IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS WACO DIVISION

Neo Wireless LLC,	§	
	§	
74.1.100	§	
Plaintiff,	§	
	§	CIVIL ACTION NO. 6:21-cv-0024-
V.	§	ADA
	§	
Dell Technologies Inc. and Dell Inc.,	§	
	§	JURY TRIAL DEMANDED
Defendants.	§	
	§	
	§	

JOINT PROPOSED SCHEDULING ORDER

Pursuant to the Court's Standing Order Governing Proceedings – Patent Case and Proposed Scheduling Order, the Case Management Conference in this case was deemed to have occurred on Friday, July 23, 2021. Pursuant to such the Standing Order, the parties conferred subsequent to the hearing date and submitted a Joint Proposed Scheduling Order. Thus, pursuant to Rule 16, Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, the Court ORDERS that the following schedule will govern deadlines up to and including the trial of this matter:

Date	Event
July 16, 2021 (Friday)	Plaintiff serves preliminary ¹ infringement contentions in the form of a chart setting forth where in the accused product(s) each element of the asserted claim(s) are found. Plaintiff shall also identify the earliest priority date (i.e. the earliest date of invention) for each asserted claim and produce: (1) all documents evidencing conception and reduction to

¹ The parties may amend preliminary infringement contentions and preliminary invalidity contentions without leave of court so long as counsel certifies that it undertook reasonable efforts to prepare its preliminary contentions and the amendment is based on material identified after those preliminary contentions were served, and should do so seasonably upon identifying any such material. Any amendment to add patent claims requires leave of court so that the Court can address any scheduling issues.



	practice for each claimed invention, and (2) a copy of the file history for each patent in suit.
August 6, 2021	The Parties shall submit an agreed Scheduling Order. If the parties
(Friday)	cannot agree, the parties shall submit a separate Joint Motion for entry
, ,	of each Order briefly setting forth their respective positions on items
	where they cannot agree. Absent agreement of the parties, the Plaintiff
	shall be responsible for the timely submission of this and other Joint
	filings.
September 10, 2021	Defendant serves preliminary invalidity contentions in the form of (1) a
(Friday)	chart setting forth where in the prior art references each element of the
	asserted claim(s) are found, (2) an identification of any limitations the
	Defendant contends are indefinite or lack written description under
	section 112, and (3) an identification of any claims the Defendant
	contends are directed to ineligible subject matter under section 101.
	Defendant shall also produce (1) all prior art referenced in the invalidity
	contentions, and (2) technical documents, including software where
	applicable, sufficient to show the operation of the accused product(s).
September 23, 2021	Parties exchange claim terms for construction.
(Thursday)	
October 7, 2021	Parties exchange proposed claim constructions.
(Thursday)	
October 14, 2021	Parties disclose extrinsic evidence. The parties shall disclose any
(Thursday)	extrinsic evidence, including the identity of any expert witness they may
	rely upon with respect to claim construction or indefiniteness. With
	respect to any expert identified, the parties shall identify the scope of the
	topics for the witness's expected testimony. ² With respect to items of
	extrinsic evidence, the parties shall identify each such item by
	production number or produce a copy of any such item if not previously
	produced.
October 21, 2021	Deadline to meet and confer to narrow terms in dispute and exchange
(Thursday)	revised list of terms/constructions.
October 28, 2021	Defendant files Opening claim construction brief, including any
(Thursday)	arguments that any claim terms are not indefinite.
November 18, 2021	Plaintiff files Responsive claim construction brief.
(Thursday)	
December 2, 2021	Defendant files Reply claim construction brief.
(Thursday)	
December 16, 2021	Plaintiff files a Sur-Reply claim construction brief.
(Thursday)	
December 21, 2021	Parties submit Joint Claim Construction Statement.
(Tuesday)	Con Company I I amount Made #0 manual in 112 12 12 12 13 14 14 15 16 14
	See General Issues Note #9 regarding providing copies of the briefing to
	the Court and the technical adviser (if appointed).

² Any party may utilize a rebuttal expert in response to a brief where expert testimony is relied upon by the other party.



December 30, 2021	Parties submit optional technical tutorials to the Court and technical
(Thursday)	adviser (if appointed). ³
January 13, 2022	Markman Hearing at 9:00 a.m. This date is a placeholder and the Court
(Thursday) or as set	may adjust this date as the Markman hearing approaches.
by the Court	
January 14, 2022	Discovery commences on all issues and deadline to serve Initial
(Friday)	Disclosures per Rule 26(a).
February 24, 2022	Deadline to add parties.
(Thursday)	
March 10, 2022	Deadline to serve Final Infringement and Invalidity Contentions. After
(Thursday)	this date, leave of Court is required for any amendment to Infringement
	or Invalidity contentions. This deadline does not relieve the Parties of
	their obligation to seasonably amend if new information is identified
	after initial contentions.
May 5, 2022	Deadline to amend pleadings. A motion is not required unless the
(Thursday)	amendment adds patents or patent claims. (Note: This includes
(amendments in response to a 12(c) motion.)
July 14, 2022	Deadline for the first of two meet and confers to discuss significantly
(Thursday)	narrowing the number of claims asserted and prior art references at
(111112 1111)	issue. Unless the parties agree to the narrowing, they are ordered to
	contact the Court's Law Clerk to arrange a teleconference with the
	Court to resolve the disputed issues.
August 11, 2022	Fact Discovery Deadline. Fact discovery must be completed by this
(Thursday)	date. Any fact discovery requests must be propounded so that the
(111112 1111)	responses are due by this date.
August 18, 2022	Parties with burden of proof to designate Expert Witnesses and provide
(Thursday)	their expert witness reports, to include all information required by Rule
(26(a)(2)(B).
September 15, 2022	Parties shall designate Rebuttal Expert Witnesses on issues for which
(Thursday)	the parties do not bear the burden of proof, and provide their expert
	witness reports, to include all information required by Rule 26(a)(2)(B).
October 6, 2022	Expert Discovery Deadline. Expert discovery must be completed by
(Thursday)	this date.
October 13, 2022	Deadline for the second of two meet and confers to discuss narrowing
(Thursday)	the number of claims asserted and prior art references at issue to triable
(=11112 1111)	limits. To the extent it helps the parties determine these limits, the
	parties are encouraged to contact the Court's Law Clerk for an estimate
	of the amount of trial time anticipated per side. The parties shall file a
	Joint Report within 5 business days regarding the results of the meet and
	confer.
October 20, 2022	Daubert Motion deadline.
(Thursday)	
(Indibdd)	

³ The parties should contact the law clerk to request a Box link so that the party can directly upload the file to the Court's Box account.



	Any objection to the reliability of an expert's proposed testimony under Federal Rule of Evidence 702 shall be made by motion, specifically stating the basis for the objection and identifying the objectionable testimony, not later than 14 days of receipt of the written report of the expert's proposed testimony or not later than 14 days of the expert's deposition, if a deposition is taken, whichever is later. The failure to strictly comply with this paragraph will be de e me d a waive r of any objection that could have been made pursuant to Federal Rule of Evidence 702.
October 20, 2022 (Thursday)	Dispositive Motion deadline. The Court does not have a limit on the graph or of motions for suppose.
	The Court does not have a limit on the number of motions for summary judgment (MSJs); however, absent leave of Court, the cumulative page limit for Opening Briefs for all MSJs is 40 pages per side.
November 3, 2022 (Thursday)	Serve Pretrial Disclosures (jury instructions, exhibits lists, witness lists, discovery and deposition designations). By this date the parties will also exchange draft Motions in Limine to determine which may be agreed.
November 17, 2022 (Thursday)	Serve objections to pretrial disclosures/rebuttal disclosures.
November 23, 2022 (Wednesday)	By this date the parties shall exchange any objections to rebuttal disclosures including the proposed jury charge, with supporting explanation and citation of controlling law. By this date the parties shall also submit to the Court their Motions in Limine.
December 1, 2022 (Thursday)	By this date the parties will submit to the Court their Pretrial Submissions (jury instructions, exhibit lists, witness lists, discovery and deposition designations) and the Joint Pre-Trial Order, including the identification of issues to be tried, identification of witnesses, trial schedule provisions, and all other pertinent information. By this date the parties will also submit to the Court their oppositions to Motions in Limine.
December 9, 2022 (Friday)	File Notice of Request for Daily Transcript or Real Time Reporting. If a daily transcript or real time reporting of court proceedings is requested for trial, the party or parties making said request shall file a notice with the Court and e-mail the Court Reporter, Kristie Davis at kmdaviscsr@yahoo.com Deadline to meet and confer regarding remaining objections and disputes on motions in limine.
December 15, 2022 (Thursday)	File joint notice identifying remaining objections to pretrial disclosures and disputes on motions in limine.
December 21, 2022 (Wednesday) or as Set by the Court	Final Pre-Trial Conference. The parties shall provide to the Court an agreed jury charge with supported objections of each party, and proposed questions for the jury, at the final Pre-Trial Conference.



January 17, 2023	Jury Selection/Trial. The Court expects to set these dates at the
(Tuesday) or as Set	conclusion of the Markman Hearing.
by the Court ⁴	<u>-</u>

⁴ If the actual trial date materially differs from the Court's default schedule, the Court will consider reasonable amendments to the case schedule post-Markman that are consistent with the Court's default deadlines in light of the actual trial date.



DOCKET

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

