Case: 18-1404 Document: 22 Page: 1 Filed: 04/23/2018

### No. 2018-1404

# IN THE United States Court of Appeals FOR THE FEDERAL CIRCUIT

ANCORA TECHNOLOGIES, INC.,

Plaintiff-Appellant,

 $\nu$ .

HTC AMERICA, INC., HTC CORPORATION,

Defendants-Appellees.

APPEAL FROM THE U.S. DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON Case No. 2:16-cv-01919-RAJ

# BRIEF OF APPELLEES HTC AMERICA, INC. AND HTC CORPORATION

IRFAN A. LATEEF

Counsel of Record

JOSEPH R. RE

BRIAN C. CLAASSEN

DANIEL C. KIANG

KNOBBE, MARTENS, OLSON & BEAR, LLP

2040 Main Street, 14<sup>th</sup> Floor

Irvine, CA 92614

(949) 760-0404

Attorneys for Appellees
HTC AMERICA, INC. and HTC
CORPORATION

April 23, 2018



Case: 18-1404 Document: 22 Page: 2 Filed: 04/23/2018

### **CERTIFICATE OF INTEREST**

Counsel for Appellees HTC America, Inc. and HTC Corporation hereby certify the following:

1. The full name of every party represented by me is:

HTC America, Inc. and HTC Corporation

2. The name of the real party in interest (if the party named in the caption is not the real party in interest):

N/A

3. All parent corporations and any publicly held companies that own more than 10 percent or more of the stock of the party represented by me are:

HTC America, Inc. is a wholly owned, indirect subsidiary of HTC Corp.

4. The names of all law firms and the partners and associates that have appeared for the party in the lower tribunal or are expected to appear for the party in this court and who are not already listed on the docket for the current case are:

Colin B. Heideman and Craig S. Summers of Knobbe, Martens, Olson & Bear, LLP

5. The title and number of any case known to counsel to be pending in this or any other court or agency that will directly affect or be directly affected by this court's decision in the pending appeal:

None

KNOBBE, MARTENS, OLSON & BEAR, LLP

April 23, 2018

By: /s/ Irfan A. Lateef
Irfan A. Lateef

Attorney for Appellees



## **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

Page No.

I.	INTR	RODUCTION				
II.	COUNTERSTATEMENT OF THE CASE					
	A.	The '	941 Patent	5		
	B.	The I	District Court Proceedings Below	7		
III.	SUM	MARY OF THE ARGUMENT1				
IV.	ARGUMENT					
	A.	Stand	ard of Review	14		
	B.	Legal	Standard	14		
V.	THE DISTRICT COURT CORRECTLY HELD THAT ALL CLAIMS ARE INVALID UNDER SECTION 101.					
	A.	The '941 Patent Claims Are Directed to an Abstract Idea				
		1.	The District Court Did Not Ignore the Text of the Claims.	18		
		2.	The District Court Did Not Ignore the Specification	20		
		3.	DDR Holdings, Enfish, McRo, and Trading Techs Are Inapposite	21		
		4.	The '941 Patent Claims Are Not Directed to a Change to Computer Hardware	24		
		5.	The '941 Patent Uses a Conventional Computer Merely as a Tool.	26		
		6.	The '941 Patent is Not Directed Toward a Technological Improvement.	27		



# TABLE OF CONTENTS (Cont'd)

Page No.

		7.	The District Court Properly Analyzed the Representative Claim.	31
	B.	The '	'941 Patent Claims Do Not Recite an Inventive Concept	32
		1.	Claim 1 Fails to Recite Significantly More than the Abstract Idea	33
		2.	The Patent Office Record Does Not Provide an Inventive Concept.	35
		3.	Novelty Alone Does Not Make a Claim Patent- Eligible	36
		4.	The District Court Properly Understood the Scope of Claim 1	37
VI.			TRICT COURT DID NOT ERR BY DECIDING ELIGIBILITY ON THE PLEADINGS	38
VII.	THE	Y PRE	ARE NOT PATENT-ELIGIBLE SIMPLY BECAUSE EEMPT FEWER THAN ALL APPLICATIONS OF AN	<i>Δ</i> 1
VIII.			SION	
CER'	TIFICA	ATE O	OF COMPLIANCE	45



## **TABLE OF AUTHORITIES**

Page No(s).

Accenture Glob. Servs., GmbH v. Guidewire Software, Inc., 728 F.3d 1336 (Fed. Cir. 2013)	8, 16, 20, 21, 32
Affinity Labs of Tex., LLC v. DIRECTV, LLC, 838 F.3d 1253 (Fed. Cir. 2016)	passim
Alice Corp. Pty. Ltd. v. CLS Bank Int'l, 134 S. Ct. 2347 (2014)	passim
Ancora Techs., Inc. v. Apple, Inc., 744 F.3d 732 (Fed. Cir. 2014)	1, 25
Ariosa Diagnostics, Inc. v. Sequenom, Inc., 788 F.3d 1371 (Fed. Cir. 2015)	41, 42
Bancorp Servs., LLC v. Sun Life Assurance Co. of Canada, 687 F.3d 1266 (Fed. Cir. 2012)	20
Bascom Glob. Internet Servs., Inc. v. AT&T Mobility LLC, 827 F.3d 1341 (Fed. Cir. 2016)	33, 34
Berkheimer v. HP Inc., 881 F.3d 1360 (Fed. Cir. 2018)	40, 41
Bilski v. Kappos, 561 U.S. 593 (2010)	14, 26
buySAFE, Inc. v. Google, Inc., 765 F.3d 1350 (Fed. Cir. 2014)	37, 39
Content Extraction & Transmission LLC v. Wells Fargo Bank, Nat'l Ass'n, 776 F.3d 1343 (Fed. Cir. 2014)	
CyberSource Corp. v. Retail Decisions, Inc., 654 F.3d 1366 (Fed. Cir. 2011)	-



# DOCKET

# Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

# **Real-Time Litigation Alerts**



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

# **Advanced Docket Research**



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

# **Analytics At Your Fingertips**



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

#### API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

#### **LAW FIRMS**

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

#### **FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS**

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

### **E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS**

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

