

Filed on behalf of: Patent Owner Nant Holdings IP, LLC

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

NIANTIC, INC.,
Petitioner,

v.

NANT HOLDINGS IP, LLC,
Patent Owner.

Case IPR2021-01119
U.S. Patent No. 10,664,518

**PATENT OWNER NANT HOLDINGS IP, LLC'S
PRELIMINARY RESPONSE PURSUANT TO 37 C.F.R. § 42.107**

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>Page</u>
TABLE OF AUTHORITIES	iv
I. INTRODUCTION	1
II. THE '518 PATENT	2
A. Teachings of the Patent Specification	2
B. Patent Claims.....	5
III. LEVEL OF SKILL IN THE ART	7
IV. CLAIM CONSTRUCTION	8
V. THE PETITION SHOULD BE REJECTED UNDER SECTION 314(a) BECAUSE THE PETITIONER IS UNLIKELY TO PREVAIL WITH RESPECT TO ANY CHALLENGED CLAIM.....	10
A. Ground 1: The Petition Fails to Show the Altman/Langseth Combination Renders Obvious All of the Features of '518 Patent Claims 1–9, 11–14, 18–20, 23–32, and 34–36.....	11
1. Altman and Langseth Fail to Teach or Suggest “Augmented Reality (AR)” as in '518 Patent Claims 1 and 34	12
2. Altman and Langseth Fail to Teach or Suggest “Access[ing] an Area Tile Map ... Map Represented by a Set of Tile Subareas that Includes One or More Tessellated Tiles” as in '518 Patent Claims 1 and 34.....	16
3. Altman and Langseth Fail to Teach or Suggest “Identify[ing] a Tile Subarea” and “One or More Tessellated Tiles Within the Identified Tile Subarea are Associated with One or More AR content Objects” as in '518 Patent Claims 1 and 34	19
4. The Petition Fails to Meet Its Burden of Showing That a POSITA Would Have Combined Altman and Langseth.....	23
5. '518 Patent Dependent Claims 2–9, 11–14, 18–20, 23– 32, 35, and 36 are Valid	28

TABLE OF CONTENTS (Continued)

	<u>Page</u>
B. Ground 2: The Petition Fails to Show the Altman/Langseth/Cave-Lie Combination Renders Obvious All of the Features of '518 Patent Claims 1–9, 11–14, 18–20, 23–32, and 34–36	29
1. Altman, Langseth, and CaveLie Fail to Teach or Suggest “Augmented Reality (AR)” as in '518 Patent Claims 1 and 34	30
2. Altman, Langseth, and CaveLie Fail to Teach or Suggest “Access[ing] an Area Tile Map ... Map Represented by a Set of Tile Subareas that Includes One or More Tessellated Tiles” as in '518 Patent Claims 1 and 34.....	31
3. Altman, Langseth, and CaveLie Fail to Teach or Suggest “Identify[ing] a Tile Subarea” and “One or More Tessellated Tiles Within the Identified Tile Subarea are Associated with One or More AR content Objects” as in '518 Patent Claims 1 and 34	33
4. The Petition Fails to Meet Its Burden of Showing That a POSITA Would Have Combined Altman, Langseth, and CaveLie	35
5. '518 Patent Dependent Claims 2–9, 11–14, 18–20, 23–32, 35, and 36 are Valid	37
C. Ground 3: The Petition Fails to Show the Altman/Langseth/CaveLie/Gelfand Combination Disclose or Render Obvious All of the Features of '518 Patent Claims 1–9, 11–20, 23–32, and 34–36	38
D. Ground 4: The Petition Fails to Show Sterkel Discloses or Renders Obvious All of the Features of '518 Patent Claims 1–8, 11–14, 18–20, 23, 24, 26–32, and 34–36.....	39
1. Sterkel Fails to Teach or Suggest “Augmented Reality (AR)” as in '518 Patent Claims 1 and 34.....	40

TABLE OF CONTENTS (Continued)

	<u>Page</u>
2. Sterkel Fails to Teach or Suggest “Obtain[ing] Sensor Data From the at Least One Sensor” and “the Sensor Data Includes a Device Location” That was “Obtained From the Location Sensor” as in ’518 Patent Claims 1 and 34	42
3. Sterkel Fails to Teach or Suggest “Access[ing] an Area Tile Map ... Map Represented by a Set of Tile Subareas that Includes One or More Tessellated Tiles” as in ’518 Patent Claims 1 and 34	44
4. Sterkel Fails to Teach or Suggest “Identify[ing] a Tile Subarea” and “One or More Tessellated Tiles Within the Identified Tile Subarea are Associated with One or More AR content Objects” as in ’518 Patent Claims 1 and 34	47
5. The Petition Fails to Meet Its Burden to Show Proper Motivation to Modify Sterkel	49
6. ’518 Patent Dependent Claims 1–8, 11–14, 18–20, 23, 24, 26–32, and 34–36 are Valid	50
VI. CONCLUSION.....	50

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

Cases

<i>ActiveVideo Networks, Inc. v. Verizon Commc'ns, Inc.</i> , 694 F.3d 1312 (Fed. Cir. 2012)	25, 39
<i>Albany Int'l Corp. v. Kimberly-Clark Worldwide, Inc.</i> , PGR2021-00019, Paper 22 (PTAB Jun. 22, 2021)	24
<i>Apple Inc. v. Samsung Elecs. Co.</i> , 839 F.3d 1034 (Fed. Cir. 2016)	24, 35
<i>Bio-Rad Labs., Inc. v. 10X Genomics Inc.</i> , 967 F.3d 1353 (Fed. Cir. 2020)	9
<i>Black & Decker, Inc. v. Positec USA, Inc.</i> , 646 Fed. App'x 1019 (Fed. Cir. 2016) (nonprecedential).....	36, 37
<i>Blackberry Corp. v. Mobilemedia Ideas LLC</i> , IPR2013-00016, Paper 32 (Feb. 25, 2014).....	44
<i>Carnes v. Seaboard Int'l Inc.</i> , IPR2019-00133, Paper 10 (May 8, 2019)	25
<i>Cisco Sys., Inc., v. C-Cation Techs., LLC</i> , IPR2014-00454, 2014 WL 4352301 (PTAB Aug. 29, 2014)	43
<i>Cont'l Can Co. USA, Inc. v. Monsanto Co.</i> , 948 F.2d 1264 (Fed. Cir. 1991)	17, 47
<i>Costco Wholesale Corp. v. Robert Bosch LLC</i> , IPR2016-00035, Paper 23 (PTAB Aug. 12, 2016)	36
<i>Fid. Nat'l Info. Servs., Inc. v. DataTreasury Corp.</i> , IPR2014-00489, 2014 WL 4059220 (PTAB Aug. 13, 2014)	43
<i>Hughes Network Sys., LLC v. Cal. Inst. of Tech.</i> , IPR2015-00061, Paper 18 (PTAB Apr. 27, 2015)	18, 47

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.