UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

HYUNDAI MOTOR AMERICA, Petitioner

v.

STRATOSAUDIO INC., Patent Owner.

DECLARATION OF DR. KEVIN C. ALMEROTH IN SUPPORT OF PETITION FOR *INTER PARTES* REVIEW OF U.S. PATENT NO. 8,166,081



TABLE OF CONTENTS

Contents

I.	INTRODUCTION				
II.	BACKGROUND AND QUALIFICATIONS				
III.	INFORMATION CONSIDERED1				
IV.	RELEVANT LEGAL STANDARDS				
	A.	Claim Interpretation	14		
	B.	Perspective of One of Ordinary Skill in the Art	15		
	C.	Obviousness	15		
V.	LEV	EL OF ORDINARY SKILL IN THE ART	18		
VI.	SUMMARY OF MY OPINIONS1				
VII.	TECHNOLOGICAL BACKGROUND				
VIII.	THE	'081 PATENT AND ITS FILE HISTORY	23		
IX.	OVERVIEW OF THE APPLIED PRIOR ART REFERENCES				
	A.	Noreen (Ex-1005)	28		
	B.	Crosby (Ex-1006)	30		
	C.	Ellis-2002 (Ex-1007)	32		
	D.	Ellis-2005 (Ex-1008)	33		
X.	DETAILED EXPLANATION OF THE UNPATENTABILITY GROUNDS				
	A.	Claims 9, 15, and 23 are rendered obvious by Noreen (Ex-1005) (Ground 1) or Noreen in view of Crosby (Ex-1006)			
		(Ground 2)	35		



Declaration of Dr. Kevin C. Almeroth U.S. Patent No. 8,166,081

	1.	A POSITA would have been motivated to combine the teachings of Noreen and Crobsy, and would have had a reasonable expectation of success in doing so	35
	2.	Independent Claim 9	38
	3.	Dependent Claims 15 and 23	46
В.	view	ns 10 and 11 are rendered obvious by Noreen (Ex-1005) in of Crosby (Ex-1006) and Ellis-2002 (Ex-1007) (Ground	50
	3)		50
	1.	A POSITA would have been motivated to combine the teachings of Noreen, Crosby, and Ellis-2002, and would have had a reasonable expectation of success in doing so	50
		have had a reasonable expectation of success in doing so	50
	2.	Dependent Claims 10-11	52
C.	(Ex-1)	ns 9-11, 15, and 23 are rendered obvious by Ellis-2005 1008) alone (Ground 4) or Ellis-2005 in view of Crosby 1006) (Ground 5)	56
	1.	A POSITA would have been motivated to combine the teachings of Ellis-2005 and Crosby, and would have had a reasonable expectation of success in doing so	56
	2.	Independent Claim 9	58
	۷.	macpenaem Ciami 7	50
	3.	Dependent Claims 10-11, 15, and 23	69
CON	CLUS	ION	75



XI.

1. I, Dr. Kevin C. Almeroth, declare as follows:

I. INTRODUCTION

- 2. I have been retained by Hyundai Motor America. ("Hyundai" or "Petitioner") as an independent expert consultant in this *inter partes* review ("IPR") proceeding before the United States Patent and Trademark Office ("PTO").
- 3. I have been asked by Hyundai Counsel ("Counsel") to consider whether certain references disclose, teach, and/or suggest the features recited in Claims 9-11, 15, and 23 of U.S. Patent No. 8,166,081 ("the '081 Patent") (Ex-1001)¹. My opinions and the bases for my opinions are set forth below.
- 4. I am being compensated at my ordinary and customary consulting rate for my work, which is \$750 per hour. My compensation is in no way contingent on the nature of my findings, the presentation of my findings in testimony, or the outcome of this or any other proceeding. I have no other financial interest in this proceeding.

II. BACKGROUND AND QUALIFICATIONS

5. All of my opinions stated in this Declaration are based on my own personal knowledge and professional judgment. In forming my opinions, I have

¹ Where appropriate, I refer to exhibits that I understand are attached to the petition for IPR of the '081 Patent.



relied on my knowledge and experience in designing, developing, researching, and teaching the technology referenced in this Declaration.

- 6. I am over 18 years of age and, if I am called upon to do so, I would be competent to testify as to the matters set forth herein. I understand that a copy of my current curriculum vitae, which details my education and professional and academic experience, is being submitted as Ex-1003. The following provides a brief overview of some of my experience that is relevant to the matters set forth in this Declaration.
- 7. I am currently a Professor Emeritus in the Department of Computer Science at the University of California, Santa Barbara (UCSB). While active at UCSB, I held faculty appointments and was a founding member of the Computer Engineering (CE) Program, Media Arts and Technology (MAT) Program, and the Technology Management Program (TMP). I also served as the Associate Director of the Center for Information Technology and Society (CITS) from 1999 to 2012. I have been a faculty member at UCSB since July 1997.
- 8. I hold three degrees from the Georgia Institute of Technology: (1) a Bachelor of Science degree in Information and Computer Science (with minors in Economics, Technical Communication, and American Literature) earned in June 1992; (2) a Master of Science degree in Computer Science (with specialization in Networking and Systems) earned in June 1994; and (3) a Doctor of Philosophy



DOCKET

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

