



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
90/014,876	10/05/2021	10484510	HOLA-005-US10-EPR	2574
131926	7590	03/23/2022	EXAMINER	
May Patents Ltd. c/o Dorit Shem-Tov			WOOD, WILLIAM H	
P.O.B 7230			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Ramat-Gan, 5217102			3992	
ISRAEL			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/23/2022	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

DO NOT USE IN PALM PRINTER

(THIRD PARTY REQUESTER'S CORRESPONDENCE ADDRESS)

Charhon Callahan Robson & Garza, PLLC
3333 Lee Parkway, Suite 460
Dallas, TX 75219

***EX PARTE* REEXAMINATION COMMUNICATION TRANSMITTAL FORM**

REEXAMINATION CONTROL NO. 90/014,876 .

PATENT UNDER REEXAMINATION 10484510 .

ART UNIT 3992 .

Enclosed is a copy of the latest communication from the United States Patent and Trademark Office in the above identified *ex parte* reexamination proceeding (37 CFR 1.550(f)).

Where this copy is supplied after the reply by requester, 37 CFR 1.535, or the time for filing a reply has passed, no submission on behalf of the *ex parte* reexamination requester will be acknowledged or considered (37 CFR 1.550(g)).

Office Action in Ex Parte Reexamination	Control No. 90/014,876	Patent Under Reexamination 10484510	
	Examiner WILLIAM H WOOD	Art Unit 3992	AIA (FITF) Status No

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

- a. ☐ Responsive to the communication(s) filed on _____.
☐ A declaration(s)/affidavit(s) under **37 CFR 1.130(b)** was/were filed on _____.

b. ☐ This action is made FINAL.

c. ☒ A statement under 37 CFR 1.530 has not been received from the patent owner.

A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to expire 2 month(s) from the mailing date of this letter.
Failure to respond within the period for response will result in termination of the proceeding and issuance of an *ex parte* reexamination certificate in accordance with this action. 37 CFR 1.550(d). **EXTENSIONS OF TIME ARE GOVERNED BY 37 CFR 1.550(c).**
If the period for response specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a response within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.

Part I THE FOLLOWING ATTACHMENT(S) ARE PART OF THIS ACTION:

- | | |
|---|---|
| 1. <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited by Examiner, PTO-892. | 3. <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary, PTO-474. |
| 2. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement, PTO/SB/08. | 4. <input type="checkbox"/> _____. |

Part II SUMMARY OF ACTION

- 1a. ☒ Claims 1-2,6-11,13 and 15-24 are subject to reexamination.
- 1b. ☒ Claims 3-5,12 and 14 are not subject to reexamination.
2. ☐ Claims _____ have been canceled in the present reexamination proceeding.
3. ☐ Claims _____ are patentable and/or confirmed.
4. ☒ Claims 1-2,6-11,13 and 15-24 are rejected.
5. ☐ Claims _____ are objected to.
6. ☒ The drawings, filed on 05 October 2021 are acceptable.
7. ☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on _____ has been (7a) ☐ approved (7b) ☐ disapproved.
8. ☐ Acknowledgment is made of the priority claim under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some* c) ☐ None of the certified copies have
1 ☐ been received.
2 ☐ not been received.
3 ☐ been filed in Application No. _____.
4 ☐ been filed in reexamination Control No. _____.
5 ☐ been received by the International Bureau in PCT application No. _____.

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

9. ☐ Since the proceeding appears to be in condition for issuance of an *ex parte* reexamination certificate except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte* Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.
10. ☐ Other: _____

cc: Requester (if third party requester)

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office
PTOL-466 (Rev. 08-13)

Office Action in Ex Parte Reexamination

Part of Paper No. 20220314

The present application is being examined under the pre-AIA first to invent provisions.

DECISION ON REQUEST FOR REEXAMINATION

Reexamination (*Ex Parte*) has been requested by a third party for claims 1, 2, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 13, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22, 23, and 24 of US 10,484,510 B2 to Shribman et al. which issued on 11/19/2019 and was filed on 02/17/2019 (herein *Shribman* or '*510 patent*'). A Request for *Ex Parte* Reexamination (herein *Request*) was received on 10/05/2021.

A substantial new question of patentability affecting claims 1, 2, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 13, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22, 23, and 24 of US 10,484,510 B2 to *Shribman* is raised by the request for *Ex Parte* reexamination filed 10/05/2021. As such the filed request for reexamination is granted.

Information Disclosure Statement

The 14 information disclosure statements (IDS) submitted on 12/20/2021 and the IDS submitted on 02/14/2022 are considered by the examiner in accordance with 37 CFR 1.97, 37 CFR 1.98, MPEP 609, and MPEP 1406, to the fullest extent of the items presented including any concise explanation.

Documents not meeting a particular criteria are lined through and not considered.

The submitted English translation of CN 110071980 was blank.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

In the event the determination of the status of the application as subject to AIA 35 U.S.C. 102 and 103 (or as subject to pre-AIA 35 U.S.C. 102 and 103) is incorrect, any correction of the statutory basis for the rejection will not be considered a new ground of rejection if the prior art relied upon, and the rationale supporting the rejection, would be the same under either status.

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of pre-AIA 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claim(s) 1, 6-8, 10, and 15-24 is/are rejected under pre-AIA 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by US 6,795,848 B1 (herein *Border*).

Consistent with the Request for Reexamination of 10/05/2021, the claim language is viewed using the broadest reasonable interpretation. In the case of a “client device”, the broadest reasonable interpretation is a device that acts, at least at some point, as a client. And in the case of a “server”, the broadest reasonable interpretation is a device that acts, at least at some point, as a server.

<i>Claim 1</i>	<i>Border</i>
A method for use with a web server that responds to Hypertext Transfer Protocol (HTTP) requests and stores a first content identified by a first content identifier, the method by a first client device comprising:	<i>Border</i> shows “a web server” (<i>Border: figure 1, element 109</i>), “first content” (<i>Border: column 3, lines 34-46, at least “web content” and/or “URL content”</i>), “a first content identifier” (<i>Border: at least requested “URL”</i>), and “a first client device” (<i>Border: figure 1, element 107, “upstream server”</i>).

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.