UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

CODE200, UAB; TESO LT, UAB; METACLUSTER LT, UAB; OXYSALES, UAB; AND CORETECH LT, UAB;

Petitioners,

v.

BRIGHT DATA LTD., Patent Owner.

Case IPR2021-01492 Patent No. 10,257,319

PETITIONERS' REPLY TO PATENT OWNER'S OPPOSITION

Mail Stop PATENT BOARD
Patent Trial and Appeal Board
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450



TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.		RD SHOULD REJECT PO'S PROPOSED CONSTRUCTIONS ENT DEVICE" AND "SECOND SERVER"1	
	A. PO's Pı	roposed Constructions for "Client Device" are Inappropriate1	
	1.	PO's proposed "client device" characteristics are highly subjective and indefinite	
	2.	PO's "client device" characteristics are not in the specification 4	
	B. PO's Pa	roposed Constructions for "Second Server" are Inappropriate6	
	1.	PO's proposed "server" characteristics are highly subjective and indefinite	
	2.	PO's proposed "server" construction is unsupported by the specification8	
		onstructions Require Comparisons Between Unidentified Devices entified Points in Time10	
	D. Willian	ns Cannot Consistently Apply His Own Constructions11	
II.		RD SHOULD APPLY THE DISTRICT COURT'S ROLE- ONSTRUCTIONS12	
	A. The Dis	strict Court Has Consistently Applied Role-Based Constructions	
		strict Court's Constructions are Consistent with the Intrinsic ce	
	C. PO's Pa	osecution History Arguments are Unavailing15	
III.	CROWDS	, MORPHMIX, AND BORDER INVALIDATE CLAIM 117	
	A. The Prior Art Anticipates Claim 1 Under the Proper Role-Based Constructions		
	1.	PO's sole argument would render claim 1 nonsensical and impossible to practice	
	2.	Crowds anticipates claim 1	
	3.	Border and MorphMix each anticipate claim 120	



IPR2021-01492 of Patent No. 10,257,319

	B. Claim 1	Is Obvious Under PO's Proposed Constructions	20
	C. PO's A	rguments Regarding the Dependent Claims Lack Merit	22
	1.	Claim 18 is invalid	22
	2.	Claim 19 is invalid	23
	3.	Claim 24 is invalid	23
	D. "Teachi	ng Away" Is Not Relevant	23
	E. PO's A	lleged Secondary Considerations of Non-Obviousness Lack	
	Nexus		24
IV.	PO'S CRI	ΓICISM OF MR. TERUYA LACKS MERIT	26
V	CONCLUS	SION	27



TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

Celeritas Techs., Ltd. v. Rockwell Int'l Corp., 150 F.3d 1354 (Fed. Cir. 1998)	24
Datamize, LLC v. Plumtree Software, Inc., 417 F.3d 1342 (Fed. Cir. 2005)	2
Halliburton Energy Servs., Inc. v. M-I, LLC, 514 F.3d 1244 (Fed. Cir. 2008)	2
<i>Kyocera Senco Indus. Tools, Inc. v. ITC</i> , 22 F.4th 1369, 1379 (Fed. Cir. 2022)	5
MeadWestVaco Corp. v. Rexam Beauty & Closures, Inc., 731 F.3d 1258 (Fed. Cir. 2013)	23
Nellcor Puritan Bennett, Inc. v. Masimo Corp., 402 F.3d 1364 (Fed. Cir. 2005)	18
SightSound Techs., LLC v. Apple Inc., 809 F.3d 1307 (Fed. Cir. 2015)	16
Ventana Medical Systems v. Biogenex Labs., 473 F.3d 1173 (Fed. Cir. 2006)	16
OTHER AUTHORITIES	
83 Fed. Reg. 51344, 51348	13



LISTING OF EXHIBITS

Ex. No.	Description
1001	United States Patent No. 10,257,319 to Shribman et al.
1002	File History for United States Patent No. 10,257,319
1003	Petitioners' Chart of Challenged Claims
1004	Luminati's Opposition to Defendants' Motion to Dismiss, Luminati Networks Ltd. v. Teso LT, UAB et al., 2:19-cv-00395- JRG (E.D. Tex.)
1005	Declaration of Keith J. Teruya with curriculum vitae
1006	Michael Reiter & Aviel Rubin, Crowds: Anonymity for Web Transactions, ACM Transactions on Information and System Security, Vol. 1, No. 1, Nov. 1998, at 66-92
1007	Declaration of Scott Delman (regarding Crowds)
1008	Marc Rennhard, MorphMix – A Peer-to-Peer-based System for Anonymous Internet Access (2004) (Doctoral Thesis)
1009	Declaration of Marc Rennhard (regarding MorphMix)
1010	Declaration of Bernhard Plattner (regarding MorphMix)
1011	Declaration of Andreas Berz (regarding MorphMix)
1012	United States Patent No. 6,795,848 to Border et al.
1013	Fielding, R. <i>et al.</i> , "Hypertext Transfer Protocol – HTTP/1.1", RFC 2616, June 1999
1014	Socolofsky, T. and C. Kale, "TCP/IP Tutorial", RFC 1180, January 1991
1015	Postel, J., "Internet Protocol", STD 5, RFC 791, September 1981
1016	Braden, R., Ed., "Requirements for Internet Hosts - Communication Layers", STD 3, RFC 1122, October 1989
1017	Claim Construction Opinion and Order, Luminati Networks Ltd.



DOCKET

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

