

**UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE**

---

**BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD**

---

NINTENDO CO., LTD., and NINTENDO OF AMERICA INC.,  
Petitioners,

v.

ANCORA TECHNOLOGIES, INC.,  
Patent Owner.

---

Case IPR2021-01338  
U.S. Patent No. 6,411,941 B1

---

**PATENT OWNER'S SUR-REPLY**

Mail Stop PATENT BOARD  
Patent Trial and Appeal Board  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

**TABLE OF CONTENTS**

	<u>Page</u>
<b>I. INTRODUCTION .....</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>II. CLAIM CONSTRUCTION.....</b>	<b>2</b>
A. The Plain Meaning of “Agent” Excludes Hardware in the Context of the ’941 Patent.....	2
B. Construing “Agent” as Software-Only Is Consistent with its Plain Meaning and the Disclosure of the ’941 Patent.....	3
C. Ancora’s Interpretation of “Agent” is Not Inconsistent with Positions it Adopted in Related District Court Cases .....	5
D. “Agent” Is Properly Interpreted to Require an OS-Level Software Program or Routine in View of the ’941 File History.....	7
E. The Meaning of “OS-Level” Is Reasonably Certain .....	10
<b>I. NEITHER HELLMAN NOR CHOU NOR THE ALLEGED COMBINATION DISCLOSES USING AN AGENT TO SET UP A VERIFICATION STRUCTURE IN THE ERASABLE, NON-VOLATILE MEMORY OF THE BIOS .....</b>	<b>11</b>
A. Hellman Discloses Hardware Used to Store License Records In an EEPROM, and Replacing Hellman’s Hardware with Software Would Not Have Been Obvious .....	12
B. Hellman Does Not Disclose Any Operating System, Nor Would the Asserted Combination Render the Claimed Agent Obvious .....	14
C. The Reply Does Not Redeem Petitioner’s Failed Motivation to Combine Arguments .....	18
D. Petitioner’s Supplemental “Verification Structure” Arguments Did Not Appear in the Petition or the Supporting Wolfe Declaration .....	19
<b>II. PETITIONER’S MODIFIED HELLMAN, ASSERTED AGAINST DEPENDENT CLAIMS 3, 8, 9, AND 14, RELIES ON THE ’941 PATENT</b>	

<b>AS THE ROADMAP FOR SELECTING A SINGLE SPECIFIC EMBODIMENT IN A PARTICULAR WAY .....</b>	<b>20</b>
<b>III. OBJECTIVE EVIDENCE OF NON-OBVIOUSNESS .....</b>	<b>21</b>
A. The Joint Press Release Establishes Industry Recognition.....	21
B. Additional Settlement Agreements Show the Value of the '941 Patent .....	21
C. Settlements for Less Than the Cost of Litigation Have No Value When Evaluating Commercial Success of the '941 Patent.....	22
<b>IV. CONCLUSION .....</b>	<b>24</b>

**TABLE OF AUTHORITIES**

	<u>Page</u>
<b>Cases</b>	
<i>Access, Inc. v. Echostar Satellite Corp.</i> , 383 F.3d 1295 (Fed. Cir. 2004) .....	3
<i>Akzo N.V. v. United States ITC</i> , 808 F.2d 1471 (Fed. Cir. 1986) .....	20
<i>Ancora Techs., Inc. v. Apple, Inc.</i> , 744 F.3d 732 (Fed. Cir. 2014) .....	19
<i>Cole Kepro Int’l, LLC v. VSR Inds., Inc.</i> , 695 Fed. Appx. 566 (Fed. Cir. 2017) .....	22
<i>Ideal Instruments, Inc. v. Rivard Instruments, Inc.</i> , 498 F. Supp. 2d 1131 (Fed. Cir. 2007) .....	2
<i>Iron Grip Barbell Co. v. USA Sports, Inc.</i> , 392 F.3d 1317 (Fed. Cir. 2004) .....	22
<i>Kaken Pharma. Co., Ltd. v. Iancu</i> , 952 F.3d 1346 (Fed. Cir. 2020) .....	7
<i>Mass. Inst. of Tech. v. Shire Pharms., Inc.</i> , 839 F.3d 1111 (Fed. Cir. 2016) .....	7
<i>Nautilus, Inc. v. Biosig Instruments, Inc.</i> , 572 U.S. 898 (2014) .....	11
<i>Personal Web Techs., LLC v. Apple, Inc.</i> , 848 F.3d 987 (Fed. Cir. 2017) .....	17
<i>Rembrandt Wireless Techs., LP v. Samsung Elecs. Co., Ltd.</i> , 853 F.3d 1370 (Fed. Cir. 2017) .....	7
<i>Straight Path IP Group, Inc. v. Sipnet EU S.R.O.</i> , 806 F.3d 1356 (Fed. Cir. 2015) .....	3
<i>Transocean Offshore Deepwater Drilling, Inc. v. Maersk Drilling USA, Inc.</i> , 699 F.3d 1340 (Fed. Cir. 2012) .....	22
<b>Regulations</b>	
37 C.F.R. § 42.23 .....	19, 20

## LIST OF EXHIBITS

EXHIBIT NO.	TITLE
1001	U.S. Patent No. 6,411,941 to Mullor et al. (“’941 Patent”)
1002	Image File Wrapper of U.S. Patent No. 6,411,941 (“File History”)
1003	Declaration of Andrew Wolfe, Ph.D. (“Wolfe Decl.”)
1004	U.S. Patent No. 4,658,093 (“Hellman”)
1005	U.S. Patent No. 5,892,906 (“Chou”)
1006	U.S. Patent No. 5,933,498 (“Schneck”)
1007	Reserved
1008	Reserved
1009	Reserved
1010	Reserved
1011	Claim Construction Order, <i>Ancora Techs., Inc. v. Apple Inc.</i> , No. 4:11-cv-06357 (N.D. Cal. Dec. 31, 2012) (ECF No. 107).
1012	Final Claim Constructions of the Court, <i>Ancora Techs., Inc. v. LG Elecs., Inc.</i> , No. 1:20-cv-00034 (W.D. Tex. June 2, 2020) (ECF No. 69).
1013	Supplemental Claim Construction Order, <i>Ancora Techs., Inc. v. LG Elecs., Inc.</i> , No. 1:20-cv-00034 (W.D. Tex. Aug. 19, 2020) (ECF No. 93).
1014	Civil Minutes re Telephonic Markman Hearing, <i>Ancora Techs., Inc. v. TCT Mobile (US), Inc.</i> , No. 8:19-cv-02192 (C.D. Cal. Nov. 12, 2020) (ECF No. 66) (attaching “The Court’s Final Ruling on Claim Construction ( <i>Markman</i> ) Hearing,” but also ordering further meet and confer on subject).
1015	Civil Minutes re Telephonic Markman Hearing, <i>Ancora Techs., Inc. v. TCT Mobile (US), Inc.</i> , No. 8:19-cv-02192 (C.D. Cal. Nov. 19, 2020) (ECF No. 69) (confirming no change to “The Court’s Final Ruling on Claim Construction ( <i>Markman</i> ) Hearing”).
1016	Decision Granting Institution of Inter Partes Review, <i>TCT Mobile (US) Inc. v. Ancora Technologies, Inc.</i> , No. IPR2020-01609 (Feb. 16, 2021) (Paper No. 7) (“TCL Institution Decision”).
1017	Decision Granting Institution of Inter Partes Review, <i>Sony Mobile Commc ’ns AB v. Ancora Technologies, Inc.</i> , No.

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.