IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS MARSHALL DIVISION

NEODRON LTD.,

Plaintiff,

v.

PANASONIC CORPORATION; PANASONIC CORPORATION OF NORTH AMERICA,

Defendants.

Case No. 2:20-cv-00241-JRG-RSP

LPR 4-3 JOINT CLAIM CONSTRUCTION CHART AND PREHEARING STATEMENT

Pursuant to P.R. 4-3 and the Docket Control Order (Dkt. No. 31), Plaintiff Neodron Ltd. ("Neodron") and Defendants Panasonic Corporation and Panasonic Corporation of North America (collectively, "Panasonic") file this Joint Claim Construction Chart and Prehearing Statement.

A. Terms on Which the Parties Agree (P.R. 4-3(a)(1))

The parties agree to the construction of the following claim terms:

Patent Claim Term/Phrase/Clause	Agreed Construction
1. "the substrate, with drive or sense electrodes of	Plain and ordinary meaning, which is "the
a touch sensor disposed on a first surface and a	substrate, having a first surface and a second
second surface of the substrate, the first surface	surface opposite the first surface, with drive
being opposite the second surface, the drive or	electrodes of a touch sensor disposed on one of the
sense electrodes being made of a conductive mesh	first or second surfaces and sense electrodes of the
conductive material comprising metal"	touch sensor disposed on the other surface opposite
	the drive electrodes, the drive or sense electrodes
('574 Patent, Claims 1, 8)	being made of a conductive mesh conductive
	material comprising metal."
2. "conductive mesh [of] conductive material"	"conductive mesh of conductive material excluding
	transparent conductive materials such as indium tin
('574 Patent, Claims 1, 8, 15)	oxide (ITO)"
	E 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 0 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1



('960 Patent, Claims 1, 9, 17)	
(500 1 410111, 51411115 1, 5, 17)	For claim 9: either the claim elements at lines 26-35 or the claim elements at lines 36-50 must be true.
	For claim 17: either the claim elements at lines 30-37 or the claim elements at lines 38-52 must be true.
4. "conductive mesh of conductive material"	"conductive mesh of conductive material excluding
('960 Patent, Claims 1, 9, 17)	transparent conductive materials such as indium tin oxide (ITO)"
5. "interconnecting mesh segments"	"interconnecting lines of conductive electrode
	material forming a mesh pattern, instead of a
('960 Patent, Claims 1, 9, 17)	continuous layer of conductive electrode material"
6. "pitch"	Plain and ordinary meaning, which is "distance from the center of one electrode to the center of an
('784 Patent, Claims 1-3)	adjacent electrode"
7. "wherein the plurality of drive electrodes are	Plain and ordinary meaning, which is "where the
substantially area filling within the sensing region	drive electrodes are substantially area filling and
relative to the plurality of sense electrodes"	where the drive electrodes are more area filling than
	the sense electrodes."
('784 Patent, Claims 1-3)	
8. "together, the plurality of sense electrodes and	Plain and ordinary meaning, which is "where the
the plurality of isolated conductive elements are	sense electrodes and isolated conductive elements
substantially area filling within the sensing region	are substantially area filling and where the sense
relative to the plurality of sense electrodes"	electrodes and isolated conductive elements are
('784 Patent, Claims 1-3)	more area filling than the sense electrodes."
(70-1 atom, Ciamis 1 3)	

B. Proposed Constructions for Terms on Which the Parties Do Not Agree (P.R. 4-3(a)(2))

There are no terms where the constructions are in dispute.

C. Length of Claim Construction Hearing (P.R. 4-3(a)(3))

Since there are no terms where the constructions are in dispute, the parties request that the

D. Witness and/or Expert Testimony (P.R. 4-3(a)(4))

Court take the claim construction hearing off-calendar.



Since the parties are requesting that the Court take the claim construction hearing offcalendar, none of the parties plans to call any witnesses at the scheduled claim construction hearing.

E. Issues for a Pre-Hearing Conference (P.R. 4-3(a)(5))

The parties do not currently have any issues that need to be taken up with the Court at a pre-hearing conference, and thus do not believe a pre-hearing conference is necessary.

Dated: March 2, 2021 Respectfully submitted,

By: /s/ Reza Mirzaie

Reza Mirzaie (CA Bar No. 246953) C. Jay Chung (CA Bar No. 252794) Philip X. Wang (CA Bar No. 262239) Jonathan Ma (CA Bar No. 312773)

Kristopher R. Davis (CA Bar No. 329627) Christian W. Cronkle (CA Bar No. 306374)

RUSS, AUGUST & KABAT 12424 Wilshire Blvd., 12th Floor

Los Angeles, CA 90025

Telephone: (310) 826-7474 Facsimile: (310) 826-6991

Email: rmirzaie@raklaw.com

jchung@raklaw.com pwang@raklaw.com jma@raklaw.com kdavis@raklaw.com cconkle@raklaw.com

Elizabeth L. DeRieux (TX Bar No. 05770585)

Email: ederieux@capshawlaw.com CAPSHAW DERIEUX, LLP 114 E. Commerce Ave.

Gladewater, TX 75647 Telephone: (903) 845-5770

Attorneys for Plaintiff Neodron Ltd.

DATED: March 2, 2021 Respectfully submitted,



BY: /s/ H. James Abe

Michael J. Newton (TX Bar No. 24003844)

ALSTON & BIRD LLP

Chase Tower

2200 Ross Avenue, Suite 2300

Dallas, TX 75201

Telephone: (214) 922-3400 Facsimile (214) 922-3899

Email: mike.newton@alston.com

H. James Abe (CA Bar No. 265534) Caleb J. Bean (CA Bar No. 299751)

ALSTON & BIRD LLP

333 South Hope Street, 16th Floor

Los Angeles, CA 90071-1410

Telephone: (213) 576-1000 Facsimile: (213) 576-1100 Email: james.abe@alston.com Email: caleb.bean@alston.com

Michael E. Jones (TX Bar No. 10929400)

Earl Glen Thames, Jr. (TX Bar No. 00785097)

POTTER MINTON A Professional

Corporation

110 North College

500 Plaza Tower

Tyler, TX 75702

Telephone: (903) 525-2239 Facsimile: (903) 531-3939

T desimile: (703) 331 3737

Email: mikejones@potterminton.com

Email: glennthames@potterminton.com

Attorneys for Defendants
PANASONIC CORPORATION and
PANASONIC CORPORATION OF NORTH
AMERICA



CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I certify that this document is being served upon counsel for record for Defendants on March 2, 2021 via electronic service.

/s/ Reza Mirzaie Reza Mirzaie

