Case 5:20-cv-05676-EJD Document 13 Filed 09/01/20 Page 1 of 19

1	Case 5.20-cv-05070-EJD Document 15	Filed 09/01/20 Page 1 01 19
1	YAR R. CHAIKOVSKY (SB# 175421)	
2	yarchaikovsky@paulhastings.com PHILIP OU (SB# 259896)	
3	philipou@paulhastings.com JOSEPH J. RUMPLER, II (SB# 296941)	
4	josephrumpler@paulhastings.com BERKELEY FIFE (SB# 325293)	
5	berkeleyfife@paulhastings.com BORIS LUBARSKY (SB# 324896)	
6	borislubarsky@paulhastings.com PAUL HASTINGS LLP	
7	1117 S. California Avenue Palo Alto, California 94304-1106	
8	Telephone: 1(650) 320-1800 Facsimile: 1(650) 320-1900	
9	Attorneys for Plaintiff	
10	APPLIED MATERIALS, INC.	
11	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
12	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
13		
14	APPLIED MATERIALS, INC.,	CASE NO. 5:20-cv-05676
15	Plaintiff,	FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT FOR DECLARATORY JUDGMENT
16	VS.	
17	DEMARAY LLC,	
18	Defendant.	
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT FOR
		DECLARATORY JUDGMENT



NATURE OF THE ACTION

- 1. This is an action for declaratory relief arising under the patent laws of the United States. Applied Materials, Inc. ("Applied") brings the instant action because Defendant Demaray LLC ("Demaray") has filed lawsuits alleging that certain of Applied's customers infringe United States Patent Nos. 7,544,276 and 7,381,657 (the "Asserted Patents") by using "semiconductor manufacturing equipment including reactive magnetron sputtering reactors" manufactured by Applied. True and correct copies of these complaints against Applied's customers are attached as Exhibits A and B. Demaray's lawsuits against Applied's customers have placed a cloud over Applied's products; threatened Applied's business and relationships with its customers and partners, as well as the sales of its reactors; and created a justiciable controversy between Applied and Demaray.
- 2. Therefore, Applied requests declaratory relief as follows: (1) a declaratory judgment that Applied's products do not infringe the Asserted Patents because they do not meet each and every limitation of any asserted claim; (2) declaratory judgment that Applied's products cannot infringe the Asserted Patents because the rights of named inventor, Mukundan Narasimhan ("Narasimhan"), in the Asserted Patents were assigned to Applied, making Applied at least a co-owner of the Asserted Patents that has not joined and will not join Demaray in alleging infringement of the Asserted Patents; and (3) declaratory judgment that Applied's products cannot infringe because Applied has a license to use the Asserted Patents by reason of a license granted to at least Applied Komatsu Technology ("AKT") and Applied Komatsu Technology America, Inc. ("AKTA") (collectively "Applied Komatsu"), affiliates of Applied, by Demaray's predecessor company, Symmorphix, Inc. ("Symmorphix") covering the Asserted Patents; or alternatively, declaratory judgment that Applied's products cannot infringe the Asserted Patents because the rights of one or more of the other named inventors of the Asserted Patents were assigned to AKTA, making AKTA

at least a co-owner of the Asserted Patents that has not been joined and will not join Demaray in alleging infringement of the Asserted Patents.

THE PARTIES

- 3. Plaintiff Applied Materials, Inc. ("Applied") is a corporation organized and existing under the laws of the state of Delaware, with its principal place of business at 3050 Bowers Avenue, Santa Clara, CA 95054-3299. Applied is a leader in materials engineering solutions that creates technology and products used for semiconductor fabrication, including but not limited to reactors in the Endura product line.
- 4. Defendant Demaray LLC ("Demaray") is a limited liability company organized and existing under the laws of the state of Delaware. Dr. Richard Ernest Demaray is the founder of Demaray LLC. Dr. Demaray is also one of the named inventors on the Asserted Patents. Dr. Demaray describes Demaray LLC as a "Silicon Valley, CA" company which "[is] about the portfolio of my patents."

JURISDICTIONAL STATEMENT

- 5. This action arises under the Declaratory Judgment Act, 28 U.S.C. § 2201, and under the patent laws of the United States, 35 U.S.C. §§ 1–390.
- 6. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction over this action under 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331, 1338(a), and 2201(a).
- 7. This Court has personal jurisdiction over Demaray. Among other things, Demaray has continuous and systematic business contacts with Northern California. Demaray's "founder and president," Dr. Demaray, describes Demaray as a "Silicon Valley, CA" company:²

² https://www.edemaray.com/bios.html; https://www.linkedin.com/in/ernestdemarayphd/



¹ https://www.edemaray.com/bios.html

Experience:

Founder and President: Demaray LLC March 2013 – Present Silicon Valley CA

Intellectual Property invention and development, including licensing for thin film energy conversion and storage technologies and devices, thin film optics, solar energy, photo-chemistry, solid state Li+ ion films and devices, advanced thin film coatings and devices.

3

1

2

4

5

6

7

8 9

10

11 12

13

15

14

16 17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Founder and President Demaray LLC

Jan 2006 - Present · 14 yrs 7 mos Silicon Valley CA

Intellectual Property invention and development, including licensing for thin film energy conversion and storage technologies and devices, thin film optics, solar energy, photo-chemistry, solid state Li+ ion films and devices, advanced thin film coatings and devices.

On information and belief, according to Demaray's website, several of the Board members and employees of Demaray are from and/or based in Northern California.³ Under its "Partners" subpage, Demaray's website lists the University of California at Santa Cruz as one of its primary partners, and claims that "Professor Kobayashi of UCSC is working with Demaray LLC to further develop the Sun2Fiber technology with a grant from ARPA-E." Further, on information and belief, the technology underlying the Asserted Patents was allegedly developed in Northern California.

8. In addition, this Court has personal jurisdiction over Demaray because Demaray has purposefully directed into California its enforcement activities regarding the Asserted Patents. As referenced above, Demaray has filed complaints against Intel (headquartered in Northern California)⁵ and Samsung (conducts substantial business operations related to the allegedly infringing technology in Northern California and holds a large US office in Northern California).⁶ Further, Demaray's complaints against both Samsung and Intel accuse Applied technology, and Applied is also headquartered in Northern California. And, at least against Samsung, Demaray

⁷ http://www.appliedmaterials.com/company/contact/locations



³ https://www.edemaray.com/bios.html

⁴ https://www.edemarav.com/partners.html

⁵ https://www.intel.com/content/www/us/en/support/articles/000015107/programs.html

⁶ Error! Hyperlink reference not valid. https://www.samsung.com/us/ssic/location/san-jose-ca/

relies on meetings occurring in Northern California to establish alleged pre-suit notice and knowledge of the Asserted Patents, and alleged willful infringement.⁸

- 9. Venue is proper in this District under 28 U.S.C. §§ 1391(b), (c), because a substantial part of the events giving rise to Applied's claim occurred in this district, and because Demaray is subject to personal jurisdiction here.
- 10. On information and belief, Dr. Demaray has filed over a hundred patents over the course of his career, which has been spent almost entirely in Northern California. After receiving the entirety of his education at schools located in Northern California (Cal State Hayward and the University of California at Santa Cruz), Dr. Demaray has spent almost 40 years working at California-based companies, including Applied Komatsu, Varian Semiconductor, Symmorphix, and Demaray. Demaray.
- 11. On information and belief, in addition to Dr. Demaray, Ravi Mullapudi, one of the other named inventors of the Asserted Patents, also resides in Northern California. On information and belief, Gary Edwards, the patent prosecution attorney for the Asserted Patents, resides in Northern California. Further, on information and belief, the research and development of the Asserted Patents was performed in Northern California. On information and belief, the Sales and Relationship Agreement between Applied Komatsu and Symmorphix, which granted Applied Komatsu a license to the Asserted Patents, as detailed *infra*, was negotiated and executed in Northern California.
- 12. An immediate, real, and justiciable controversy exists between Applied and Demaray as to whether Applied and/or Applied's products are infringing or have infringed the Asserted Patents.

¹¹ https://www.haynesboone.com/people/e/edwards-gary



⁸ *See* Ex. B at 24–25.

⁹ https://www.linkedin.com/in/ernestdemarayphd/

Id.

DOCKET

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

