

REDACTED VERSION

U.S. Patent No. 10,621,228  
Patent Owner's Response  
IPR2021-01413

Paper No. \_\_\_\_

**UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE**

---

**BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD**

---

UNIFIED PATENTS, LLC  
Petitioner

v.

MEMORYWEB, LLC  
Patent Owner

Patent No. 10,621,228

---

*Inter Partes* Review No. IPR2021-01413

---

**PATENT OWNER'S RESPONSE**

U.S. Patent No. 10,621,228  
 Patent Owner’s Response  
 IPR2021-01413

**TABLE OF CONTENTS**

I. Introduction..... 1

II. Overview of the ‘228 Patent..... 1

    A. The ‘228 patent ..... 1

    B. Relevant Prosecution History ..... 4

III. Summary of References Identified by Petitioner ..... 5

    A. Okamura (EX1004) ..... 5

        1. Okamura’s Description of the Related Art..... 5

        2. Okamura’s Improvement Over the Related Art ..... 9

    B. Flora (EX1005) ..... 11

    C. Wagner (EX1006)..... 14

    D. Gilley (EX1007) ..... 14

IV. Estoppel / Real-Party in Interest..... 14

    A. The Board Should Decide if Apple and Samsung are Unnamed RPIs in This proceeding ..... 15

    B. Samsung and Apple are Unnamed RPIs..... 18

        1. The RPI Inquiry ..... 18

        2. Unified Files Petitions at Apple and Samsung’s Behest ..... 19

            a. Apple and Samsung’s Paid “Memberships” ..... 20

            b. Unified’s Business Model: Filing IPRs..... 21

        3. Apple and Samsung Desire Review and Will Benefit ..... 24

        4. Unified’s Own Interest in this IPR ..... 25

        5. Communications with Apple and Samsung ..... 25

V. Level of Ordinary Skill in the Art ..... 26

VI. Claim Construction..... 26

    A. Limitations [1b], [1d] and [1e]: “responsive to a first input, causing a map view to be displayed ... the map view including: ... a first / second] location selectable thumbnail image” ..... 27

    B. Limitations [1n] and [1p]: “the people view including: . . . a first name ...

U.S. Patent No. 10,621,228  
Patent Owner’s Response  
IPR2021-01413

[and] ... a second name” .....	28
C. Limitations [1b], [1d] and claim 3 “the first indication feature is connected to the first location selectable thumbnail image” .....	30
D. Claim 5: “the map view further includes a first indication feature” and “second indication feature” .....	32
VII. Petitioner Has Not Carried Its Burden On Obviousness .....	33
A. Ground 1: Purported Obviousness over Okamura and Flora .....	34
1. Limitation [1c]: “the map view including: (i) an interactive map” .....	34
2. Limitation [1d] the “map view including . . . [first/second] location selectable thumbnail image[s]” .....	37
a. A POSITA Would Not Have Combined Okamura and Flora.....	37
b. Accused Infringer Construction of Thumbnail Image .....	50
3. Limitations [1b], [1d] and [1e]: “responsive to a first input, causing a map view to be displayed ... the map view including: ... a [first / second] location selectable thumbnail image ... on the interactive map” .....	52
4. Limitations [1g] and [1j]: “a first location name associated with the first location” and “a second location name associated with the second location” .....	54
5. Limitations [1n] and [1p]: “the people view including: . . . a first name ... [and] ... a second name” .....	63
6. Dependent Claims 2–7 .....	64
a. Claim 3: “the first indication feature is connected to the first location selectable thumbnail image” .....	64
b. Claim 5: “the map view further includes a first indication feature” and “second indication feature” .....	66
B. Ground 2: Purported Obviousness over Okamura, Flora and Wagner .....	68
1. Petitioner’s Reliance on Ground 1 for Ground 2 .....	68
2. Limitations [1b], [1d] and [1e] .....	68
3. Limitations [1g] and [1j] .....	69
4. Limitations [1n] and [1p].....	71
5. Dependent Claims 2 – 7 .....	72

U.S. Patent No. 10,621,228  
Patent Owner’s Response  
IPR2021-01413

C. Ground 3: Purported Obviousness over Okamura, Flora, and Gilley .....	72
1. Petitioner’s Reliance on Ground 1 for Ground 3 .....	72
2. Limitations [1b], [1d] and [1e] .....	73
3. Limitations [1g] and [1j] .....	73
4. Limitations [1n] and [1p].....	73
5. Dependent Claims 2–7 .....	75
D. Ground 4: Purported Obviousness over Okamura, Flora, Wagner and Gilley .....	75
1. Motivation to Combine Okamura, Flora Wagner, and Gilley .....	76
2. Limitations [1b], [1d] and [1e] .....	76
3. Limitations [1g] and [1j] .....	77
4. Limitations [1n] and [1p].....	77
5. Dependent Claims 2–7 .....	78
VIII. Conclusion .....	78

U.S. Patent No. 10,621,228  
 Patent Owner’s Response  
 IPR2021-01413

**TABLE OF AUTHORITIES**

	<b>Page(s)</b>
<b>Federal Cases</b>	
<i>Alexsam, Inc. v. IDT Corp.</i> , 715 F.3d 1336 (Fed. Cir. 2013) .....	33
<i>Allergan, Inc. v. Sandoz Inc.</i> , 796 F.3d 1293, 1305 (Fed. Cir. 2015).....	44
<i>American Calcar, Inc. v. American Honda Motor Co., Inc.</i> , 651 F.3d 1318 (Fed. Cir. 2011) .....	27
<i>Application of Ratti</i> , 270 F.2d 810 (CCPA 1959) .....	49
<i>Applications in Internet Time, LLC v. RPX Corp.</i> , 897 F.3d 1336 (Fed. Cir. 2018) .....	18, 19, 24, 25
<i>Arctic Cat Inc. v. Bombardier Recreational Prod. Inc.</i> , 876 F.3d 1350, 1360 (Fed. Cir. 2017) .....	44, 50
<i>Belden Inc. v. Berk-Tek LLC</i> , 805 F.3d 1064, 1074 (Fed. Cir. 2015) .....	75
<i>C &amp; D Zodiac, Inc. v. b/e Aerospace, Inc.</i> , IPR2017-01276, 2017 WL 5067512 (PTAB Oct. 31, 2017).....	58
<i>Cal. Inst. of Tech. v. Broadcom Ltd.</i> , 25 F.4th 976, 991 (Fed. Cir. 2022) .....	16
<i>C.R. Bard, Inc. v. Medical Components, Inc.</i> , IPR2015-01660, Paper 9 (PTAB Feb. 9, 2016).....	34
<i>Duodecad IT Services Luxembourg S.A.R.L. v. Wag Acquisition</i> , IPR2015-01036, 2016 WL 6946904 (PTAB Oct. 20, 2016).....	58
<i>Fujitsu Ltd. v. Belkin Int’l, Inc.</i> , 2012 U.S. Dist. LEX-IS 142102 (N.D. Cal. Sep. 28, 2012).....	27

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.