

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

UNIFIED PATENTS, LLC
Petitioner

v.

MEMORYWEB, LLC
Patent Owner

Patent No. 10,621,228

Inter Partes Review No. IPR2021-01413

DECLARATION OF PROFESSOR GLENN REINMAN

I. INTRODUCTION3

II. BACKGROUND AND QUALIFICATIONS3

III. MATERIALS CONSIDERED9

IV. LEGAL STANDARDS10

A. Claim Construction10

B. Anticipation11

C. Obviousness12

D. Method Claims16

E. Dependent Claims16

V. OVERVIEW OF THE ‘228 PATENT16

VI. THE ‘228 PATENT’S EFFECTIVE FILING DATE21

VII. LEVEL OF SKILL IN THE ART22

VIII. OPINIONS22

A. Summary of Petitioner’s References23

 1. Overview of Okamura23

 2. Overview of Flora32

 3. Wagner (EX35

 4. Gilley (EX35

B. Claim Construction35

 1. Limitations [1b], [1d] and [1e]: “responsive to a first input, causing a map view to be displayed ... the map view including: ... a first / second] location selectable thumbnail image35

 2. Limitations [1n] and [1p]: “the people view including:37

 3. Limitations [1b], [1d] and claim 3 “the first indication feature is connected to the first location selectable thumbnail image”38

 4. Claim 5: “the map view further includes a first indication feature” and “second indication feature”40

C. Ground 1: Okamura and Flora41

 1. Limitation [1c]: “the map view including: (i) an interactive map” ...42

 2. Limitation [1d] the “map view including . . . [first/second] location selectable thumbnail image[s]”44

a. A POSITA Would Not Modify Okamura with Flora	44
b. Accused Infringer Construction of Thumbnail Image	61
3. Limitations [1b], [1d] and [1e]: “responsive to a first input, causing a map view to be displayed ... the map view including: ... a [first / second] location selectable thumbnail image”	62
4. Limitations [1n] and [1p]: “the people view including:	64
5. Dependent Claims 2 – 7.....	65
a. Limitations [1b], [1d] and claim 3 “the first indication feature is connected to the first location selectable thumbnail image”	66
b. Claim 5: “the map view further includes a second indication feature”	67
D. Ground 2: Okamura, Flora, and Wagner	69
1. Petitioner’s Reliance on Ground 1 for Ground 2.....	69
2. Limitations [1g] and [1j]	70
E. Ground 3: Okamura, Flora, and Gilley.....	71
F. Ground 4: Okamura, Flora, Wagner, and Gilley.....	72
IX. CONCLUSION.....	72

I, Glenn Reinman, declare as follows:

I. INTRODUCTION

1. I have been retained on behalf of MemoryWeb, LLC, (“MemoryWeb” or “Patent Owner”) as an independent expert consultant to provide this declaration concerning the technical subject matter relevant to the *inter partes* review (“IPR”) petition of U.S. Patent No. 10,621,228 (“the ‘228 patent”) filed by Unified Patents, LLC (“Petitioner”).

2. I am being compensated at my standard hourly rate of \$750 per hour for the time I spend on this matter. My compensation is not related in any way to the outcome of this proceeding, and I have no other interest in this proceeding.

3. In this declaration, I offer my expert opinion regarding the technical subject matter of claims 1-7 (“the challenged claims”) of the ‘228 patent. Specifically, I have considered whether claims 1-7 of the ‘228 patent are valid under 35 U.S.C. § 103. The substance and bases of my opinions appear below.

II. BACKGROUND AND QUALIFICATIONS

4. A copy of my curriculum vitae is appended hereto as Appendix A. I am currently a professor of Computer Science, serving as vice chair of the Computer Science department, at the University of California, Los Angeles (UCLA).

5. I received a Bachelor of Science degree in Computer Science and Engineering from the Massachusetts Institute of Technology (MIT) in June 1996. In

March 1999, I received a Master of Science degree in Computer Science from the University of California at San Diego. I received my Doctor of Philosophy degree in Computer Science from the University of California at San Diego in June 2001.

6. In 2001, I became an Assistant Professor at the University of California in Los Angeles (UCLA) in the Department of Computer Science. In 2007, I was promoted to the position of an Associate Professor, and in 2014, I became a Full Professor. From 2016 through 2019, I was the Graduate Vice Chair of the Computer Science department at UCLA, in charge of the Graduate Degree Program. Starting in 2021, I became the Undergraduate Vice Chair of the Computer Science department at UCLA, in charge of the Undergraduate Degree Program.

7. I teach subjects in computer science, such as computer systems architecture, microprocessor design, microprocessor simulation, distributed and parallel systems.

8. I began my career with summer internships at Intel Corporation and Compaq (now HP) in 1998 and 1999, respectively. At Intel I researched issues such as the viability of caching state from the branch predictor, the translation lookaside buffer, and the branch target buffer in the second-level data cache. I also modified SimpleScalar—a system software infrastructure used to build modeling applications for program performance analysis, microarchitectural modeling, and hardware-software co-verification—to use ITR traces for Windows applications for

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.