

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS  
WACO DIVISION**

STRATOSAUDIO INC.,	)	Case No. 6:20-CV-01125-ADA
Plaintiff,	)	
v.	)	<b>JURY TRIAL DEMANDED</b>
HYUNDAI MOTOR AMERICA,	)	
Defendant.	)	
<hr/>		
STRATOSAUDIO INC.,	)	Case No. 6:20-cv-1126-ADA
Plaintiff,	)	
v.	)	<b>JURY TRIAL DEMANDED</b>
MAZDA MOTORS OF AMERICA, INC.,	)	
Defendant.	)	
<hr/>		
STRATOSAUDIO INC.,	)	Case No. 6:20-cv-1128-ADA
Plaintiff,	)	
v.	)	<b>JURY TRIAL DEMANDED</b>
SUBARU OF AMERICA, INC.	)	
Defendant.	)	
<hr/>		
STRATOSAUDIO INC.,	)	Case No. 6:20-cv-1129-ADA
Plaintiff,	)	
v.	)	<b>JURY TRIAL DEMANDED</b>
VOLVO CARS USA, LLC,	)	
Defendant.	)	
<hr/>		
STRATOSAUDIO INC.,	)	Case No. 6:20-CV-01131-ADA
Plaintiff,	)	
v.	)	<b>JURY TRIAL DEMANDED</b>
VOLKSWAGEN GROUP OF AMERICA, INC.,	)	
Defendant.	)	

**PLAINTIFF'S RESPONSIVE CLAIM CONSTRUCTION BRIEF**

**TABLE OF CONTENTS**

	<u>Page</u>
<b>I. INTRODUCTION</b> .....	1
<b>II. BACKGROUND</b> .....	2
A. The '028 and '307 Patents .....	3
B. The '843 and '806 Patents .....	3
C. The '833 Patent.....	4
<b>III. DISPUTED CLAIM TERMS</b> .....	5
A. Associated/Associating/Association (All Disputed Claims) .....	5
B. “Associating each media content identifying data element with at least one of a plurality of media content” ('307 Patent, Claim 11; '028 Patent, Claim 11).....	7
1. The '307 and '028 Patents Are Not Invalid Under Section 101 .....	7
a. The Printed Matter Doctrine Does Not Apply to the Phrase Defendants Propose to Construe.....	7
b. “Media Content Identifying Data” Is Functionally and Structurally Related to the Physical Substrate .....	8
c. The Claim Is Not Invalid Under Section 101 .....	10
2. Lack of Antecedent Basis, Even if Present, Does Not Render the “Associating” Limitation Indefinite.....	10
3. There Is Nothing Unclear About Associating Two Pieces of Data .....	12
4. The Processor in the '307 Patent Performs the Claimed Association .....	13
C. Claim 14 of the '028 Patent .....	13
1. Claim 14 is Not Indefinite.....	13
D. “Interactive Media Receiver” ('833 Patent, all claims) .....	17
E. “Responder Identifier” ('833 Patent, all claims).....	19
F. “Third Party Encoded Data” ('806 Patent claim 5) / “Encoded Third Party Data” ('843 Patent claim 10) .....	21
G. “stream scanner module” ('806 Patent, Claims 5 & 6).....	24
1. Section 112(f) Does Not Apply .....	24
2. The Claim is Not Indefinite Even if Section 112(f) Applies .....	28
H. “stream analysis module” ('806 Patent, Claim 5).....	30
I. Correlating ('806 Patent, Claim 5).....	32
J. “Broadcast Scanning Module” ('843 Patent, Claim 10).....	34

## TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

**Page(s)****FEDERAL CASES**

<i>Alfred E. Mann Found. for Sci. Research v. Cochlear Corp.</i> , 841 F.3d 1334 (Fed. Cir. 2016).....	29
<i>Alice Corp. Pty. Ltd. v. CLS Bank Int'l</i> , 573 U.S. 208 (2014).....	10
<i>Apple Inc. v. Motorola, Inc.</i> , 757 F.3d 1286 (Fed. Cir. 2014).....	25, 26
<i>Applied Materials, Inc. v. Muto Tech., Inc.</i> , 2018 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 203912 (W.D. Tex. Nov. 30, 2018).....	passim
<i>Baldwin Graphic Sys., Inc. v. Siebert, Inc.</i> , 512 F.3d 1338 (Fed. Cir. 2008).....	22
<i>BASF Corp. v. Johnson Matthey Inc.</i> , 875 F.3d 1360 (Fed. Cir. 2017).....	1, 6
<i>Biosig Instruments, Inc. v. Nautilus, Inc.</i> , 783 F.3d 1374 (Fed. Cir. 2015).....	passim
<i>Blast Motion, Inc. v. Zepp Labs, Inc.</i> , 2017 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 16549 (S.D. Cal. Feb. 6, 2017).....	27
<i>C R Bard Inc. v. Angiodynamics, Inc.</i> , 979 F.3d 1372 (Fed. Cir. 2020).....	7, 10
<i>C-Cation Techs., LLC v. Time Warner Cable, Inc.</i> , No. 2:14-CV-0059-JRG-RSP, 2015 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 51241 (E.D. Tex. Apr. 18, 2015).....	14
<i>Digital Retail Apps, Inc. v. H-E-B</i> , 2020 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 11094 (W.D. Tex. Jan. 23, 2020) .....	8, 9, 27
<i>Energizer Holdings v. ITC</i> , 435 F.3d 1366 (Fed. Cir. 2006).....	11
<i>Enfish, LLC v. Microsoft Corp.</i> , 822 F.3d 1327 (Fed. Cir. 2016).....	28
<i>Enzo Biochem, Inc. v. Applera Corp.</i> , 599 F.3d 1325 (Fed. Cir. 2010).....	5

<i>Exmark Mfg. Co. v. Briggs &amp; Stratton Power Prods. Grp, LLC</i> , 879 F.3d 1332 (Fed. Cir. 2018).....	1, 24
<i>Finisar Corp. v. DirectTV Group, Inc.</i> , 523 F.3d 1323 (Fed. Cir. 2008).....	28
<i>Hill-Rom Servs. v. Stryker Corp.</i> , 755 F.3d 1367 (Fed. Cir. 2014).....	34
<i>In re DiStefano</i> , 808 F.3d 845 (Fed. Cir. 2015).....	8, 10
<i>Innova/Pure Water, Inc. v. Safari Water Filtration Sys.</i> , 381 F.3d 1111 (Fed. Cir. 2004).....	22
<i>Intel Corp. v. VIA Techs.</i> , 319 F.3d 1357 (Fed. Cir. 2003).....	29
<i>Lighting World, Inc. v. Birchwood Lighting, Inc.</i> , 382 F.3d 1354 (Fed. Cir. 2004).....	26, 35
<i>Microprocessor Enhancement Corp. v. Tex. Instruments Inc.</i> , 520 F.3d 1367 (Fed. Cir. 2008).....	14
<i>Omega Eng'g, Inc. v. Raytek Corp.</i> , 334 F.3d 1314 (Fed. Cir. 2003).....	37
<i>Power Integrations, Inc. v. Fairchild Semiconductor Int'l, Inc.</i> , 711 F.3d 1348 (Fed. Cir. 2013).....	25, 26, 31
<i>Praxair Distrib. v. Mallinckrodt Hosp. Prods. IP</i> , 890 F.3d 1024 (Fed. Cir. 2018).....	7
<i>Real Time Data, LLC v. Actian Corp.</i> , No. 6:16-CV-00088, 2016 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 99092 (E.D. Tex., July 28, 2016) .....	17
<i>RealSource, Inc. v. Best Buy Co.</i> , No. A-04-CA-771-LY, 2006 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 98053 (W.D. Tex. May 24, 2006).....	14
<i>Rembrandt Patent Innovations, LLC v. Apple, Inc.</i> , 716 Fed. Appx. 965 (Fed. Cir. 2017).....	16
<i>SanDisk Corp. v. Kingston Technology Co., Inc.</i> , 695 F.3d 1348 (Fed. Cir. 2012).....	11
<i>Spansion, Inc. v. ITC</i> , 629 F.3d 1331 (Fed. Cir. 2010).....	6

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.