

1 QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART
& SULLIVAN, LLP
2 Kevin P.B. Johnson (Bar No. 177129)
kevinjohnson@quinnemanuel.com
3 Todd M. Briggs (Bar No. 209282)
toddbriggs@quinnemanuel.com
4 555 Twin Dolphin Drive, 5th Floor
Redwood Shores, California 94065
5 Telephone: (650) 801-5000
Facsimile: (650) 801-5100

6 QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART
& SULLIVAN, LLP
7 Eric Huang (*pro hac vice application forthcoming*)
erichuang@quinnemanuel.com
8 51 Madison Avenue, 22nd Floor
New York, New York 10010
9 Telephone: (212) 849-7000
10 Facsimile: (212) 849-7100

11 Attorneys for Plaintiffs
NANTWORKS, LLC and NANT HOLDINGS IP, LLC

12
13 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
14 FOR THE CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
15

16 NANTWORKS, LLC, a Delaware
17 limited liability company, and NANT
HOLDINGS IP, LLC, a Delaware
18 limited liability company,

19 Plaintiffs,

20 vs.

21 BANK OF AMERICA
CORPORATION, a Delaware
22 corporation, and BANK OF
AMERICA, N.A., a national banking
23 association,

24 Defendants.

CASE NO. 2:20-cv-7872-GW-PVC

**FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT
FOR PATENT INFRINGEMENT,
COPYRIGHT INFRINGEMENT,
TRADE SECRET
MISAPPROPRIATION, AND
BREACH OF CONTRACT**

JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

Trial Date: None Set

25
26
27
28

1 Plaintiffs NantWorks, LLC and Nant Holdings IP, LLC (“Nant IP”)
2 (collectively, “NantWorks” or “Plaintiffs”), through their attorneys and for their
3 claims against Defendants Bank of America Corporation (“BAC”) and Bank of
4 America, N.A. (“BNA”) (collectively, “BoA” or “Defendants”), allege as follows:

5 **THE PARTIES**

6 1. Plaintiff NantWorks, LLC is a Delaware limited liability company with
7 its principal place of business at 9920 Jefferson Boulevard, Culver City, CA 90232.

8 2. Plaintiff Nant Holdings IP, LLC is a Delaware limited liability company
9 with its principal place of business at 9920 Jefferson Boulevard, Culver City, CA
10 90232.

11 3. Upon information and belief, Defendant Bank of America Corporation
12 is a Delaware corporation with its principal place of business at Bank of America
13 Corporate Center, 100 N. Tryon Street, Charlotte, NC 28255.

14 4. Upon information and belief, Defendant Bank of America, N.A. is a
15 federally chartered national banking association organized and existing under the laws
16 of the United States and a wholly owned subsidiary of Bank of America Corporation,
17 with its principal place of business at Bank of America Corporate Center, 100 N.
18 Tryon Street, Charlotte, NC 28255.

19 **JURISDICTION AND VENUE**

20 5. This civil action contains claims for patent infringement arising under
21 the patent laws of the United States, 35 U.S.C. § 1 *et seq.*

22 6. This civil action contains claims for copyright infringement arising under
23 the copyright laws of the United States, 17 U.S.C. § 1 *et seq.*

24 7. This civil action contains claims for trade secret misappropriation arising
25 under the Defend Trade Secrets Act of 2016, 18 U.S.C. § 1836 *et seq.*

26 8. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction under 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and
27 1338(a) because this action arises under the patent laws of the United States, 35
28 U.S.C. § 1 *et seq.*, the copyright laws of the United States, 17 U.S.C. § 1 *et seq.*, and

1 the Defend Trade Secrets Act of 2016, 18 U.S.C. § 1836 *et seq.*

2 9. This Court has supplemental jurisdiction over NantWorks' state law
3 claims pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1367(a).

4 10. This Court has personal jurisdiction over BoA because it has committed
5 acts in this District that give rise to all acts of infringement and misappropriation
6 asserted herein. This Court also has personal jurisdiction over BoA because it has
7 substantial, systematic and continuous contacts with this District. BoA has a regular
8 and established place of business in the State of California and in this District,
9 including operating hundreds of bank branches and ATMs in California and in this
10 judicial District, and conducts business with its customers residing in this District both
11 through its bank branches and ATMs and its online and mobile banking services.

12 11. BoA has committed and continues to commit acts of infringement in
13 violation of 35 U.S.C. § 271, and has made, used, marketed, distributed, offered for
14 sale, sold, and/or imported infringing products in the State of California, including in
15 this District, and engaged in infringing conduct within and directed at or from this
16 District. For example, on information and belief, BoA has numerous customers who
17 utilize BoA's mobile check deposit software for mobile check deposit, thereby
18 infringing and causing BoA to infringe the Asserted Patents.

19 12. Venue is proper in this District under the provisions of 28 U.S.C.
20 §§ 1391 and 1400(b) at least because a substantial part of the events or omissions
21 giving rise to the claims occurred in this judicial district, and because BoA has
22 committed acts of infringement in this District and has a regular and established place
23 of business in this District.

24 **INTRODUCTION**

25 13. This dispute is based on BoA's unauthorized use and misappropriation
26 of NantWorks' pioneering image recognition technology in BoA's widely used
27 mobile check deposit solution.

28 14. In early 2010, Matt Calman, a BoA executive, witnessed a demonstration

1 of NantWorks’ image recognition technology.¹ He was “very impressed” by
2 NantWorks’ technology and approached NantWorks regarding a partnership
3 involving image recognition solutions for mobile devices. The companies then
4 entered into a series of agreements that would allow BoA to evaluate NantWorks’
5 image recognition technology and for both companies to collaborate in
6 commercializing this technology in new and impactful applications, including mobile
7 check deposit.

8 15. Pursuant to their agreements, during 2011 and 2012 NantWorks
9 developed mobile check deposit software that vastly outperformed BoA’s then
10 existing solution. BoA was intrigued by the performance of NantWorks’ mobile
11 check deposit software and led NantWorks to believe that BoA would incorporate
12 NantWorks’ mobile check deposit software into the commercial version of BoA’s
13 Mobile Banking application and compensate NantWorks for the use of its technology.
14 After delivering a complete version of NantWorks’ software and related confidential
15 technical information, however, NantWorks did not receive further updates on the
16 project. NantWorks assumed that BoA decided to pursue its existing technology.

17 16. Several years later, NantWorks uncovered information demonstrating
18 that BoA not only continued to use NantWorks’ software without authorization, but
19 that BoA had incorporated NantWorks’ proprietary image recognition technology and
20 NantWorks’ intellectual property into its mobile check deposit solution. As explained
21 below, BoA’s actions give rise to NantWorks’ claims for patent infringement,
22 copyright infringement, trade secret misappropriation, and breach of contract.

23
24
25

26 ¹ Formed in 2011, NantWorks (through predecessor companies) acquired a
27 number of image recognition companies, including IPPLEX in August 2010 and
28 Evryx in February 2011. Reference to Nantworks in this Complaint refers to both
Nantworks and its predecessor entities including IPPLEX and Evryx.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

FACTUAL BACKGROUND

NantWorks, Evryx, and IPPLEX

17. NantWorks was formed in 2011 to, among other things, develop solutions to real-world machine vision and image recognition challenges. NantWorks recognized the benefits of using mobile devices to recognize features in digital images and the application of such technology to numerous industries, including financial services. To expand its depth in this field, NantWorks (through predecessor companies) acquired a number of image recognition companies, including IPPLEX in August 2010 and Evryx in February 2011.

18. Evryx had developed and patented fundamental image recognition technology in the early 2000s. Its technology allowed mobile devices to capture images/video, recognize specific features in the images/video, and provide information associated with the recognized features to users. Evryx’s technology was years ahead of its time, being developed well before the introduction of the first iPhone in 2007 and other early smartphones. Indeed, Evryx’s technology was developed when mobile phones had very limited functionality and certainly nothing approaching the image recognition technologies that are in use today. For example, one of the most successful mobile phones released in the early 2000s was the Nokia 3310 shown below, which did not include any capability for image recognition technology, let alone an integrated camera²:

² https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Nokia_3310#/media/File:Nokia_3310_blue.jpg

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.