

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

ROKU, INC. and VIZIO, INC.,
Petitioners,

v.

ANCORA TECHNOLOGIES, INC.,
Patent Owner.

Case IPR2021-01406
U.S. Patent No. 6,411,941 B1

**JOINT MOTION
FOR ENTRY OF PROTECTIVE ORDER**

Mail Stop "PATENT BOARD"
Patent Trial and Appeal Board
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

I. INTRODUCTION

Pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 42.54, the Scheduling Order (Paper 19), and Board communication authorization (Ex. 2028), the parties respectfully request that the Board enter the proposed protective order filed herewith as exhibit 2038. The Scheduling Order entered in this proceeding states that “[i]f the parties choose to propose a protective order deviating from the default protective order, they must submit the proposed protective order jointly along with a marked-up comparison of the proposed and default protective orders showing the differences between the two and explain why good cause exists to deviate from the default protective order.”

The proposed protective order is based on the Board’s default protective order (see Trial Practice Guide, App. B, 77 Fed. Reg. 48,756, 48,771 (Aug. 14, 2012)), with certain revisions made pursuant to requests from third parties whose information is being submitted under the protective order. A redline copy of the proposed protective order showing the changes relative to the default protective order is also submitted herewith as exhibit 2039.

II. THERE IS GOOD CAUSE FOR THE AMENDMENTS TO THE DEFAULT ORDER

Ancora is concurrently submitting with its Patent Owner Response certain exhibits evidencing licensing history for the patent at issue in this IPR as part of the objective evidence of non-obviousness. These exhibits include third party

confidential information that the third parties wish to remain confidential. Moreover, these third parties are requiring changes to the default protective order as a condition of submission of this information to the Board.

Change	Reason for Change
1	Deletion of “standing” removes any implication that the protective order is identical to the Board’s default protective order
2	This change requires the parties to separately mark third party confidential information.
3	This change is required by the third parties to limit disclosure only to outside counsel of petitioners because of the sensitive nature of the information.
4	“Party Representatives” is changed to “Outside Representatives” pursuant to request of the third parties.
5	This change is pursuant to request of the third parties to control access to those other than Outside Representatives.
6	This change requires a process facilitate obtaining consent from third parties for expert access to third party confidential information.

7	This change is pursuant to the request of Petitioner Nintendo to allow for a process should there be a dispute over sharing third party confidential information with an expert.
8	This change is required by the third parties to limit disclosure only to outside counsel of petitioners because of the sensitive nature of the information.
9	This change is required by the third parties to limit disclosure only to outside counsel of petitioners because of the sensitive nature of the information.
10	This change is to clarify that documents having confidential information must be filed under seal.
11	This change is to improve clarity.

III. CONCLUSION

The parties respectfully request that the Board enter the proposed protective order and are available to discuss the proposed changes at the Board's convenience.

Respectfully submitted,

Dated: May 3, 2022

By: /David A. Gosse/

David A. Gosse

Registration No. 61,511

dgosse@fitcheven.com

Counsel for Ancora Technologies, Inc.

By: /Jon E. Wright/

Jon Wright, Reg. No. 50,720

jwright-PTAB@sternekessler.com

Counsel for Petitioners

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.