Trials@uspto.gov Tel: 571-272-7822

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

### BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

ROKU, INC. and VIZIO, INC., Petitioner,

v.

ANCORA TECHNOLOGIES, INC., Patent Owner.

> IPR2021-01406 Patent 6,411,941 B1

Before THU A. DANG, KEVIN W. CHERRY, and RYAN H. FLAX, *Administrative Patent Judges*.

DANG, Administrative Patent Judge.

DOCKET

Δ

ORDER Setting Oral Argument 37 C.F.R. § 42.70



## I. ORAL ARGUMENT

We instituted *inter partes* review in the instant proceeding on February 22, 2022. Paper 10. The Scheduling Order for this proceeding sets the date for oral arguments as November 10, 2022, if requested by the parties and granted by the Board. Paper 11, 12. On April 1, 2022, Patent Owner filed a Joint Motion to Consolidate IPR2021-01338 and IPR2021-01406. Paper 17. On May 5, 2022, we dismissed the Joint Motion to Consolidate as moot, however, we authorized changing the oral argument date in IPR2021-01406 to October 3, 2022, to align the trial schedule with IPR2021-01338. Paper 23, 5. On September 2, 2022, Patent Owner and Petitioner respectively filed a request for an in-person oral argument. Papers 38, 39. The requests for oral argument are *granted*.

## A. Time and Format

In its request, Patent Owner repeats its request to consolidate the oral arguments. Paper 38, 2. However, Petitioners in IPR2021-01338 (Nintendo) and in IPR2021-01406 (Roku) do not agree to consolidated filings or oral arguments for the proposed consolidated proceeding. *See* Paper 17, 4.

Oral arguments will commence at 2:30 PM Eastern Time on October 3, 2022. The Board will provide a court reporter for the hearing, and the reporter's transcript will constitute the official record of the hearing. Under current policy, the Board does not conduct an in-person hearing unless requested by all parties. As both parties have requested an in-person hearing, the hearing will be held at the USPTO Headquarters in Hearing

## IPR2021-01338 Patent 6,411,941 B1

Room A, located on the ninth floor of Madison Building East, 600 Dulany Street, Alexandria, Virginia.<sup>1</sup>

Petitioner will have a total of sixty (60) minutes to present argument in this case and Patent Owner will have a total of sixty (60) minutes to respond. Petitioner will open the hearing by presenting its case regarding the challenged claims for which the Board instituted trial. Thereafter, Patent Owner will respond to Petitioner's argument. Petitioner may reserve rebuttal time to respond to arguments presented by Patent Owner. In accordance with the Consolidated Trial Practice Guide<sup>2</sup> ("CTPG"), issued in November 2019, Patent Owner may request to reserve time for a brief surrebuttal. *See* CTPG 83.

The parties may request a pre-hearing conference in advance of the hearing. *See id.* at 82. "The purpose of the pre-hearing conference is to afford the parties the opportunity to preview (but not argue) the issues to be discussed at the hearing, and to seek the Board's guidance as to particular issues that the panel would like addressed by the parties." *Id.* To request a pre-hearing conference, the parties should jointly contact the Board at Trials@uspto.gov by September 12, 2022. The request should include several dates and times of availability that are generally no later than three (3) business days prior to the oral hearing. Further, any request should state the issues that the parties intend to raise at the conference.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> If there are any concerns about disclosing confidential information, the parties must contact the Board at Trials@uspto.gov at least ten (10) business days before the hearing date.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Available at https://www.uspto.gov/TrialPracticeGuideConsolidated.

## IPR2021-01338 Patent 6,411,941 B1

The parties are directed to the Office's notices regarding COVID-19 and the requirement for all visitors to USPTO facilities to review a health questionnaire and self-certify that they will not pose a health risk at the time of their visit.<sup>3</sup> Any attendee at the hearing exhibiting symptoms of illness may be required to wear a mask or leave the facilities.

## B. Demonstratives

Notwithstanding 37 C.F.R. § 42.70(b), demonstratives shall be served on opposing counsel at least seven (7) business days before the hearing date and filed at least five (5) days before the hearing date. Like final oral argument generally, demonstratives are not a mechanism for making new arguments. Demonstratives also are not evidence, and will not be relied upon as evidence. Rather, demonstratives are visual aids to a party's oral presentation regarding arguments and evidence previously presented and discussed in the papers. Accordingly, demonstratives shall be clearly marked with the words "DEMONSTRATIVE EXHIBIT - NOT EVIDENCE" in the footer. See Dell Inc. v. Acceleron, LLC, 884 F.3d 1364, 1369 (Fed. Cir. 2018) (holding that the Board is obligated under its own regulations to dismiss untimely argument "raised for the first time during oral argument"). "[N]o new evidence may be presented at the oral argument." CTPG 85; see also St. Jude Med., Cardiology Div., Inc. v. The Bd. of Regents of the Univ. of Mich., IPR2013-00041, Paper 65, 2-3 (PTAB Jan. 27, 2014) (explaining that "new" evidence includes evidence already of record but not previously discussed in any paper of record).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> See information provided at https://www.uspto.gov/coronavirus.

## IPR2021-01338 Patent 6,411,941 B1

Furthermore, because of the strict prohibition against the presentation of new evidence or arguments at a hearing, it is strongly recommended that each demonstrative include a citation to a paper in the record, which allows the Board to easily ascertain whether a given demonstrative contains "new" argument or evidence or, instead, contains only that which is developed in the existing record.

To the extent that a party objects to the propriety of any demonstrative, the parties shall meet and confer in good faith and are strongly encouraged to resolve any objections to demonstratives prior to filing the objections with the Board. If such objections cannot be resolved, the parties may file any objections to demonstratives with the Board no later than the time of the hearing. The objections shall identify with particularity which portions of the demonstratives are subject to objection (and should include a copy of the objected-to portions) and include a one (1) sentence statement of the reason for each objection. No argument or further explanation is permitted. The Board will consider any objections, and may reserve ruling on the objections.<sup>4</sup> Any objection to demonstratives that is not timely presented will be considered forfeited.

Finally, the parties are reminded that each presenter should identify clearly and specifically each paper (e.g., by slide or screen number for a demonstrative) referenced during the hearing to ensure the clarity and accuracy of the court reporter's transcript and for the benefit of all participants appearing electronically.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> If time permits, the Board may schedule a conference call with the parties to discuss any filed objections.

## DOCKET A L A R M



# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## **Real-Time Litigation Alerts**



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## **Advanced Docket Research**



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## **Analytics At Your Fingertips**



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

### LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

### FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.