

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

ROKU, INC. and VIZIO, INC.,
Petitioners

v.

ANCORA TECHNOLOGIES, INC.,
Patent Owner

Case IPR2021-01406
Patent No. 6,411,941

DECLARATION OF DR. DAVID MARTIN

TABLE OF CONTENTS

List of Exhibits.....	4
I. Qualifications and Professional Experience.....	7
II. Background of this Matter.....	9
III. Summary of Opinions Regarding the Validity of the '941 Patent.....	9
IV. High-Level Description of Materials Studied.....	10
V. Relevant Legal Principles.....	11
A. Burden of Proof.....	11
B. Anticipation.....	11
C. Obviousness.....	14
D. Claim Construction.....	19
E. Abstract Ideas.....	30
F. The Manner of Rebuttal.....	30
G. The Level of Ordinary Skill in the Art and Person of Ordinary Skill in the Art.....	31
H. Avoidance of Impermissible Hindsight.....	32
I. Requirements for Asserting Obviousness.....	33
VI. Summary of the '941 Patent.....	34
VII. '941 Patent Prosecution History.....	46
A. Ginter.....	46
B. Goldman.....	50
C. Beeble Hardware Stamping Technology™ Overview.....	52
D. Misra.....	52
E. Ewertz.....	56
VIII. Reexamination of the '941 Patent.....	64
A. Schwartz.....	65
B. Lewis.....	70
IX. Claim Construction.....	74
A. “Agent”.....	74
X. The References Addressed in the Wolfe Declaration.....	80
A. Hellman.....	80
B. Chou.....	88

C.	Schneck.....	91
XI.	General Comments on the Wolfe Declaration.....	94
A.	Frequent Use of Impermissible Hindsight	94
B.	Inadequacy of Addressing the Expectation of Success.....	95
XII.	Rebuttal to Dr. Wolfe’s Opinions Regarding the ’941 Patent.....	96
A.	The Combination of Hellman, Chou, and/or Schneck Does Not Invalidate the Asserted Claims of the ’941 Patent.....	97
1.	Using an Agent to Set Up a Verification Structure in the Erasable, Non-Volatile Memory of the BIOS	98
2.	Alleged Memory of the BIOS.....	109
3.	Alleged Encrypted Verification Structure	117
a.	Alleged Public-Key Variant	118
b.	Alleged Non-Public-Key Variant	121
4.	No Motivation to Combine with Chou	123
5.	Dependent Claims	124
c.	Claim 2.....	124
d.	Claim 3.....	124
e.	Claim 6.....	126
f.	Claim 7.....	126
g.	Claim 8.....	127
h.	Claim 9.....	127
i.	Claim 10.....	128
j.	Claim 11.....	128
k.	Claim 12.....	129
l.	Claim 13.....	131
m.	Claim 14.....	131
n.	Claim 16.....	132

List of Exhibits

EXHIBIT NO.	TITLE
1001	U.S. Patent No. 6,411,941 to Mullor et al. (“’941 Patent”)
1002	Image File Wrapper of U.S. Patent No. 6,411,941 (“File History”)
1003	Declaration of Andrew Wolfe, Ph.D. (“Wolfe Decl.”)
1004	U.S. Patent No. 4,658,093 (“Hellman”)
1005	U.S. Patent No. 5,892,906 (“Chou”)
1006	U.S. Patent No. 5,933,498 (“Schneck”)
1007	Scheduling Order, <i>Ancora Techs., Inc. v. TCT Mobile (US), Inc.</i> , No. 8:19-cv-2192 (C.D. Cal. Mar. 17, 2020) (ECF No. 34)
1008	In re: Coronavirus Public Emergency, Order Concerning Phased Reopening of the Court, General Order No. 20-09, United States District Court for the Central District of California, Aug. 6, 2020
1009	Civil Docket, <i>Ancora Techs., Inc. v. Sony Mobile Commc’ns AB</i> , No. 1:19-cv-01703 (D. Del.)
1010	Civil Docket, <i>Ancora Techs., Inc. v. Lenovo Grp. Ltd.</i> , No. 1:19-cv-01712 (D. Del.)
1011	Claim Construction Order, <i>Ancora Techs., Inc. v. Apple Inc.</i> , No. 4:11-cv-06357 (N.D. Cal. Dec. 31, 2012) (ECF No. 107).
1012	Final Claim Constructions of the Court, <i>Ancora Techs., Inc. v. LG Elecs., Inc.</i> , No. 1:20-cv-00034 (W.D. Tex. June 2, 2020) (ECF No. 69).
1013	Supplemental Claim Construction Order, <i>Ancora Techs., Inc. v. LG Elecs., Inc.</i> , No. 1:20-cv-00034 (W.D. Tex. Aug. 19, 2020) (ECF No. 93).
1014	Joint Claim Construction and Prehearing Statement, <i>Ancora Techs., Inc. v. TCT Mobile (US), Inc.</i> , No. 8:19-cv-2192 (C.D. Cal. July 17, 2020) (ECF No. 49)
1015	European Patent Application No. EP 0766165A2 (“’165 Application”)
1016	U.S. Patent No. 5,724,425 (“’425 Patent”)
1017	U.S. Patent No. 6,138,236 (“’236 Patent”)
1018	U.S. Patent No. 5,802,592 (“’592 Patent”)
1019	U.S. Patent No. 5,835,594 (“’594 Patent”)
1020	Civil Minutes re Telephonic Markman Hearing, <i>Ancora Techs., Inc. v. TCT Mobile (US), Inc.</i> , No. 8:19-cv-02192 (C.D. Cal.

	Nov. 12, 2020) (ECF No. 66) (attaching “The Court’s Final Ruling on Claim Construction (<i>Markman</i>) Hearing,” but also ordering further meet and confer on subject).
1021	Civil Minutes re Telephonic <i>Markman</i> Hearing, <i>Ancora Techs., Inc. v. TCT Mobile (US), Inc.</i> , No. 8:19-cv-02192 (C.D. Cal. Nov. 19, 2020) (ECF No. 69) (confirming no change to “The Court’s Final Ruling on Claim Construction (<i>Markman</i>) Hearing”).
1022	Complaint, <i>Ancora Techs., Inc. v. TCL Corp., et al.</i> , No. 4:19-cv-00624 (E.D. Tex. Aug. 27, 2019) (ECF No. 1)
1023	U.S. Patent No. 5,734,819 (“Lewis”)
1024	File Wrapper of Ex Parte Reexamination of U.S. Patent No. 6,411,941, Control No. 90/010,560 (“560 Reexam File Wrapper”)
2001	Microsoft Corporation’s Request for <i>Ex Parte</i> Reexamination Image File Wrapper, Control No. 90010560
2002	<i>Ancora Techs., Inc. v. Apple, Inc.</i> , 744 F.3d 732 (Fed. Cir. 2014)
2003	Deposition Excerpts of Jon Weissman, <i>Ancora Techs., Inc. v. HTC America, Inc.</i> , Case No. 2:16-cv-01919 (W.D. Wa. Sep. 9, 2019)
2004	Declaration of Ian Jestice, <i>Ancora Techs., Inc. v. HTC America, Inc.</i> , Case No. 2:16-cv-01919 (W.D. Wa. Aug. 26, 2019)
2005	Brief of Appellees HTC America, Inc. and HTC Corporation, <i>Ancora Techs., Inc. v. HTC America, Inc., HTC Corp.</i> , Case No. 18-1404 (Fed. Cir. Apr. 23, 2018)
2006	Declaration of Jon Weissman, <i>Ancora Techs., Inc. v. HTC America, Inc.</i> , Case No. 2:16-cv-01919 (W.D. Wa. Sep. 4, 2019)
2007	Terplan, Kornel, Morreale, Patricia, THE TELECOMMUNICATIONS HANDBOOK, CRC Press, 2000
2008	COMPUTER USER’S DICTIONARY, Microsoft Press, 1998
2009	MICROSOFT COMPUTER DICTIONARY FOURTH EDITION, Microsoft Press, 1999
2010	PC MAGAZINE ENCYCLOPEDIA, available at https://www.pcmag.com/encyclopedia (excerpt, definition of “Agent”)
2011	U.S. Patent No. 6,411,941 File History with Beeble White Paper
2012	Joint Claim Construction Chart, <i>Ancora Techs., Inc. v. TCT Mobile (US) Inc., Huizhou TCL Mobile Commc’n Co., Ltd., and</i>

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.