

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

HYUNDAI MOTOR AMERICA,
Petitioner

v.

STRATOSAUDIO, INC.,
Patent Owner

IPR2021-01303
U.S. Patent No. 8,688,028

PATENT OWNER SUR-REPLY

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I. INTRODUCTION1

II. PATENT OWNER IS NOT RECASTING THE CLAIMED INVENTION AS REQUIRING “DATA MINING”1

III. CLAIM CONSTRUCTION2

 A. “broadcast segment”2

 1. The language of claim 16 does not refute Patent Owner’s construction3

 2. The specification does not refute Patent Owner’s construction3

 B. “associating/associated”7

IV. GROUND 1: CLAIMS 11 AND 13-20 ARE NOT RENDERED OBVIOUS BY CURTIN ALONE8

 A. Curtin alone does not render claim 11 obvious.....8

 1. Element 11[pre].....8

 2. Element 11[a].....10

 3. Element 11[e].....10

 a. Petitioner presents new argument in its Reply, switching its position on what constitutes “selective outputting”10

 b. There remains no basis for Petitioner’s attempt to fabricate an interface in Curtin12

 c. Even under Petitioner’s improper new argument, Curtin does not meet Element 11[e]12

d.	Under Petitioner’s abandoned argument, Curtin’s “selective outputting” occurs after selection	14
B.	Curtin alone does not render claim 16 obvious.....	14
V.	GROUND 2: NO MOTIVATION TO COMBINE CURTIN AND CROSBY AS PROPOSED BY PETITIONER AND NO REASONABLE EXPECTATION OF SUCCESS	15
A.	Curtin does not comport with Crosby’s wireless transmitter.....	16
B.	Crosby does not comport with Curtin’s required memory for identification information.....	17
C.	Petitioner has changed its argument in reply, implicitly conceding the defects of its proposed combination.....	17
VI.	GROUND 3: CLAIMS 11, 14, 15 AND 18 ARE NOT RENDERED OBVIOUS BY ALWADISH ALONE	19
A.	Element 11[pre].....	19
B.	Element 11[d].....	20
VII.	GROUND 4: CLAIMS 12 AND 16 ARE NOT RENDERED OBVIOUS BY ALWADISH ALONE	21
A.	Alwadish alone does not render claim 12 obvious	21
B.	Alwadish alone does not render claim 16 obvious	22
VIII.	GROUND 5: CLAIMS 12 AND 16 ARE NOT RENDERED OBVIOUS BY ALWADISH IN VIEW OF KOERBER	24
A.	Alwadish in view of Koerber does not render claim 12 obvious.....	24
B.	Alwadish in view of Koerber does not render claim 16 obvious.....	25
IX.	CONCLUSION.....	25

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

Page(s)

CASES

Finnigan Corp. v. Int’l Trade Comm’n,
180 F.3d 1354 (Fed. Cir. 1999)18

IQASR LLC v. Wendt Corp.,
825 Fed. Appx. 900 (Fed. Cir. 2020).....22

Yita, LLC v. MacNeil IP LLC,
IPR2020-01142, Paper 82 (PTAB May 26, 2022)10, 18

STATUTES AND RULES

C.F.R. § 42.23(b)10, 18

UPDATED TABLE OF EXHIBITS

Exhibit	Description
2001	Defendants' Disclosure of Invalidity Contentions Cover Pleading in Parallel W.D. Tex. Litigations (July 8, 2021)
2002	Proposed Third Amended Joint Scheduling Order of Parallel W.D. Tex. Litigations (September 15, 2021)
2003	E-mail from W.D. Tex. Court Clerk Denying Request to Stay Pending Motion to Dismiss for Improper Venue (May 17, 2021)
2004	Transcript of hearing in <i>ParverVision v. IntelCorp.</i> , 6:20-cv-00108 (W.D. Tex. September 2, 2020) (J. Albright)
2005	Interview with Judge Albright on Patent Litigation and Seventh Amendment, <i>IAM</i> (Apr. 7, 2020)
2006	Minute Entry regarding <i>Markman</i> Hearing, <i>StratosAudio, Inc. v. Hyundai Motor America</i> , 6:20-cv-01125 (W.D. Tex. September 28, 2021)
2007	Order Denying Hyundai's Motion to Dismiss, <i>StratosAudio, Inc. v. Hyundai Motor America</i> , 6:20-cv-01125 (W.D. Tex. September 17, 2021) (J. Albright)
2008	StratosAudio, Inc.'s Preliminary Infringement Contentions, <i>StratosAudio, Inc. v. Hyundai Motor America</i> , 6:20-cv-01125 (W.D. Tex. May 13, 2021)
2009	StratosAudio, Inc.'s Supplemental Preliminary Infringement Contentions, <i>StratosAudio, Inc. v. Hyundai Motor America</i> , 6:20-cv-01125 (W.D. Tex. September 27, 2021)

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.