

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

APPLIED MATERIALS, INC.

Petitioner,

v.

OCEAN SEMICONDUCTOR LLC,

Patent Owner.

Case IPR: IPR2021-01342
U.S. Patent No. 6,968,248

**PETITIONER'S REPLY TO PATENT OWNER'S
PRELIMINARY RESPONSE**

Mail Stop "PATENT BOARD"
Patent Trial and Appeal Board
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Petitioner's Reply to Patent Owner's Preliminary Response
IPR2021-01342

EXHIBIT LIST

Exhibit No.	Description
1001	U.S. Patent No. 6,968,248 (“’248 patent”)
1002	U.S. Patent No. 6,907,305 (“’305 patent”)
1003	Declaration of Stanley Shanfield, Ph.D.
1004	<i>Curriculum Vitae</i> of Stanley Shanfield, Ph.D.
1005	File Wrapper for the ’248 patent
1006	File Wrapper for the ’305 patent
1007	Schulze, U.S. Patent Application Publication No. US 2002/0116083 (provisional application filed Oct. 17, 2000; application filed Oct. 16; 2001; published Aug. 22, 2002)
1008	Gupta et al., U.S. Patent No. 4,888,692 (filed Nov. 10, 1988; issued Dec. 19, 1989)
1009	Schulze, U.S. Provisional Application No. 60/241,343 (filed Oct. 17, 2000)
1010	United States Patent and Trademark Office’s Electronic Assignment Record for U.S. Patent No. 6,968,248
1011	District Court Trial Dates Tend to Slip After PTAB Discretionary Denials, <i>available at</i> https://www.patentspostgrant.com/district-court-trial-dates-tend-to-slip-after-ptab-discretionary-denials/ (last visited July 20, 2021)
1012	B.L. MacCarthy and J. Liu, <i>Addressing the Gap in Scheduling Research: A Review of Optimization and Heuristic Methods in Production Scheduling</i> , Int. J. Prod. Pres., Vol. 31, No. 1, 59-79 (1993)
1013	W. Shen, L. Wang and Q. Hao, <i>Agent-based Distributed Manufacturing Process Planning and Scheduling: A State-of-the-art</i>

Petitioner's Reply to Patent Owner's Preliminary Response
IPR2021-01342

Exhibit No.	Description
	<i>survey</i> , IEEE Transactions on Systems, Man, and Cybernetics, Part C (Applications and Reviews), vol. 36, no. 4, pp. 563-577 (July 2006)
1014	W. Shen, <i>Distributed manufacturing scheduling using intelligent agents</i> , IEEE Intelligent Systems, vol. 17, no. 1, 88-94 (Jan.-Feb. 2002)
1015	M. Yamamoto and S. Y. Nof, <i>Scheduling/rescheduling in the manufacturing operating system environment</i> , International Journal of Production Research, 23:4, 705-722 (1985)
1016	J. Sun and D. Xue, <i>A Dynamic Reactive Scheduling Mechanism for Responding to Changes of Production Orders and Manufacturing Resources</i> , Computers in Industry, 189-207 (2001)
1017	J. McGehee, <i>The MMST Computer-Integrated Manufacturing System Framework</i> , IEEE Transactions on Semiconductor Manufacturing, 7: 107-16 (1994)
1018	P. Cowling and M. Johansson, <i>Using Real Time Information for Effective Dynamic Scheduling</i> , European Journal of Operational Research 139, 230-244 (2002)
1019	P. Diwan and D. Kothari, <i>Role of Automation and Robotics in Semiconductor Industry</i> , IETE Technical Review, 7: 368-77 (1990)
1020	N.R. Jennings and M. Wooldridge, <i>Applications of Intelligent Agents</i> , Agent Technology, 3-28 (1998)
1021	J.Y. Pan and J.M. Tenenbaum, <i>Toward an Intelligent Agent Framework for Enterprise Integration</i> , AAAI (1991)
1022	H. Fargher and R. Smith, <i>Planning for the Semiconductor Manufacturer of the Future</i> , AAAI (1992)
1023	W. Shen and D. Norrie, <i>A Hybrid Agent-Oriented Infrastructure for Modeling Manufacturing Enterprises</i> (1998)

Petitioner's Reply to Patent Owner's Preliminary Response
IPR2021-01342

Exhibit No.	Description
1024	K. Kouiss, H. Pierreval, and N. Mebarki, <i>Using Multi-Agent Architecture in FMS for Dynamic Scheduling</i> , J. Intelligent Manufacturing, vol. 8, no. 1, 41–47 (Feb. 1997)
1025	S. Parthasarathy and S.H. Kim, <i>Manufacturing Systems: Parallel System Models and Some Theoretical Results</i> , International Journal of Computer Applications in Technology, Vol. 3, No. 4, 225-238 (1990)
1026	R. Uzsoy, C. Lee, and L. Martin-Vega, <i>Models in the Semiconductor Industry Part I: System Characteristics, Performance Evaluation and Production Planning</i> , IIE Transactions, 24:4, 47-60 (1992)
1027	H. Fargher, et al., <i>A Planner and Scheduler for Semiconductor Manufacturing</i> , IEEE Transactions on Semiconductor Manufacturing, Vol. 7, No. 2, 117-28 (May 1994)
1028	R. Leachman and D. Hodges, <i>Benchmarking Semiconductor Manufacturing</i> (2001)
1029	J. Macher et al., <i>E-Business and Semiconductor Industry Value Chain: Implications for Vertical Specialization and Integrated Semiconductor Manufacturers</i> , East-West Center Working Papers Economics Series No. 47 (May 2002)
1030	G. Tassej, <i>Standardization in Technology-Based Markets</i> (June 1999)
1031	R. Langlois, <i>Capabilities and Vertical Disintegration in Process Technology: The Case of Semiconductor Fabrication Equipment</i> (January 1998)
1032	<i>Ocean Semiconductor LLC v. Analog Devices, Inc.</i> , No. 1:20-cv-12310 (D. Mass.), ECF No. 37, Sept. 20, 2021
1033	<i>Ocean Semiconductor LLC v. Infineon Tech. AG</i> , No. 1:20-cv-12311 (D. Mass.), ECF No. 38, Sept. 20, 2021

Petitioner's Reply to Patent Owner's Preliminary Response
IPR2021-01342

Exhibit No.	Description
1034	<i>Ocean Semiconductor LLC v. MediaTek Inc.</i> , No. 6:20-cv-1210 (W.D. Tex.), ECF No. 49, Nov. 29, 2021
1035	<i>Tetrad Tech., LLC v. Implus Footcare, LLC</i> , No. 6:21-cv-796 (W.D. Tex.), ECF No. 15, Oct. 13, 2021
1036	<i>Peters v. United States</i> , No. 6:21-cv-550 (W.D. Tex.), ECF No. 13, Oct. 3, 2021
1037	<i>Springman v. Fun Town Enter., LLC</i> , No. 6:21-cv-63 (W.D. Tex.), ECF No. 14, Apr. 16, 2021
1038	<i>Satco Prod., Inc. v. Signify N. Am. Corp.</i> , No. 6:21-cv-146 (W.D. Tex.), ECF No. 34, July 14, 2021
1039	How reliable are trial dates relied on by the PTAB in the Fintiv analysis? <i>available at</i> https://www.1600ptab.com/2021/10/how-reliable-are-trial-dates-relied-on-by-the-ptab-in-the-fintiv-analysis/ (last visited Dec. 7, 2021)
1040	<i>WSOU Inv., LLC v. Dell Tech. Inc.</i> , No. 6:20-cv-00473 (W.D. Tex.), ECF No. 128, Dec. 1, 2021
1041	<i>Ocean Semiconductor LLC v. MediaTek Inc.</i> , No. 6:20-cv-1210 (W.D. Tex.), ECF No. 51, Dec. 9, 2021
1042	<i>Ocean Semiconductor LLC v. MediaTek Inc.</i> , No. 6:20-cv-1210 (W.D. Tex.), Docket Report, Dec. 10, 2021

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.