



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
**United States Patent and Trademark Office**  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
90/014,875	10/07/2021	10257319	HOLA-005-US4-EPR	3994
131926	7590	03/25/2022	EXAMINER	
May Patents Ltd. c/o Dorit Shem-Tov P.O.B 7230 Ramat-Gan, 5217102 ISRAEL			WORJLOH, JALATEE	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3992	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/25/2022	PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450  
[www.uspto.gov](http://www.uspto.gov)

**DO NOT USE IN PALM PRINTER**

(THIRD PARTY REQUESTER'S CORRESPONDENCE ADDRESS)

Charhon Callahan Robson & Garza, PLLC  
3333 Lee Parkway, Suite 460  
Dallas, TX 75219

***EX PARTE* REEXAMINATION COMMUNICATION TRANSMITTAL FORM**

REEXAMINATION CONTROL NO. 90/014,875 .

PATENT UNDER REEXAMINATION 10257319 .

ART UNIT 3992 .

Enclosed is a copy of the latest communication from the United States Patent and Trademark Office in the above identified *ex parte* reexamination proceeding (37 CFR 1.550(f)).

Where this copy is supplied after the reply by requester, 37 CFR 1.535, or the time for filing a reply has passed, no submission on behalf of the *ex parte* reexamination requester will be acknowledged or considered (37 CFR 1.550(g)).

<b>Office Action in Ex Parte Reexamination</b>	<b>Control No.</b> 90/014,875	<b>Patent Under Reexamination</b> 10257319	
	<b>Examiner</b> JALATEE WORJLOH	<b>Art Unit</b> 3992	<b>AIA (FITF) Status</b> No

**-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --**

- a.  Responsive to the communication(s) filed on \_\_\_\_\_.  
 A declaration(s)/affidavit(s) under **37 CFR 1.130(b)** was/were filed on \_\_\_\_\_.
- b.  This action is made FINAL.
- c.  A statement under 37 CFR 1.530 has not been received from the patent owner.

A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to expire 2 month(s) from the mailing date of this letter. Failure to respond within the period for response will result in termination of the proceeding and issuance of an *ex parte* reexamination certificate in accordance with this action. 37 CFR 1.550(d). **EXTENSIONS OF TIME ARE GOVERNED BY 37 CFR 1.550(c)**. If the period for response specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a response within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.

**Part I THE FOLLOWING ATTACHMENT(S) ARE PART OF THIS ACTION:**

1.  Notice of References Cited by Examiner, PTO-892.                      3.  Interview Summary, PTO-474.  
2.  Information Disclosure Statement, PTO/SB/08.                      4.  \_\_\_\_\_.

**Part II SUMMARY OF ACTION**

- 1a.  Claims 1-2,12,14-15,17-19,21-22 and 24-29 are subject to reexamination.
- 1b.  Claims 3-11,13,16,20 and 23 are not subject to reexamination.
2.  Claims \_\_\_\_\_ have been canceled in the present reexamination proceeding.
3.  Claims \_\_\_\_\_ are patentable and/or confirmed.
4.  Claims 1-2,12,14-15,17-19,21-22 and 24-29 are rejected.
5.  Claims \_\_\_\_\_ are objected to.
6.  The drawings, filed on \_\_\_\_\_ are acceptable.
7.  The proposed drawing correction, filed on \_\_\_\_\_ has been (7a)  approved (7b)  disapproved.
8.  Acknowledgment is made of the priority claim under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d) or (f).  
a)  All b)  Some\* c)  None of the certified copies have  
1  been received.  
2  not been received.  
3  been filed in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.  
4  been filed in reexamination Control No. \_\_\_\_\_.  
5  been received by the International Bureau in PCT application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
- \* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.
9.  Since the proceeding appears to be in condition for issuance of an *ex parte* reexamination certificate except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte* Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.
10.  Other: \_\_\_\_\_

cc: Requester (if third party requester)

## NON-FINAL ACTION

### *Introduction*

This is the *ex parte* reexamination of claims 1, 2, 12, 14, 15, 17-19, 21, 22, and 24-29 of U.S. Patent No. 10,257,319 to Shribman et al. (“Shribman”) for which a substantial new question of patentability has been deemed to exist.

### *Notice of Pre-AIA or AIA Status*

The present application is being examined under the pre-AIA first to invent provisions.

### *References cited in Request*

- Reiter, M. & Rubin A., *Crowds: Anonymity for Web Transactions*, ACM Transactions on Information and System Security, Vol. 1, No. 1, at pp. 66-2 (Nov. 1998) (“Crowds”);
- Fielding, R. et al., *Hypertext Transfer Protocol -HTTP 1.1.*, RFC 2616, IETF (June 1999) (“RFC 2616”);
- U.S. Patent No. 6,795,848 to Border et al. (“Border”); and
- Rennhard, M. *MorphMix-A Peer-to-Peer-based System for Anonymous Internet Access*, Doctoral Thesis (2004) (“MorphMix”).

### *Other*

- Declaration of Dr. Michael J. Freedman

### *Patent Owner Statement*

No Patent Owner statement was filed.

*Information Disclosure Statement*

Regarding IDS submissions MPEP 2256 recites the following: "Where patents, publications, and other such items of information are submitted by a party (patent owner or requester) in compliance with the requirements of the rules, the requisite degree of consideration to be given to such information will be normally limited by the degree to which the party filing the information citation has explained the content and relevance of the information." Accordingly, the IDS submissions have been considered by the Examiner only with the scope required by MPEP 2256.

*Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102*

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of pre-AIA 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.

Claims 1, 12, 14, 17, 21, 22, 24, 25, 26, 27-29 are rejected under pre-AIA 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being anticipated by Border.

**1. A method for use with a first client device, for use with a first server that comprises a web server that is a Hypertext Transfer Protocol (HTTP) server that responds to HTTP requests, the first server stores a first content identified by a first content identifier, and for**

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.