UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

ROKU, INC. and VIZIO, INC., Petitioners,

v.

ANCORA TECHNOLOGIES, INC., Patent Owner.

Case IPR2021-01406 U.S. Patent No. 6,411,941 B1

PATENT OWNER'S SUR-REPLY

Mail Stop PATENT BOARD
Patent Trial and Appeal Board
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450



TABLE OF CONTENTS

<u>Page</u>

I.	INTF	RODUCTION1		
II.	CLA	CLAIM CONSTRUCTION2		
	A.	The Plain Meaning of "Agent" Excludes Hardware in the Context of the '941 Patent		
	В.	Ancora's Interpretation of "Agent" is Not Inconsistent with Positions it Adopted in Related District Court Cases		
	C.	"Agent" Is Properly Interpreted to Require an OS-Level Software Program or Routine in View of the '941 File History 6		
	D.	The Meaning of "OS-Level" Is Reasonably Certain 10		
VER	IBINA IFICA	THER HELLMAN NOR CHOU NOR THE ALLEGED ATION DISCLOSES USING AN AGENT TO SET UP A ATION STRUCTURE IN THE ERASABLE, NON-VOLATILE OF THE BIOS		
	A.	Hellman Discloses Hardware Used to Store License Records In an EEPROM, and Replacing Hellman's Hardware with Software Would Not Have Been Obvious		
	В.	Hellman Does Not Disclose Any Operating System, Nor Would the Asserted Combination Render the Claimed Agent Obvious 13		
	C.	The Reply Does Not Redeem Petitioners' Failed Motivation to Combine Arguments		
		1. Petitioners' Reply Fails to Resurrect the Petition's Flawed Motivation to Modify Hellman's EEPROM		
		2. The Reply Fails to Establish a Motivation for Value "M" in Chou's BIOS Memory		
	D.	Petitioners' Supplemental "Verification Structure" Arguments Did Not Appear in the Petition or the Supporting Wolfe Declaration		



DEP AS T	IV. PETITIONERS' MODIFIED HELLMAN, ASSERTED AGAINST DEPENDENT CLAIMS 3, 8, 9, AND 14, RELIES ON THE '941 PATENT AS THE ROADMAP FOR SELECTING A SINGLE SPECIFIC EMBODIMENT IN A PARTICULAR WAY20					
V.	OBJ	ECTIVE EVIDENCE OF NON-OBVIOUSNESS	21			
	A.	The Joint Press Release Establishes Industry Praise	21			
	B.	Additional Settlement Agreements Show the Value of the '941 Patent	21			
	C.	Settlements for Less That the Cost of Litigation Have No Value When Evaluating Commercial Success of the '941 Patent	22			
VI.	CON	NCLUSION	24			



TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

	<u>Page</u>
Cases	
Akzo N.V. v. United States ITC,	
808 F.2d 1471 (Fed. Cir. 1986)	20
Ancora Techs., Inc. v. Apple, Inc.,	
74 F.3d 732 (Fed. Cir. 2014)	9
Aylus Networks, Inc. v. Apple Inc.,	
856 F.3d 1353 (Fed. Cir. 2017)	6
Cisco Sys., Inc. v. C-Cation Techs., LLC,	
No. IPR2014-00454, paper 12 at 9–10 (PTAB Aug. 29, 2014)	2
Cole Kepro Int'l, LLC v. VSR Inds., Inc.,	
695 Fed. Appx. 566 (Fed. Cir. 2017)	22
Communique Lab., Inc. v. Citrix Xyx., Inc.,	
889 F.3d 735 (Fed. Cir. 2018)	4
GE Lighting Sols., LLC v. AgiLight, Inc.,	
750 F.3d 1304 (Fed. Cir. 2014)	7
Ideal Instruments, Inc. v. Rivard Instruments, Inc.,	
498 F. Supp. 2d 1131 (Fed. Cir. 2007)	3
Irdeto Access, Inc. v. Echostar Satellite Corp.,	
383 F.3d 1295 (Fed. Cir. 2004)	3
Iron Grip Barbell Co. v. USA Sports, Inc.,	
392 F.3d 1317 (Fed. Cir. 2004)	22
Kaken Pharma. Co., Ltd. v. Iancu,	_
952 F.3d 1346 (Fed. Cir. 2020)	6
Nautilus, Inc. v. Biosig Instruments, Inc.,	
572 U.S. 898 (2014)	10
Novatis AG v. Noven Pharms., Inc.,	_
853 F.3d 1289 (Fed. Cir. 2017)	5
Offshore Deepwater Drilling, Inc. v. Maersk Drilling USA, Inc.,	22
699 F.3d 1340 (Fed. Cir. 2012)	22
Phillips v. AWH Corp.,	
415 F.3d 1303 (Fed. Cir. 2005)	6
Rembrandt Wireless Techs., LP v. Samsung Elecs. Co., Ltd.,	
853 F.3d 1370 (Fed. Cir. 2017)	6
Regulations	
37 C F R 8 42 23	19



LIST OF EXHIBITS

EXHIBIT NO.	TITLE
1001	U.S. Patent No. 6,411,941 to Mullor et al. ("'941 Patent")
1002	Image File Wrapper of U.S. Patent No. 6,411,941 ("File History")
1003	Declaration of Andrew Wolfe, Ph.D. ("Wolfe Decl.")
1004	U.S. Patent No. 4,658,093 ("Hellman")
1005	U.S. Patent No. 5,892,906 ("Chou")
1006	U.S. Patent No. 5,933,498 ("Schneck")
1007	Reserved
1008	Reserved
1009	Reserved
1010	Reserved
1011	Claim Construction Order, <i>Ancora Techs., Inc. v. Apple Inc.</i> , No. 4:11-cv-06357 (N.D. Cal. Dec. 31, 2012) (ECF No. 107).
1012	Final Claim Constructions of the Court, <i>Ancora Techs., Inc. v. LG Elecs., Inc.</i> , No. 1:20-cv-00034 (W.D. Tex. June 2, 2020) (ECF No. 69).
1013	Supplemental Claim Construction Order, <i>Ancora Techs., Inc. v. LG Elecs., Inc.</i> , No. 1:20-cv-00034 (W.D. Tex. Aug. 19, 2020) (ECF No. 93).
1014	Joint Claim Construction and Prehearing Statement, <i>Ancora Techs., Inc. v. TCT Mobile (US), Inc.</i> , No. 8:19-cv-2192 (C.D. Cal. July 17, 2020) (ECF No. 49)
1015	European Patent Application No. EP 0766165A2 ("'165 Application")
1016	U.S. Patent No. 5,724,425 ("'425 Patent")
1017	U.S. Patent No. 6,138,236 ("'236 Patent")
1018	U.S. Patent No. 5,802,592 ("'592 Patent")
1019	U.S. Patent No. 5,835,594 ("'594 Patent")
1020	Telephonic Markman Hearing, <i>Ancora Techs., Inc. v. TCT Mobile (US), Inc., et al.</i> , No. 8:19-cv-2192 (C.D. Cal. Oct. 15, 2020) (ECF No. 60)



DOCKET A L A R M

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

