## In the UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

#### BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

NetNut Ltd.

Petitioner,

V.

**Bright Data Ltd.** 

Patent Owner.

Case IPR2021-01492

U.S. Patent No. 10,257,319

#### PETITION FOR INTER PARTES REVIEW

### Mail Stop PATENT BOARD

Patent Trial and Appeal Board U.S. Patent and Trademark Office P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450



## **Contents**

1	INI	KODU	JIION	1	
2	STATUTORY PREDICATES				
	2.1	Mandatory Notices (37 CFR § 42.8)			
		2.1.1	Real Parties-In-Interest	2	
		2.1.2	Related Matters	2	
		2.1.3	Lead and Backup Counsel	8	
		2.1.4	Service Information	9	
	2.2	Other		9	
3	DIS	CRETIO	ONARY CONSIDERATIONS	10	
	3.1	Fintiv ]	Factors	11	
		3.1.1	Factor 1—Existence or likelihood of a stay	11	
		3.1.2	Factor 2—Proximity of trial date to final written decision	11	
		3.1.3	Factor 3—Investment in parallel proceedings	11	
		3.1.4	Factor 4—Overlap in issues raised	11	
		3.1.5	Factor 5—Whether the parties are the same	12	
		3.1.6	Factor 6—Other circumstances including the merits	12	
3.2 General Plastic Factors			al Plastic Factors	12	
		3.2.1	Factor 1—Prior petition by same petitioner	12	
		3.2.2	Factor 2—Petitioner's prior knowledge of asserted art .	13	
		3.2.3	Factor 3—Petitioner's prior receipt of preliminary re-		
			sponse or institution decision	13	



		3.2.4	Factor 4—Elapsed time since the petitioner learned of		
			the asserted art	14	
		3.2.5	Factor 5—Explanation of time elapsed since prior pe-		
			tition	14	
		3.2.6	Factor 6—The finite resources of the Board	15	
		3.2.7	Factor 7—The requirement for a final written decision		
			within a year	15	
4	OVI	ERVIEV	W OF THE '319 PATENT	15	
	4.1	Claims	3	15	
	4.2	Specifi	ication	17	
	4.3	Priorit	y Date	20	
5	LEV	EL OF	SKILL IN THE ART	20	
6	CLA	AIM CC	ONSTRUCTION	21	
7	OVI	ERVIEV	W OF CITED ART	26	
	7.1	Crowd	s	26	
	7.2	Morph	Mix	26	
	7.3	Border		27	
	7.4	RFCs		27	
8	GROUNDS FOR INVALIDITY				
	8.1	GROU	ND 1: ANTICIPATION OF CLAIMS 1, 19, and 21-29		
		BY CF	ROWDS	28	



	8.1.1	Claim 1	29
	8.1.2	Claims 19, and 28-29 (corresponding recorded media,	
		downloading, and device)	37
	8.1.3	Claims 21-22 and 24-25 (communications via TCP) .	37
	8.1.4	Claim 23 (running a browser)	38
	8.1.5	Claim 26 (client O/S)	38
	8.1.6	Claim 27 (sequential execution)	39
8.2	GROU	JND 2: OBVIOUSNESS OF CLAIMS 1-2, 14-15, 17-	
	19, an	d 21-29 OVER CROWDS + RFC 2616 + GENERAL	
	KNOV	VLEDGE	39
	8.2.1	Claim 1	40
	8.2.2	Claim 2 (client device identifies itself on startup)	41
	8.2.3	Claims 14-15 (validity check)	42
	8.2.4	Claims 17-18 (periodically communicating)	43
	8.2.5	Claims 19 and 21-29	43
8.3	GROU	UND 3: ANTICIPATION OF CLAIMS 1, 12, 14, 21-22,	
	24-25,	AND 27-29 BY BORDER	44
	8.3.1	Claim 1	46
	8.3.2	Claim 12 (storing the received content)	52
	8.3.3	Claim 14 (validity check)	52
	8.3.4	Claims 21-22 and 24-25 (communications via TCP) .	53
	8.3.5	Claim 27 (sequential execution)	53
	8.3.6	Claims 28-29 (corresponding recorded media and de-	
		vice)	54



8.4 GROUND 4: OBVIOUSNESS OF CLAIMS 1, 12, 14-15					
	19, 21-22, 24-25, and 27-29 OVER BORDER + RFC 2616 +				
	GENERAL KNOWLEDGE				
	8.4.1	Claim 1	56		
	8.4.2	Claim 15 (validity check, RFC 2616)	57		
	8.4.3	Claims 17-18 (periodically communicating)	57		
	8.4.4	Claim 19 (downloading software application)	58		
	8.4.5	Claims 12, 14, 21-22, 24-25, and 27-29	59		
8.5	GROUND 5: ANTICIPATION OF CLAIMS 1, 17, 19, and				
	21-29	BY MORPHMIX	59		
	8.5.1	Claim 1	61		
	8.5.2	Claim 17 (periodically communicating)	67		
	8.5.3	Claims 19 and 28-29 (corresponding recorded media,			
		downloading, and device)	69		
	8.5.4	Claim 23 (web-page and browser)	69		
	8.5.5	Claims 21-22 and 24-25 (communications via TCP) .	69		
	8.5.6	Claim 26 (client O/S)	70		
	8.5.7	Claim 27 (sequential execution)	70		
8.6	GROUND 6: OBVIOUSNESS OF CLAIMS 1-2, 14-15, 17-				
	19, 21	-29 OVER MORPHMIX + RFC 2616 + GENERAL			
	KNOW	VLEDGE	71		
	8.6.1	Claim 1	71		
	8.6.2	Claim 2 (client device identifies itself at startup)	73		
	8.6.3	Claims 14-15 (validity check)	74		



# DOCKET A L A R M

## Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## **Real-Time Litigation Alerts**



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## **Advanced Docket Research**



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

### **Analytics At Your Fingertips**



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

#### API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

#### **LAW FIRMS**

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

#### **FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS**

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

#### **E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS**

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

