

Filed on behalf of: Momentum Dynamics Corporation

Entered: April 28, 2022

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

MOMENTUM DYNAMICS CORPORATION,
Petitioner,

v.

WITRICITY CORPORATION
Patent Owner.

Case IPR2021-01166
Patent 8,304,935

**PETITIONER'S UNOPPOSED MOTION FOR
PRO HAC VICE ADMISSION OF JEFFREY G. HOMRIG
UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 42.10(c)**

I. RELIEF REQUESTED

Under 37 C.F.R. § 42.10(c) and the Notice of Filing Date Accorded to Petition and Time for Filing Patent Owner Preliminary Response (Paper No. 5), Petitioner Momentum Dynamics Corporation (“Petitioner”) respectfully requests the *pro hac vice* admission of attorney Jeffrey G. Homrig, Esq. in this proceeding. Petitioner’s have conferred with counsel for WiTricity Corporation (“Patent Owner”), and Patent Owner does not oppose this motion.

II. LEGAL STANDARD

Under 37 C.F.R. § 42.10(c):

The Board may recognize counsel *pro hac vice* during a proceeding upon a showing of good cause, subject to the condition that lead counsel be a registered practitioner and to any other conditions as the Board may impose. For example, where the lead counsel is a registered practitioner, a motion to appear *pro hac vice* by counsel who is not a registered practitioner may be granted upon showing that counsel is an experienced litigating attorney and has an established familiarity with the subject matter at issue in the proceeding.

The Notice of Filing Date Accorded to Petition and Time for Filing Patent Owner Preliminary Response (Paper No. 5) further instructs:

The parties are advised that under 37 C.F.R. § 42.10(c), recognition of counsel *pro hac vice* requires a showing of good cause. The parties are authorized to file motions for *pro hac vice* admission under 37 C.F.R. § 42.10(c). Such motions shall be filed in accordance with the “Order

-- Authorizing Motion for *Pro Hac Vice* Admission” in Case IPR2013-00639, Paper 7, a copy of which is available on the Board Web site under “Representative Orders, Decisions, and Notices.”

(*Id.* at 2.) The above referenced “Order - - Authorizing Motion for *Pro Hac Vice* Admission” further provides:

A motion for *pro hac vice* admission must:

- a. Contain a statement of facts showing there is good cause for the Board to recognize counsel *pro hac vice* during the proceeding.
- b. Be accompanied by an affidavit or declaration of the individual seeking to appear attesting to the following:
 - i. Membership in good standing of the Bar of at least one State or the District of Columbia;
 - ii. No suspensions or disbarments from practice before any court or administrative body;
 - iii. No application for admission to practice before any court or administrative body ever denied;
 - iv. No sanctions or contempt citations imposed by any court or administrative body;
 - v. The individual seeking to appear has read and will comply with the Office Patent Trial Practice Guide and Board's Rules of Practice for Trials set forth in part 42 of 37 C.F.R.;

- vi. The individual will be subject to the USPTO Rules of Professional Conduct set forth in 37 C.F.R. §§ 11.101 *et seq.* and disciplinary jurisdiction under 37 C.F.R. § 11.19(a);
- vii. All other proceedings before the Office for which the individual has applied to appear *pro hac vice* in the last (3) years; and
- viii. Familiarity with the subject matter at issue in the proceeding.

(IPR2013-00639, Paper No. 7 at 3.) As set forth below, and in the accompanying Declaration of Jeffrey G. Homrig, Esq (Ex. 1026, "Homrig Decl."), each of these requirements is satisfied here.

III. STATEMENT OF FACTS SHOWING GOOD CAUSE FOR THE BOARD TO RECOGNIZE JEFFREY G. HOMRIG, ESQ. *PRO HAC VICE* IN THIS PROCEEDING

Mr. Homrig is a member in good standing of the California Bar (Bar No. 215890) and the District of Columbia Bar (Bar No. 1722504) and admitted to practice before the United States Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit, the United States District Court for the Central District of California, United States District Court for the Eastern District of California, the United States District Court for the Northern District of California, United States District Court for the Southern District of California and the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Texas. (Homrig Decl. ¶ 2.) Mr. Homrig has never been suspended or disbarred from practice before any court or administrative body. (*Id.* ¶ 3.) No application of Mr.

Homrig for admission to practice before any court or administrative body has ever been denied. (*Id.*) Nor has any court or administrative body imposed sanctions or contempt citations against Mr. Homrig. (*Id.*) Mr. Homrig has read, fully understands, and will comply with the Office Patent Trial Practice Guide and the Board's Rules of Practice for Trials set forth in Part 42 of Title 37 of the C.F.R. (*Id.* ¶ 4.) Mr. Homrig acknowledges and agrees that he will be subject to the USPTO Rules of Professional Conduct set forth in 37 C.F.R. §§ 11.101 *et seq.* and disciplinary jurisdiction under 37 C.F.R. § 11.19(a). (*Id.*)

Petitioner's lead counsel in this proceeding, Jonathan M. Strang, is a registered practitioner (Reg. No. 61,724). Moreover, as set forth below (and in his accompanying declaration), Mr. Homrig is both an experienced and technically-trained litigation attorney with an established familiarity with the subject matter at issue in this proceeding.

Mr. Homrig received a Bachelor of Arts in political science from the University of California, Berkeley in 1995. (*Id.* ¶ 5.) Mr. Homrig earned a law degree from University of California, Berkeley in 2001. (*Id.*) Mr. Homrig joined Latham & Watkins LLP ("Latham") as a partner in 2013. (*Id.*)

Mr. Homrig is currently a member of Latham's intellectual property group, with a focus on patent litigation. (*Id.* ¶ 6.) Mr. Homrig has practiced in this group for approximately nine years. (*Id.*)

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.