

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

---

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

---

ROKU, INC. and VIZIO, INC.,  
Petitioners,

v.

ANCORA TECHNOLOGIES, INC.,  
Patent Owner.

---

Case IPR2021-01406  
U.S. Patent No. 6,411,941

---

**PETITIONERS' UNOPPOSED MOTION  
UNDER 37 C.F.R. §§ 42.14 AND 42.54 TO SEAL  
EXHIBIT 1056 AND PORTIONS OF  
PETITIONER'S UPDATED EXHIBIT LIST**

***Mail Stop "Patent Board"***  
Patent Trial and Appeal Board  
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

**TABLE OF CONTENTS**

I. INTRODUCTION .....1

II. GOVERNING RULES AND PTAB GUIDANCE.....1

III. IDENTIFICATION OF CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION .....2

    A. The Confidential Exhibit.....3

    B. Petitioner’s Updated Exhibit List.....3

IV. GOOD CAUSE EXISTS FOR SEALING THE CONFIDENTIAL  
INFORMATION. ....4

V. RELIEF REQUESTED .....4

## I. INTRODUCTION

Roku, Inc. and Vizio, Inc. (“Petitioners”) request that confidential exhibit 1056 (“the Confidential Exhibit”), as well as portions of Petitioners’ Updated Exhibit List, be sealed under 37 C.F.R. §§ 42.14 and 42.54.

All of the confidential material submitted in this proceeding belongs to Patent Owner. Good cause to seal exists because Patent Owner has represented to Petitioners that certain information in the Confidential Exhibits is sensitive, non-public information. Petitioners therefore submit this Motion to Seal under the jointly proposed Protective Order in this case (EX2038).

Pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 42.54(a), Petitioners’ counsel conferred in good faith with Patent Owner’s counsel in an attempt to resolve any dispute about this Motion. Patent Owner does not oppose this motion.

## II. GOVERNING RULES AND PTAB GUIDANCE

While under 35 U.S.C. § 316(a)(1), papers filed in an *inter partes* review are generally open and available for access by the public, a party may file a concurrent Motion to Seal to protect public disclosure of certain confidential information, which has the effect of sealing the information at issue pending resolution of the motion. In determining whether to grant a Motion to Seal, the Board must find “good cause,” 37 C.F.R. § 42.54(a), and “strike a balance between the public’s interest in maintaining a complete and understandable file history and the parties’

interest in protecting truly sensitive information,” Consolidated Trial Practice Guide, November 2019 (“TPG”), 19. The Board identifies confidential information in a manner “consistent with Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 26(c)(1)(G), which provides for protective orders for ... confidential research, development, or commercial information.” TPG, 19.

Based on the procedure set forth in the TPG, Petitioners seek to prevent the disclosure of sensitive information that Patent Owner has represented is contained in the confidential documents.

### **III. IDENTIFICATION OF CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION**

The Confidential Exhibit at issue here (EX1056) is a demonstrative containing certain patent license agreement information that Patent Owner produced in this proceeding in view of Petitioners’ granted Motion for Additional Discovery (Paper 25), as well as deposition testimony discussing such agreements (EX1034). The confidential information also includes portions of the Petitioner’s Updated Exhibit List Reply, which characterizes those Confidential Exhibits. Patent Owner has represented to Petitioners that the agreements are confidential and thus have not been published or otherwise made public. In particular, pursuant to the Board’s June 17, 2022 Order (Paper 29), Patent Owner designated the agreements and deposition testimony as “THIRD-PARTY CONFIDENTIAL – PARTY ACCESS LIMITED” under the Protective Order in this proceeding

(EX2038). Under the terms of the Protective Order, documents so designated must be filed under seal. EX2038, ¶4(A)(i).

**A. The Confidential Exhibit**

Petitioners are not in a position to dispute Patent Owner’s designation of its own Confidential Exhibits and confidential information. Nor are Petitioners in a position to identify the specific information within the Confidential Exhibits that is confidential, as such information belongs solely to Patent Owner, and Patent Owner has not specifically identified such information for Petitioners.

Accordingly, Petitioners will not attempt to redact the Confidential Exhibits—rather, Petitioners file the Confidential Exhibits in their entirety, and are not filing public versions of the Confidential Exhibits.

**B. Petitioner’s Updated Exhibit List**

Petitioners have, to the best of their ability, limited material in the Updated Exhibit List to non-confidential information. They have redacted confidential information characterizing the Confidential Exhibits. Pursuant to guidance in the TPG, Petitioners have filed both confidential and non-confidential versions of the Reply.

\* \* \*

To the best of Petitioners’ knowledge, and based on Patent Owner’s representation that the Confidential Exhibits and the information contained therein

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.