UNI	ΓED	STA	TES	S PAT	ΓENT	ΓΑΝ	ID T	[RA]	DEM	1AR	K (OFF]	ICE
BEI	FOR	E TH	IE P.	ATEI	NT T	RIA	L A	ND .	APP	EAI	L B	OAF	- ND

UNIFIED PATENTS, LLC
Petitioner

v.

MEMORYWEB, LLC Patent Owner IPR2021-01413 U.S. PATENT 10,621,228

REPLY DECLARATION OF BENJAMIN B. BEDERSON, PH.D.



Second Declaration of Benjamin B. Bederson, Ph.D.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

IN	TRODUCTION]
UN	DERSTANDING OF PATENT LAW	2
TH	E '228 PATENT CLAIMS	2
. CL	AIM CONSTRUCTION	6
ausi		?
3.	Claim 1: limitations [1n] and [1p]	10
	,	
Э.	Claim 5	16
		18
٩.	Limitation [1c]: "the map view including: (i) an interactive map"	19
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
	Flora discloses or at least renders obvious "thumbnail image" under osed construction in district court	42
Э.	Limitations [1b], [1d], and [1e]	46
Ξ.	Limitations [1g] and [1j]	47
1.	Okamura and Flora (Ground 1)	47
2. Gil	Okamura, Flora, and Wagner (Ground 2)/Okamura, Flora, Wagner, and ley (Ground 4)	
ι.	Limitations [1n] and [1p]	5]
i.	Okamura and Flora (Ground 1)	51
	UN TH CL A. causingsecond B. C. conno C. TH IEOF A. B. coropo C. Th IEOF A. C. C. Coropo C.	causing a map view to be displayed the map view including: a first second] location selectable thumbnail image")

IPR2021-01413

U.S. Patent 10,621,228

Second Declaration of Benjamin B. Bederson, Ph.D.

	Okamura, Flora, and Gilley (Ground 3)/Okamura, Flora, Wagner, and illey (Ground 4)	
	Dependent Claims 2, 4, and 6-7	
G.	Claim 3	. 52
Н.	Claim 5	. 53
I.	Okamura, Flora, Wagner, and Gilley (Ground 4)	. 54
VI C	ONCLUSION	56



I, Benjamin B. Bederson, declare as follows:

I. INTRODUCTION

- 1. As I stated previously, I have been retained as an independent expert witness on behalf of Unified Patents, LLC ("Unified") for the above-captioned Petition for Inter Partes Review ("IPR") of U.S. Patent 10,621,228 ("the '228 Patent"). I am being compensated at my usual and customary rate for the time I spent in connection with this IPR. My compensation is not affected by the outcome of this IPR.
- 2. I previously submitted a Declaration as Exhibit 1002, setting forth my background, credentials, and curriculum vitae, which provides further details (referred to herein as my "first Declaration"). I submit this second Declaration in response to the Declaration of Dr. Glenn Reinman, filed as Exhibit 2038.
- 3. In addition to the materials I reviewed in preparing my first Declaration, in preparing this second Declaration, I have also reviewed: a) EX2038, Declaration of Dr. Glenn Reinman; b) EX1030, the redacted version of the Patent Owner's Response filed as Paper 23, which I refer to as the POR in this declaration; and c) any other document or reference cited in the analysis of this declaration.
- 4. In forming the opinions expressed in this Declaration, I relied upon my education and experience in the relevant field of art, and have considered the



viewpoint of a person of ordinary skill in the art ("POSITA"), as of June 9, 2011. I have also considered: a) the documents listed above, b) any additional documents and references cited in the analysis below, c) the relevant legal standards, including the standard for obviousness, and d) my knowledge and experience based upon my

II. UNDERSTANDING OF PATENT LAW

work in this area as described below.

5. I am not an attorney. For the purposes of this declaration, I have been informed about certain aspects of the law that are relevant to my opinions. My understanding of the law was provided to me by Petitioner's attorneys and was set forth in my first Declaration.

III. THE '228 PATENT CLAIMS

6. For ease of reference, I have reproduced claims 1-7, the "Challenged Claims" in this proceeding, along with the reference numerals used to refer to specific limitations in the Petition and POR.

Claim 1

[1a-preamble] "A method comprising:"

[1b] "responsive to a first input, causing a map view to be displayed on an interface,"

[1c] "the map view including: (i) an interactive map;"



DOCKET

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

