



## [Account](#)

News, cases, companies, firr

Try our [Advanced Search](#) for more refined results

- [Logged in as: pmazur@devlinlawfirm.com](#)
  - [My Account](#)
  - [Emails & Alerts](#)
  - [Newsletter Signup](#)
  - [Log Out](#)

News, cases, companies, firr

Search

Toggle Dropdown

- [Search Law360](#)
- [Search News Only](#)
- [Search Cases Only](#)
- [Search PTAB Only](#)
- [Search TTAB Only](#)

Advanced Search

[Close](#)

- [Account](#)
- [My Account](#)
- [My Feeds and Alerts](#)
- [My Briefcase](#)
- [Newsletter Signup](#)
- [Help](#)
- [Log Out](#)
  
- [Law360](#)
- [Law360 UK](#)
- [Law360 Pulse](#)
- [Law360 Employment Authority](#)
- [Law360 Tax Authority](#)
- [Law360 Insurance Authority](#)
  
- [Products](#)
- [Lexis®](#)
- [Law360 In-Depth](#)
- [Law360 Updates](#)
- [Law360 Podcasts](#)
  
- [Rankings](#)
- [Regional Powerhouses](#)

- [Law360 400](#)
- [Diversity Snapshot](#)
- [Practice Groups of the Year](#)
- [Rising Stars](#)
- [Titans of the Plaintiffs Bar](#)
  
- Sections
- [Adv. Search & Platform Tools](#)
- [Browse all sections](#)
- [Banking](#)
- [Bankruptcy](#)
- [Class Action](#)
- [Competition](#)
- [Employment](#)
- [Energy](#)
- [Expert Analysis](#)
- [Insurance](#)
- [Intellectual Property](#)
- [Product Liability](#)
- [Securities](#)
  
- Beta Tools
- [Track docs](#)
- [Track attorneys](#)
- [Track judges](#)
  
- Site Menu
- [Join the Law360 team](#)
- [Search legal jobs](#)
- [Learn more about Law360](#)
- [Read testimonials](#)
- [Contact Law360](#)
- [Sign up for our newsletters](#)
- [Site Map](#)
- [Help](#)

[Delaware Legal Industry](#) [Access To Justice](#) [Law360 UK](#) [Pulse](#) || [See all sections](#)

Is your firm a Social Impact Leader?

[Click here to find out.](#)

# Google Hit With \$26M Video Patent Verdict In WDTX

By [Lauren Berg](#) ·

Share us on: By [Lauren Berg](#)

Law360 (November 16, 2021, 9:45 PM EST) -- [Google LLC](#) and [YouTube LLC](#) owe VideoShare LLC nearly \$26 million for infringing the company's video sharing patent, a Texas federal jury found Tuesday, rejecting Google's case for invalidating the patent.

A day after [closing arguments](#) were heard in U.S. District Judge Alan D. Albright's Waco courtroom, the jury found that Google and its YouTube subsidiary directly infringed all six asserted claims of VideoShare's U.S. Patent No. 10,362,341, according to the verdict form.

The jury also rejected Google's argument that portions of the patent's claims are conventional, making it unpatentable.

The jury said Google and YouTube must pay \$25.9 million to compensate VideoShare for their infringement of the '341 patent, which was the amount that VideoShare's damages expert had recommended.

"VideoShare had the facts and the law on its side," said VideoShare attorney Michael Shore of [Shore Chan LLP](#) in a statement Tuesday. "In that scenario the only thing required for a just outcome is a fair trial."

"In the Western District of Texas all parties are treated equally before the law and fair trials are the norm," he added.

Counsel for Google did not immediately respond to a request for comment Tuesday evening.

The patent battle between Google and VideoShare dates to 2013, when VideoShare asserted in Delaware federal court two streaming video patents that were issued that year.

In the Delaware suit, VideoShare claimed Google and YouTube infringed patents relating to a way to share video with advertisements, but the [case was tossed](#) in August 2016 after a judge agreed with Google that both patents were invalid under the [U.S. Supreme Court's](#) Alice decision because they covered the abstract idea of sharing streaming video online.

In November 2019, after obtaining the current patent as a continuation of the invalidated patents, VideoShare sued Google and YouTube in the Western District of Texas.

Judge Albright rejected two bids by Google to dismiss the lawsuit, the first in [May 2020](#) and then in [September of this year](#).

In his first denial, the judge rejected Google's argument that VideoShare was barred from asserting a patent that is related to one already invalidated in an earlier dispute. In his second denial, he found the current patent was still enforceable despite its link to the prior invalidated patents.

During the weeklong trial, VideoShare sought to convince jurors that Google's defense to the infringement claims fails under claim construction.

In his closing arguments, VideoShare's attorney Shore said it was the weakest invalidity case he had seen in his 30 years in law.

"This is garbage, and they know it," he said. "They're not satisfied with trying to escape infringement. They want to destroy the patent. They want everybody out there to know if you come after Google and you're not willing to take a tiny little settlement, we're going to destroy you."

Google's attorney, Luann L. Simmons of [O'Melveny & Myers LLP](#), refuted Shore's characterization of Google's trial strategy, telling jurors the company wasn't seeking to destroy anyone but was just defending itself.

converting video into different formats. Key differences, including the fact that YouTube never distributes the original video format to users, show the website doesn't use VideoShare's patent, Simmons said.

The patent-in-suit is U.S. Patent No. 10,362,341.

VideoShare is represented by Charles L. Ainsworth and Robert C. Bunt of [Parker Bunt & Ainsworth PC](#) and Michael W. Shore, Alfonso G. Chan, William D. Ellerman, Aris Rafilson and Halima Shukri Ndai of Shore Chan LLP.

Google is represented by J. Mark Mann and G. Blake Thompson of Mann Tindel Thompson and Luann L. Simmons, David S. Almeling, Marc J. Pensabene, Brad N. Garcia, Cameron W. Westin, Bill Trac, Amy K. Liang, Sorin G. Zaharia, Stacy P. Yae and Daniel Silverman of O'Melveny & Myers LLP.

The case is VideoShare LLC v. Google LLC et al., case number [6:19-cv-00663](#), in the [U.S. District Court for the Western District of Texas](#).

--Additional reporting by Katie Buehler, Ryan Davis and Tiffany Hu. Editing by Andrew Cohen.

For a reprint of this article, please contact [reprints@law360.com](mailto:reprints@law360.com).

## 0 Comments

Peter Mazur

Your name will appear next to your comment. If you do not disclose your full name, your comment will be deleted. Your email address will not be visible to the public.

[Terms of Service](#)

Tell us what you think (1,500 characters max)

Comment

## Related Articles

[Google Accused Of Destroying Competition In Trial Closings](#)

[IP Forecast: Google Patent Fight Heads To Texas Jury](#)

[VideoShare Says 10 Hours Is Too Short For Google IP Trial](#)

[Albright Won't Let Google, YouTube Escape From Patent Suit](#)

[Google, YouTube Must Face Video Streaming Patent Suit](#)

## Here's What You Missed

---

[3 Ex-US Officials Reject 'Willy-Nilly' Virus Vaccine IP Waivers](#)

---

[Fed. Circ. Tosses Apple's Bid To Kill Professor's IP Rights Suit](#)

---

[PTAB Reverses Denial Of SharkNinja IPR Request](#)

---

['Borat' Star Says Pot Co. Prez Admitted To Billboard Ad Stunt](#)

---

[Duane Morris Welcomes 2 IP Partners To Boston Office](#)

---

[IP Forecast: Delaware '101 Day' To Start Off With Natera Battle](#)

---

[Cannabis Co. Must Face Skittles IP Suit](#)

---

[Dr. Martens Maker Wins Injunction In Calif. Trade Dress Case](#)

---

[Bayer, Amgen Unit Drop IP Suit Over Cancer Drug Generic](#)

---

[Loofah Patent Trial Delayed Over Doc Disclosure 'Oversight'](#)

---

[Jury Awards Singaporean Plastics Co. \\$14.5M In TM Row](#)

---

[Brit Cops To Pirating DVDs For Global Hacking Syndicate](#)

---

[Pot Equipment Maker Beats Ex-Partner's \\$1M 'Hashatron' Suit](#)

---

[Delta-8 Vape Maker Gets Default Win In Copyright Dispute](#)

---

[Amazon Is Hawking Fake Cashmere, Industry Group Claims](#)

---

[Texas Justices Order Redo Of Trade Secrets Case Appeal](#)

---

[Facebook Given Another Chance To Fight Telecom Patent](#)

---

[3 USPTO Updates You Need To Know](#)

---

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.