

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

---

APPLE INC.,  
Petitioner,

v.

GUI GLOBAL PRODUCTS, LTD.,  
Patent Owner.

---

Case IPR2021-01291  
Patent 10,562,077 B2

---

PATENT OWNER'S PRELIMINARY RESPONSE

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	INTRODUCTION .....	1
II.	BACKGROUND .....	2
III.	ABSENT JOINDER, DISCRETIONARY DENIAL OF APPLE'S COPYCAT PETITION IS APPROPRIATE .....	3
A.	General Plastic Factors .....	5
B.	Assessment of the <i>General Plastic Factors</i> .....	6
1.	<i>whether the same petitioner previously filed a petition directed to the same claims of the same patent</i> .....	6
2.	<i>whether at the time of filing of the first petition the petitioner knew of the prior art asserted in the second petition or should have known of it</i> .....	7
3.	<i>whether at the time of filing of the second petition the petitioner already received the patent owner's preliminary response to the first petition or received the Board's decision on whether to institute review in the first petition</i> .....	7
4.	<i>the length of time that elapsed between the time the petitioner learned of the prior art asserted in the second petition and the filing of the second petition</i> .....	8
5.	<i>whether the petitioner provides adequate explanation for the time elapsed between the filings of multiple petitions directed to the same claims of the same patent</i> .....	9
6.	<i>the finite resources of the Board</i> .....	9
7.	<i>the requirement under 35 U.S.C. § 316(a)(11) to issue a final determination not later than 1 year after the date on which the Director notices institution of review</i> .....	10
8.	<i>Summary</i> .....	10
IV.	CONCLUSION .....	11

## TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

### CASES

<i>Apple Inc. v. GUI Global Products Ltd.</i> , IPR2020-00472, Paper 3 (PTAB Feb. 19, 2021) .....	2, 8
<i>Apple Inc. v. GUI Global Products Ltd.</i> , IPR2020-00472, Paper 9 (PTAB Aug. 13, 2021).....	2, 6, 8
<i>Apple Inc. v. Uniloc 2017 LLC</i> , IPR2020-00854 (PTAB Oct. 28, 2020).....	4, 9, 10
<i>General Plastic Industrial Co., Ltd. v. Canon Kabushiki Kaisha</i> , IPR2016-01357 (PTAB Sept. 6, 2017).....	4, 6
<i>Samsung Electronics Co., Ltd. v. GUI Global Products Ltd.</i> , IPR2020-00337, Paper 6 (PTAB Jan. 21, 2021) .....	8
<i>Samsung Electronics Co., Ltd. v. GUI Global Products Ltd.</i> , IPR2020-00337, Paper 11 (PTAB Jul. 2, 2021).....	8

### REGULATIONS

37 C.F.R. § 42.1(b) .....	1
---------------------------	---

## I. INTRODUCTION

Petitioner, Apple, originally sought joinder with the 337 Proceeding, but if, and only if, the Board has previously denied institution of *Apple Inc., v. GUI Global Products, Ltd.*, IPR2021-00472. Apple Mot. for Joinder at 1. That condition was not met and the 472 Proceeding has been instituted. Now Apple seeks joinder “if and only if the Board will align in time the issuance of final written decisions in the 337 Proceeding and the 472 Proceeding, where alignment is achieved only if the final written decision of the 472 Proceeding issues concurrent with or in advance of the final written decision of the 337 Proceeding.” Apple Reply re Mot. for Joinder at 3. The Board should not countenance such litigation tactics and, unless it joins this proceeding with the 335 Proceeding, the Board should exercise its discretion and deny institution of trial. Proceeding otherwise would both subject Patent Owner to the burden of having to defend two identical proceedings and require to the Board to adjudicate same. Apple has already challenged the claims of the '077 patent in the 472 Proceeding and offers no good explanation as to why it waited seven-plus months to file the instant petition which is a copycat of that in the 337 Proceeding. Under these circumstances, instituting trial and not joining this proceeding with the 337 Proceeding, involving identical grounds and identical unpatentability arguments, would be contrary to the requirement of ensuring just, speedy, and inexpensive resolution of such matters. 37 C.F.R. § 42.1(b).

## II. BACKGROUND

On February 5, 2021, Petitioner, Apple, filed a petition in IPR2021-00472 (“the 472 Proceeding”) challenging claims of U.S. Patent No. 10,562,077 (“the ’077 patent”). *Apple Inc. v. GUI Global Products Ltd.*, IPR2020-00472, Paper 3 at 1 (PTAB Feb. 19, 2021). Trial in the 472 Proceeding was instituted on August 13, 2021. IPR2020-00472, Paper 9 at 2 (PTAB Aug. 13, 2021). Apple has now filed an additional petition (the “Copycat Petition”) in this IPR2021-01291 (“the 1291 Proceeding”) challenging claims of the ’077 patent and has concurrently filed a “conditional” motion for joinder with *Samsung, et al., v. GUI Global Products, Ltd.*, IPR2021-00337 (“the 337 Proceeding”), which was instituted on July 2, 2021. The Copycat Petition in this 1291 Proceeding is substantively identical to the petition filed by Samsung in the 337 Proceeding, relies on the same prior art evidence and arguments as in the 337 Proceeding, and is supported by testimony from the same declarant as in the 337 Proceeding, which testimony is substantively identical to that which the declarant provided in the 337 Proceeding. Pet. at 1, Apple Mot. for Joinder at 9.

Apple styled its motion for joinder as being *conditional* upon the Board denying institution of the 472 Proceeding. Apple Mot. for Joinder at 1. That condition was not met, as the Board instituted the 472 Proceeding on August 13, 2021. IPR2020-00472, Paper 9 at 2. After that institution and after receiving Patent

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.