

Filed on behalf of: Unified Patents, LLC

Entered: April 13, 2023

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

---

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

---

UNIFIED PATENTS, LLC  
Petitioner,

- vs. -

MEMORYWEB, LLC  
Patent Owner.

---

Case IPR2021-01413  
U.S. Patent 10,621,228

---

**PETITIONER'S REQUEST FOR  
DIRECTOR REVIEW OF FINAL WRITTEN DECISION**

**TABLE OF CONTENTS**

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES ..... ii

I. INITIAL PROCEDURAL MATTER ..... 1

II. SUMMARY OF THE ARGUMENT ..... 1

III. ARGUMENT.....2

    A. The panel decided the RPI issue in the wrong proceeding,  
    misallocated the burden and unfairly prejudiced later petitioners .....2

    B. Samsung and Apple are not RPIs.....4

        1. Unified did not file this IPR at the behest of, or on behalf  
        of, Apple or Samsung IPR ..... 7

        2. Unified’s business model deters future NPEs from  
        obtaining bad patents and asserting them (*RPX* factors a-  
        d) .....9

        3. Apple and Samsung did not desire, or benefit from,  
        Unified’s IPR (*RPX* factors e-g) ..... 14

IV. CONCLUSION.....15

**TABLE OF AUTHORITIES**

**Page(s)**

**CASES**

**SUPREME COURT**

- 1. *Taylor v. Sturgell*,  
553 U.S. 880 (2008).....3, 5

**CIRCUIT COURTS**

- 2. *Applications in Internet Time, LLC v. RPX Corp. (“AIT”)*,  
897 F.3d 1336 (Fed. Cir. 2018) .....6, 10, 11, 14
- 3. *Everport Terminal Services, Inc. v. NLRB*,  
47 F.4th 782 (D.C. Cir. 2022).....8
- 4. *Ironburg Inventions Ltd. v. Valve Corp.*,  
-- F.4th ----, 2023 WL 2749199 (Fed. Cir. Apr. 3, 2023) .....3
- 5. *Uniloc 2017 LLC v. Facebook Inc.*,  
989 F.3d 1018 (Fed. Cir. 2021) .....5, 7, 14
- 6. *Vicor Corp. v. SynQor, Inc.*,  
869 F. 3d 1309 (Fed. Cir. 2017) .....2

**PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD**

**PTAB — General**

- 7. *RPX Corp. v. Applications in Internet Time, LLC*,  
IPR2015-01750, Paper 128 (Oct. 2, 2020) (*precedential*)  
 (“RPX”)..... 6-8, 10, 14, 15
- 8. *Samsung Elecs. Co., Ltd. v. Netlist, Inc.*,  
IPR2022-00615, Paper 40 (Feb. 3, 2022) (*precedential*)  
 (“Netlist”).....4

IPR2021-01413 (U.S. 10,621,228) Pet’r Req. for Dir. Review

- 9. *SharkNinja Operating LLC v. iRobot Corp.*,  
IPR2020-00734, Paper 11 (Oct. 6, 2020) (*precedential*)  
 (“*SharkNinja*”) ..... 1-4
- 10. *Streck, Inc. v. Ravgen, Inc.*,  
IPR2021-01577, Paper 20 (Apr. 22, 2022)  
 “*Streck*” ..... 14
- 11. *Ventex Co., Ltd. v. Columbia Sportswear N. Am., Inc.*,  
IPR2017-00651, Paper 148 (Jan. 24, 2019) (*precedential*)  
 (“*Ventex*”) ..... 5, 6

**PTAB — Unified Patents**

- 12. *Unified Patents, LLC v. American Patents, LLC*,  
IPR2019-00482, Paper 36 (DI, Aug. 6, 2019)  
 Paper 104 (FWD, Jul. 13, 2020)  
 Papers 115, 132 (public FWD) *POP Request denied*, Paper 121 (Oc.  
 26, 2020) *reh ’g denied*, Paper 122 (Dec. 4, 2022)  
 *aff’d*, No. 2021-1635, (Fed. Cir. Mar. 10 2022) (RPI briefed)  
 (“*American*”) ..... 5, 9, 12
- 13. *Unified Patents, LLC v. Arigna Technology Ltd.*,  
IPR2022-00285, Paper 10 (DI, Jun. 17, 2022)  
 (“*Arigna*”) ..... 5
- 14. *Unified Patents, LLC v. American Vehicular Sciences LLC*,  
IPR2016-00364, Paper 13 (DI, Jun. 27, 2016)  
 (“*AVS*”) ..... 5
- 15. *Unified Patents Inc. v. Barkan Wireless IP Holdings, LP*,  
IPR2018-01186, Paper 24 (DI, Dec. 7, 2018), Paper 27 (Public  
 DI), Paper 56 (FWD, Dec. 4, 2019), Paper 57 (Public FWD)  
 *aff’d* 838 Fed.Appx. 565 (Fed. Cir. Mar. 2, 2021) (RPI briefed)  
 (“*Barkan*”) ..... 5, 9, 14, 15
- 16. *Unified Patents Inc. v. Bradium Technologies LLC*,  
IPR2018-00952, Paper 31 (DI, Dec. 20, 2018),  
 Paper 60 (FWD, Dec. 19, 2019), Paper 68 (Public FWD)  
 (“*Bradium*”) ..... 5

IPR2021-01413 (U.S. 10,621,228)

Pet’r Req. for Dir. Review

17. *Unified Patents, LLC v. Carucel Investments*,  
IPR2019-01079, Paper 9 (DI, Nov. 12, 2019)  
 (“*Carucel*”) .....5

18. *Unified Patents Inc. v. Cellular Communications Equipment, LLC*,  
IPR2018-00091, Paper 33 (FWD, May 22, 2019),  
EX1039 (Public FWD)  
 (“*CCE*”) .....5, 8, 13

19. *Unified Patents Inc. v. Clouding IP, LLC*,  
IPR2013-00586, Paper 9 (DI, Mar. 21, 2014)  
 (“*Clouding*”) .....5

20. *Unified Patents Inc. v. Digital Stream IP*,  
IPR2016-01749, Paper 22 (FWD, Mar. 9, 2018),  
 (“*Digital Stream*”) .....5

21. *Unified Patents Inc. v. Dragon Intellectual Property, LLC*,  
IPR2014-01252, Paper 37 (DI, Feb. 12, 2015),  
 (“*Dragon*”).....5

22. *Unified Patents, LLC v. Engle Grange, LLC*  
IPR2020-01334, Paper 37 (FWD, Jan. 19, 2022)  
*aff’d*, No. 2022-1524 (Fed. Cir. Apr. 4, 2023) (RPI not briefed)  
 (“*Engle Grange*”)..... 2-3

23. *Unified Patents, LLC v. Fat Statz, LLC*,  
IPR2020-01665, Paper 51 (Public FWD, Apr. 4, 2022)  
 (“*Fat Statz*”)..... 2-3, 5

24. *Unified Patents Inc. v. Hall Data Sync Tech*,  
IPR2015-00874, Paper 11 (DI, Sep. 17, 2015),  
 (“*Hall Data*”) .....5

25. *Unified Patents Inc. v. iMTX Strategic, LLC*,  
IPR2015-01061, Paper 9 (DI Oct. 15, 2015)  
 (“*iMTX*”) .....5

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.