

Petition for *Inter Partes* Review of  
U.S. Patent No. 10,403,051 B2

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

---

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

---

NIANTIC, INC.,  
Petitioner

v.

NANT HOLDINGS IP, LLC,  
Patent Owner

---

U.S. Patent No. 10,403,051 B2  
Issue Date: September 3, 2019

---

Title: Interference Based Augmented Reality Hosting Platforms

**PETITION FOR *INTER PARTES* REVIEW  
OF U.S. PATENT NO. 10,403,051 B2**

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
I. MANDATORY NOTICES UNDER §42.8(A)(1) .....	1
A. Real Party-In-Interest under §42.8(b)(1).....	1
B. Related Matters under §42.8(b)(2).....	1
C. Lead and Back-Up Counsel under §42.8(b)(3).....	1
D. Service Information.....	3
E. Power of Attorney .....	3
II. FEE PAYMENT .....	4
III. REQUIREMENTS UNDER §§ 42.104 AND 42.108 AND CONSIDERATIONS UNDER §§ 314(A) AND 325(D).....	4
A. Grounds for Standing under 37 C.F.R. § 42.104(a).....	4
B. Identification of Challenge Under 37 C.F.R. § 42.104(b) and Statement of Precise Relief Requested .....	4
C. Considerations under §§ 314 and 325(d) .....	5
D. 37 C.F.R. § 42.108(c).....	5
IV. OVERVIEW OF THE '051 PATENT .....	6
A. Level of Ordinary Skill in the Art.....	6
B. Specification Overview .....	6
V. CLAIM CONSTRUCTION .....	10
VI. THE CHALLENGED CLAIMS ARE UNPATENTABLE.....	11
A. Summary and Date Qualification of the Prior Art.....	12
1. Yu [Ex. 1003].....	12
2. Sanz-Pastor [Ex. 1004] .....	14
3. Mullen [Ex. 1005].....	16
B. Ground 1: Single-Reference Obviousness of Claims 1, 5-9, 18, 22-23, 27-29, 34, 36, 38, 43 Over Yu .....	18
1. Claim 1 .....	18

**TABLE OF CONTENTS**  
(continued)

	<b>Page</b>
2.	Claim 5: “The system of claim 1, wherein the AR server is a remote server coupled with the AR capable device via a wireless network.” .....43
3.	Claim 6: “The system of claim 1, wherein the relevant AR object is caused to be rendered based on a position of the AR capable device relative to the environment.” .....45
4.	Claim 7: “The system of claim 1, wherein the relevant AR object is caused to be rendered based on an orientation of the AR capable device relative to the environment.” .....45
5.	Claim 8: “The system of claim 1, wherein the relevant AR object is caused to be rendered within an AR game.” .....46
6.	Claim 9: “The system of claim 1, wherein the relevant AR object is caused to be rendered by superimposing a visual image of at least one relevant AR object over an image of the environment.” .....47
7.	Claim 18: “The system of claim 1, wherein the presence of the relevant AR object is altered to include a non-visible presence.” .....48
8.	Claim 22: “The system of claim 1, wherein the determination of whether to alter presence of the relevant AR object depends on a time.” .....49
9.	Claim 23: “The system of claim 22, wherein the presence alteration of the relevant AR object changes with the time.” .....50
10.	Claim 27: “The system of claim 1, wherein the AR server is further configured to enable the AR capable device to populate the environment with at least one of the relevant AR objects.” .....51
11.	Claim 28: “The system of claim 1, wherein the environment comprises an AR environment.” .....52

**TABLE OF CONTENTS**  
(continued)

	<b>Page</b>
12. Claim 29: “The system of claim 28, wherein the AR environment includes real-world elements and virtual elements.”.....	54
13. Claim 34: “The system of claim 1, wherein the AR server is further configured to enable the AR capable device to have an interaction with the rendered relevant AR object.” .....	54
14. Claim 36: “The system of claim 1, wherein the mobile device is a cell phone.” .....	54
15. Claim 38: “The system of claim 1, wherein the mobile device is a tablet computer.” .....	55
16. Independent claim 43 .....	55
C. Ground 2: Obviousness of Claims 1, 5-11, 15, 18, 22-23, 27-29, 34, 36, 38, and 43 Over Yu in View of Sanz-Pastor.....	57
1. Independent Claim 1 .....	58
2. Claim 10: “The system of claim 1, wherein the relevant AR object is caused to be rendered within an overlapping augmented reality among multiple AR capable devices.”.....	64
3. Claim 11: “The system of claim 10, wherein the overlapping augmented reality comprises a team-based augmented reality.” .....	65
4. Claim 15: “The system of claim 1, wherein the relevant AR object is caused to be rendered according to a haptic format.” .....	65
5. Dependent Claims 5-9, 18, 22-23, 27-29, 34, 36, and 38, and Independent Claim 43 .....	66
D. Ground 3: Obviousness of Claims 1, 5-11, 15, 18, 22-29, 34-36, 38, 43 Over Yu in View of Sanz-Pastor, in Further View of Mullen.....	67
1. Claim 8: “The system of claim 1, wherein the relevant AR object is caused to be rendered within an AR game.”.....	67

**TABLE OF CONTENTS**  
(continued)

	<b>Page</b>
2. Claim 24: “The system of claim 1, wherein the AR server is further configured to enable the AR capable device to conduct a commercial transaction with a commerce engine.” .....	69
3. Claim 25: “The system of claim 24, wherein the commercial transaction includes an exchange of virtual currency.” .....	70
4. Claim 26: “The system of claim 24, wherein the commercial transaction includes a transfer of real-world funds.” .....	71
5. Dependent claim 35 .....	71
VII. CONCLUSION.....	76

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.