

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS  
WACO DIVISION

<p>OCEAN SEMICONDUCTOR LLC, <i>Plaintiff</i></p> <p>-v-</p> <p>MEDIATEK INC., MEDIATEK USA INC., <i>Defendants</i></p>	<p>6-20-CV-01210-ADA</p>
<p>OCEAN SEMICONDUCTOR LLC, <i>Plaintiff</i></p> <p>-v-</p> <p>NVIDIA CORPORATION, <i>Defendants</i></p>	<p>6-20-cv-01211-ADA</p>
<p>OCEAN SEMICONDUCTOR LLC, PLAINTIFF</p> <p>-v-</p> <p>NXP USA, INC., <i>Defendants</i></p>	<p>6-20-cv-01212-ADA</p>
<p>OCEAN SEMICONDUCTOR LLC, PLAINTIFF</p> <p>-v-</p> <p>RENESAS ELECTRONICS CORPORATION, RENESAS ELECTRONICS AMERICA, INC., <i>Defendants</i></p>	<p>6-20-cv-01213-ADA</p>

---

OCEAN SEMICONDUCTOR LLC,  
PLAINTIFF

-v-

SILICON LABORATORIES INC.,  
*Defendants*

6-20-cv-01214-ADA

---

OCEAN SEMICONDUCTOR LLC,  
PLAINTIFF

-v-

STMICROELECTRONICS INC.,  
*Defendants*

6-20-cv-01215-ADA

---

OCEAN SEMICONDUCTOR LLC,  
PLAINTIFF

-v-

WESTERN DIGITAL  
TECHNOLOGIES, INC.,  
*Defendants*


6-20-cv-01216-ADA

---

**CLAIM CONSTRUCTION ORDER**

The Court held a *Markman* hearing on December 9, 2021. During that hearing, the Court provided its final constructions. The Court now enters those claim constructions.

**SIGNED** this 9th day of December, 2021.

  
ALAN D ALBRIGHT  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

---

Term	Plaintiff’s Proposed Construction	Defendants’ Proposed Construction	Court Construction
<p>1: “ultra-thin resist layer[s]”</p> <p>U.S. Patent No. 6,420,097, Cls. 1–14, 17</p> <p>Proposed by Defendants</p>	<p>No construction is necessary</p>	<p>Indefinite</p>	<p>Not indefinite and ordinary meaning</p>
<p>2: “pneumatic cylinder”</p> <p>U.S. Patent No. 6,660,651, Cls. 19–24, 75, and 81</p> <p>Proposed by all parties</p>	<p>No construction is necessary, or in the alternative, “a pneumatic, hydraulic, electromagnetic or mechanical device”</p>	<p>Plain and ordinary meaning</p>	<p>Plain and ordinary meaning <sup>1</sup> Note not “pneumatic” or “pneumatic”</p>
<p>3: “said process chamber”</p> <p>U.S. Patent No. 6,660,651, Cls. 31, 32, and 34-37</p> <p>Proposed by all parties</p>	<p>“said process tool”</p>	<p>Indefinite</p>	<p>Indefinite</p>
<p>4: “software scheduling agent”</p> <p>U.S. Patent No. 6,907,305, Cls. 1–5 and 7–11; U.S. Patent No. 6,968,248, Cls. 1–12</p> <p>Proposed by Defendants</p>	<p>No construction is necessary</p>	<p>“a software agent that schedules, initiates, and executes activities on behalf of a single manufacturing domain entity”</p>	<p>“a software agent that schedules activities on behalf of a single manufacturing domain entity”</p>

Term	Plaintiff's Proposed Construction	Defendants' Proposed Construction	Court Construction
5: "concurrently measuring"  U.S. Patent No. 7,080,330, Cls. 19–21  Proposed by Defendants	No construction is necessary	"simultaneously measuring with a single measuring tool"	Plain-and-ordinary meaning
6A: "significant fault"  U.S. Patent No. 8,676,538, Cl. 5  Proposed by All Parties	No construction is necessary, or in the alternative, "abnormality or fault that relates to an actual fault"	Indefinite	Not indefinite "fault"
6B: "determining in said computer whether said parameter is a significant factor"  U.S. Patent No. 8,676,538, Cl. 7  Proposed by All Parties	No construction is necessary, or in the alternative, "a parameter that provides a significant contribution to the fault"	Indefinite	Not indefinite "ordinary meaning"