

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

---

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

---

HYUNDAI MOTOR AMERICA,  
Petitioner

v.

STRATOSAUDIO, INC.,  
Patent Owner

---

IPR2021-01267  
U.S. Patent No. 8,166,081

---

**PATENT OWNER SUR-REPLY**

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	INTRODUCTION .....	1
II.	GROUND 1: CLAIMS 9, 15, AND 23 ARE NOT OBVIOUS OVER NOREEN .....	1
A.	Noreen fails to render obvious claim 9 .....	1
1.	Noreen fails to teach “data enabling identification of a specific instance of the first media content” – Element 9[a] .....	1
2.	Noreen fails to teach Element 9[b] .....	5
3.	Noreen fails to teach two receiver module outputs (“an output of the first receiver module or the second receiver module”) – Element 9[c].....	9
4.	Noreen fails to teach “a response message having at least the uniquely identifying data specific to the second media content” – Element 9[e] .....	12
B.	Noreen alone does not render claim 15 obvious .....	12
III.	GROUND 2: CLAIMS 9, 15, AND 23 ARE NOT OBVIOUS OVER NOREEN IN VIEW OF CROSBY .....	15
A.	Noreen in view of Crosby does not render claim 9 obvious.....	15
1.	Noreen in view of Crosby fails to teach “uniquely identifying data specific to at least the second media content” – Element 9[b] .....	15
2.	Noreen in view of Crosby fails to teach “a response message having at least the uniquely identifying data specific to the second media content” – Element 9[e].....	15
IV.	GROUND 3: CLAIMS 10 AND 11 ARE NOT OBVIOUS OVER NOREEN IN VIEW OF CROSBY AND ELLIS-2002 .....	16

V. GROUND 4: CLAIMS 9-11, 15, AND 23 ARE NOT OBVIOUS OVER ELLIS-2005 ALONE .....16

A. Ellis-2005 alone does not render claim 9 obvious .....16

1. Ellis-2005 fails to teach “data enabling the identification of a specific instance of the first media content” – Element 9[a].....16

B. Ellis-2005 alone does not render claim 15 obvious .....18

VI. GROUND 5: CLAIMS 9-11, 15, AND 23 ARE NOT OBVIOUS OVER ELLIS-2005 IN VIEW OF CROSBY (GROUND 5) .....20

A. Ellis-2005 in view of Crosby fails to teach “data enabling the identification of a specific instance of the first media content” – Element 9[a] .....20

VII. CONCLUSION.....24

**TABLE OF AUTHORITIES**

	<u>Page(s)</u>
<b>CASES</b>	
<i>Helmsderfer v. Bobrick Washroom Equip., Inc.</i> , 527 F.3d 1379 (Fed. Cir. 2008) .....	8
<i>KSR Int’l Co. v. Teleflex, Inc.</i> , 550 U.S. 398 (2007).....	22
<i>Playtex Prods., Inc. v. Procter &amp; Gamble Co.</i> , 400 F.3d 901 (Fed. Cir. 2005) .....	4, 8, 20, 21
<i>PSN Illinois, LLC v. Ivoclar Vivadent, Inc.</i> , 525 F.3d 1159 (Fed. Cir. 2008) .....	8
<i>ScentAir Techs., LLC v. Prolitec, Inc.</i> , IPR2021-00012, Paper 22 (PTAB Apr. 22, 2022) .....	3, 6

### UPDATED TABLE OF EXHIBITS

Exhibit	Description
2001	Defendants' Disclosure of Invalidity Contentions Cover Pleading in Parallel W.D. Litigations (July 8, 2021)
2002	Third Proposed Amended Joint Scheduling Order of Parallel W.D. Tex. Litigations (September 15, 2021)
2003	E-mail from W.D. Tex. Court Clerk Denying Request to Stay Pending Motion to Dismiss for Improper Venue (May 17, 2021)
2004	Transcript of hearing in <i>ParkerVision v. Intel Corp.</i> , 6:20-cv-00108 (W.D. Tex. September 2, 2020) (J. Albright))
2005	Interview with Judge Albright on Patent Litigation and Seventh Amendment, <i>IAM</i> (Apr. 7, 2020)
2006	Minute Entry regarding <i>Markman</i> Hearing, <i>StratosAudio, Inc. v. Hyundai Motor America</i> , 6:20-cv-01125 (W.D. Tex. September 28, 2021)
2007	Order Denying Hyundai's Motion to Dismiss, <i>StratosAudio, Inc. v. Hyundai Motor America</i> , 6:20-cv-01125 (W.D. Tex. September 17, 2021) (J. Albright))
2008	StratosAudio, Inc.'s Preliminary Infringement Contentions, <i>StratosAudio, Inc. v. Hyundai Motor America</i> , 6:20-cv-01125 (W.D. Tex. May 13, 2021)
2009	StratosAudio, Inc.'s Supplemental Preliminary Infringement Contentions, <i>StratosAudio, Inc. v. Hyundai Motor America</i> , 6:20-cv-01125 (W.D. Tex. September 27, 2021)

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.