tetrabenazine as a Lead Compound and Seek to Improve It .....	52
b.	Schwartz Teaches that the Methoxy Groups of Deutetrabenazine Were Sites of Metabolism .....	53
c.	Gant '991 Would Have Motivated a POSITA to Deuterate the Methoxy Groups of Tetrabenazine and Discloses the Other Claim Limitations.....	54
2.	A POSITA Would Have Had a Reasonable Expectation of Arriving at the Claimed Compounds and Compositions .....	58
3.	The Patentee Has Not Shown Unexpected Results Sufficient to Rebut Obviousness.....	59
a.	The Results Were Not Unexpected .....	59
b.	Patentee's Evidence of Reduced Side Effects Was Based on a Legally Irrelevant Comparison .....	60
4.	Ground 3 Presents New References and Disclosures That Were Not Before the Examiner and Highlights the Examiner's Error in Accepting Evidence of Unexpected Results .....	61
<b>VI.</b>	<b>CONCLUSION .....</b>	<b>62</b>

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.