

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

APPLIED MATERIALS, INC.

Petitioner,

v.

OCEAN SEMICONDUCTOR LLC,

Patent Owner.

Case IPR: IPR2021-01342
U.S. Patent No. 6,968,248

PETITIONER'S REPLY TO PATENT OWNER'S RESPONSE

Mail Stop "PATENT BOARD"
Patent Trial and Appeal Board
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I. SUMMARY.....1

II. OCEAN’S UNSUPPORTED CLAIM CONSTRUCTION
ARGUMENTS FAIL TO REBUT THE SHOWING THAT ALL
CHALLENGED CLAIMS ARE UNPATENTABLE2

A. Even If the Preamble Were Limiting, the Prior Art Discloses
the “Automated Manufacturing Environment” Referenced in
the Preamble2

B. The Schulze-Gupta Combination Discloses an “Integrated,
Automated Process Flow”5

C. The Schulze-Gupta Combination Discloses “Software
Scheduling Agent,” Even Under Ocean’s Unsupported
Constructions.....9

1. “Software Scheduling Agent” Is Not Limited to
“Resource Scheduling”9

2. “Software Scheduling Agent” Does Not Require “Fab-
Wide” and “Globally-Reactive” Scheduling11

III. OCEAN HAS FAILED TO REBUT PETITIONER’S SHOWING
THAT A POSA WOULD HAVE HAD A REASONABLE
EXPECTATION OF SUCCESS COMBINING SCHULZE WITH
GUPTA.....15

IV. CONCLUSION.....20

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

Page(s)

Cases

Arctic Cat Inc. v. GEP Power Prods., Inc.,
919 F.3d 1320 (Fed. Cir. 2019)2

In re Bigio,
381 F.3d 1320 (Fed. Cir. 2004) 18

Cisco Sys., Inc. v. TQ Delta, LLC,
928 F.3d 1359 (Fed. Cir. 2019)8

Compaper Corp. v. Antec, Inc.,
596 F.3d 1342 (Fed. Cir. 2010) 18

Euro-Pro Operating LLC v. Acorne Enters., LLC,
IPR2014-00352, Paper 36 (PTAB July 9, 2015)5

Game & Tech. Co. v. Wargaming Grp. Ltd.,
942 F.3d 1343 (Fed. Cir. 2019)5

Novo Nordisk A/S v. Caraco Pharm. Labs., Ltd.,
719 F.3d 1346 (Fed. Cir. 2013)2

Philips v. AWH Corp.,
415 F.3d 1303 (Fed. Cir. 2005)9

Samsung Elecs. Co. v. Imperium (IP) Holdings,
IPR2015-01232, Paper 51 (PTAB Dec. 1, 2016)7

SimpleAir, Inc. v. Sony Ericsson Mobile Commc’ns AB,
820 F.3d 419 (Fed. Cir. 2016)6

In re Suitco Surface, Inc.,
603 F.3d 1255 (Fed. Cir. 2010) 10

Thorner v. Sony Computer Ent. Am. LLC,
669 F.3d 1362 (Fed. Cir. 2012)7

Wyers v. Master Locks Co.,
616 F.3d 1231 (Fed. Cir. 2010) 18

**Petitioner’s Reply to Patent Owner’s Response
IPR2021-01342**

Statutes and Other Authorities

35 U.S.C. § 103(a) 10

**Petitioner's Reply to Patent Owner's Response
IPR2021-01342**

EXHIBIT LIST

Exhibit No.	Description
1001	U.S. Patent No. 6,968,248 (“’248 patent”)
1002	U.S. Patent No. 6,907,305 (“’305 patent”)
1003	Declaration of Stanley Shanfield, Ph.D.
1004	<i>Curriculum Vitae</i> of Stanley Shanfield, Ph.D.
1005	File Wrapper for the ’248 patent
1006	File Wrapper for the ’305 patent
1007	Schulze, U.S. Patent Application Publication No. US 2002/0116083 (provisional application filed Oct. 17, 2000; application filed Oct. 16; 2001; published Aug. 22, 2002)
1008	Gupta et al., U.S. Patent No. 4,888,692 (filed Nov. 10, 1988; issued Dec. 19, 1989)
1009	Schulze, U.S. Provisional Application No. 60/241,343 (filed Oct. 17, 2000)
1010	United States Patent and Trademark Office’s Electronic Assignment Record for U.S. Patent No. 6,968,248
1011	District Court Trial Dates Tend to Slip After PTAB Discretionary Denials, <i>available at</i> https://www.patentspostgrant.com/district-court-trial-dates-tend-to-slip-after-ptab-discretionary-denials/ (last visited July 20, 2021)
1012	B.L. MacCarthy and J. Liu, <i>Addressing the Gap in Scheduling Research: A Review of Optimization and Heuristic Methods in Production Scheduling</i> , Int. J. Prod. Pres., Vol. 31, No. 1, 59-79 (1993)

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.