IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re *Inter Partes* Review of:)
U.S. Patent No. 9,445,251)
Issued: September 13, 2016)
Application No.: 14/633,804)

For: **Method to Provide Ad Hoc and Password Protected Digital and Voice Networks**

FILED VIA E2E

PETITION FOR *INTER PARTES* REVIEW OF U.S. PATENT NO. 9,445,251

DOCKET ALARM
Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at docketalarm.com.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I. Introduction....1

II. Mandatory Notices Under 37 C.F.R. § 42.8....3

A. Real Parties-in-Interest....3

B. Related Matters....3

C. Grounds for Standing....4

D. Lead and Backup Counsel and Service Information....5

E. Fee for *Inter Partes* Review....6

III. Identification Of Challenges (37 C.F.R. § 42.104(b))....6

IV. Background....6

A. The '251 Patent (Ex. 1001)....6

1. Brief description....6

2. Prosecution history ("'251 FH") (Ex. 1005)....7

B. The Person of Ordinary Skill in the Art....12

C. Claim Construction....12

1. "georeferenced map"....13

V. The '251 Patent's Effective Filing Date Is No Earlier Than October 31, 2014....13

A. Legal Background....14

1. Burden of production....14

2. Priority to an earlier-filed application....14

B. The '251 Patent's Broken Priority Chain....16

C. The '410 Application Does Not Incorporate the '724 patent....19

DOCKET ALARM

Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at docketalarm.com.

D. The ’251 Patent’s Claims Lack Written Description Support in the ’410 Application 21

1. The ’410 application lacks written description support for participating in the group “based on receiving the message from the second device” 23

2. The ’410 application lacks written description support for the full scope of the “group” feature 26

3. The ’410 application lacks written description support for requesting, retrieving, and using the second georeferenced map and its georeferencing data 30

4. The ’410 application lacks written description support for anonymous communications 36

VI. AGIS Cannot Swear Behind Because The ’251 Patent Is AIA 39

VII. Summary Of The Prior Art 40

A. Borghei (Ex. 1027) 40

B. Weber (Ex. 1028) 41

C. Liu (Ex. 1031) 43

VIII. Claims Of The ’251 Patent Are Obvious Over Borghei In View Of Weber And Liu 44

A. A POSA Would Have Been Motivated to Combine the Teachings of Borghei and Weber 44

B. A POSA Would Have Been Motivated to Combine the Teachings of Borghei and Weber with the Teachings of Liu 46

C. Independent Claims 1 and 24 48

1. Preambles and initial clause 48

2. 1[a] joining a group based on a message from another device 48

DOCKET ALARM

Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at docketalarm.com.

3. 1[b] participating in the group by exchanging location information via a server 49

4. 1[c] presenting a georeferenced map and symbols.....50

5. 1[d], 1[e] requesting and receiving second georeferenced map from a server 52

6. 1[f] presenting second georeferenced map and symbols.....53

7. 1[g] selecting symbols and sending data using IP via server.....55

8. 1[h] first device does not have access to other device's IP addresses 57

D. Dependent Claims 58

1. Claims 2, 25: send SMS, text, image, or video.....59

2. Claims 3, 9, 26, 32: first device is a PDA, PC, smartphone.....59

3. Claims 5, 28: update location based on time or distance travelled.....60

4. Claims 6, 29: initiating phone call by selecting symbol.....61

5. Claims 7, 30: message to join is an SMS message.....61

6. Claims 12, 35: sending GPS location using Internet Protocol 61

7. Claim 22: spatial coordinates include latitude and longitude.....61

8. Claim 23: initiating a VoIP or data call by selecting symbol.....62

IX. Secondary Considerations 62

X. Institution Is Appropriate.....62

DOCKET ALARM

Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at docketalarm.com.

A. Discretionary Denial is Unwarranted Under *Advanced Bionics* 63

B. Discretionary Denial is Unwarranted Under *General Plastic* 67

C. Discretionary Denial is Unwarranted Under *Fintiv* 68

XI. Conclusion 69

DOCKET ALARM Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at docketalarm.com.

DOCKET ALARM

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts

Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research

With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips

Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS
Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS
Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS
Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.