

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
WACO DIVISION**

Ocean Semiconductor LLC,

Plaintiff

v.

Renesas Electronics Corporation and Renesas
Electronics America, Inc.,

Defendants

Civil Action No.: 6:20-cv-01213-ADA

ORAL ARGUMENT REQUESTED

JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

PATENT CASE

RENESAS'S RULE 12(b)(6) MOTION TO DISMISS

TABLE OF CONTENTS

- I. INTRODUCTION 1
- II. LEGAL STANDARD..... 2
- III. ARGUMENT 4
 - A. Ocean Has Failed to State a Claim Under § 271(g)..... 4
 - 1. There Is No Viable Infringement Claim Under § 271(g) for the '651 Patent 5
 - 2. There Is No Viable Infringement Claim Under § 271(g) for the '402 Patent 6
 - 3. There Is No Viable Infringement Claim Under § 271(g) for the '691 Patent 7
 - 4. There Is No Viable Infringement Claim Under § 271(g) for the '305/'248 Patents..... 8
 - 5. There Is No Viable Infringement Claim Under § 271(g) for the '330 Patent 9
 - 6. There Is No Viable Infringement Claim Under § 271(g) for the '538 Patent 10
 - B. The Patents-in-Suit Are Fatally Flawed Under 35 U.S.C. § 101 11
 - 1. The Asserted Claims of the '305 Patent and the '248 Patent Are Directed to Patent Ineligible Subject Matter 12
 - 2. Claim 1 of the '402 Patent is Directed to Patent Ineligible Subject Matter 14
 - 3. Claim 1 of the '538 Patent is Directed to Patent Ineligible Subject Matter 16
 - 4. Claim 1 of the '691 Patent Is Directed to Patent Ineligible Subject Matter 18
 - C. Ocean Has Failed to State a Claim for Induced Infringement 19
- IV. CONCLUSION..... 20

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

	Page(s)
Cases	
<i>Accenture Global Servs., GmbH v. Guidewire Software, Inc.</i> , 728 F.3d 1336 (Fed.Cir. 2013).....	13
<i>Affinity Labs of Tex., LLC v. Blackberry Ltd.</i> , No. W:13-CV-362, 2014 WL 12551207 (W.D. Tex. Apr. 30, 2014).....	20
<i>Alice Corp. Pty. Ltd. v. CLS Bank Int'l</i> , 573 U.S. 208 (2014).....	1, 3, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18
<i>Ashcroft v. Iqbal</i> , 556 U.S. 652 (2009).....	2, 19
<i>Bancorp Servs., L.L.C. v. Sun Life Assurance Co.</i> , 687 F.3d 1266 (Fed. Cir. 2012).....	17
<i>Bascom Glob. Internet Servs., Inc. v. AT&T Mobility, LLC</i> , 827 F.3d 1341 (Fed. Cir. 2016).....	14
<i>Bayer AG v. Housey Pharms., Inc.</i> , 340 F.3d 1367 (Fed. Cir. 2003).....	3, 4, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11
<i>Bell Atlantic Corp v. Twombly</i> , 550 U.S. 544 (2007).....	2
<i>Berkheimer v. HP Inc.</i> , 881 F.3d 1360 (Fed. Cir. 2018).....	3
<i>Bonutti Skeletal Innovations, LLC v. Globus Med. Inc.</i> , No. 14-6650, 2015 WL 3755223 (E.D. Pa. June 15, 2015).....	20
<i>Braemar Mfg., LLC v. ScottCare Corp.</i> , 816 F. App'x 465 (Fed. Cir. 2020).....	18
<i>BSG Tech LLC v. Buyseasons, Inc.</i> , 899 F.3d 1281 (Fed. Cir. 2018).....	14
<i>Cleveland Clinic Found. v. True Health Diagnostics LLC</i> , 859 F.3d 1352 (Fed. Cir. 2017).....	11
<i>Credit Acceptance Corp. v. Westlake Servs.</i> , 859 F.3d 1044 (Fed. Cir. 2017).....	13

<i>DDR Holdings, LLC v. Hotels.com, L.P.</i> , 773 F.3d 1245 (Fed. Cir. 2014).....	14
<i>DSU Med. Corp. v. JMS Co.</i> , 471 F.3d 1293 (Fed. Cir. 2006).....	4, 20
<i>Elec. Power Grp., LLC v. Alstom S.A.</i> , 830 F.3d 1350 (Fed. Cir. 2016).....	12, 15, 17
<i>Enfish, LLC v. Microsoft Corp.</i> , 822 F.3d 1327 (Fed. Cir. 2016).....	12
<i>In re Gopalan</i> , 809 F. App'x 942 (Fed. Cir. 2020)	19
<i>In re Katrina Canal Breaches Litig.</i> , 495 F. 3d 191 (5th Cir. 2007)	2
<i>Lifetime Indus., Inc. v. Trim-Lok, Inc.</i> , 869 F.3d 1372 (Fed. Cir. 2017).....	3
<i>Momenta Pharms., Inc. v. Teva Pharms. USA Inc.</i> , 809 F.3d 610 (Fed. Cir. 2015).....	3, 4
<i>OpenTV, Inc. v. Netflix Inc.</i> , 76 F. Supp. 3d 886 (N.D. Cal. 2014)	12
<i>P & RO Sols. Grp., Inc. v. CiM Maint., Inc.</i> , 273 F. Supp. 3d 699 (E.D. Tex. 2017).....	13
<i>Phillip M. Adams & Assocs., LLC v. Dell Computer Corp.</i> , 519 F. App'x 998 (Fed. Cir. 2013)	5
<i>Specialized Monitoring Sols., LLC v. ADT LLC</i> , 367 F. Supp. 3d 575 (E.D. Tex. 2019).....	15
<i>Taylor v. Books A Million, Inc.</i> , 296 F. 3d 376 (5th Cir. 2002)	2
<i>In re TLI Commc'ns LLC Pat. Litig.</i> , 823 F.3d 607 (Fed. Cir. 2016).....	11, 14, 16, 19
<i>Univ. of Fla. Res. Found., Inc. v. Gen. Elec. Co.</i> , 916 F.3d 1363 (Fed. Cir. 2019).....	13
Statutes	
35 U.S.C. § 101.....	3, 11, 13, 16

35 U.S.C. § 271.....1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.