

DOCKET NO.: 1652875-00151US8

Filed on behalf of PNC Bank, NA.

By: David Cavanaugh, Reg. No. 36,476 (Lead Counsel)
Monica Grewal, Reg. No. 40,056 (First Backup Counsel)
Gregory Lantier (pro hac vice to be filed) (Backup Counsel)
Taeg Sang Cho, Reg. No. 69,618 (Backup Counsel)

Wilmer Cutler Pickering Hale and Dorr LLP
1875 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW
Washington, DC 20006
Email: david.cavanaugh@wilmerhale.com
monica.grewal@wilmerhale.com
gregory.lantier@wilmerhale.com
tim.cho@wilmerhale.com

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

PNC BANK, N.A.,

Petitioner,

v.

UNITED SERVICES AUTOMOBILE ASSOCIATION,

Patent Owner.

Case IPR2021-01077
U.S. Patent No. 10,621,559

**PETITION FOR *INTER PARTES* REVIEW OF
U.S. PATENT NO. 10,621,559
CHALLENGING CLAIMS 1-18
UNDER 35 U.S.C. § 312 AND 37 C.F.R. § 42.104**

Table of Contents

	<u>Page</u>
Table of Contents.....	ii
I. INTRODUCTION.....	1
II. MANDATORY NOTICES.....	2
A. Real Party-In-Interest.....	2
B. Related Matters.....	2
C. Counsel.....	3
D. Service Information.....	4
III. CERTIFICATION OF GROUNDS FOR STANDING.....	4
IV. OVERVIEW OF CHALLENGE AND RELIEF REQUESTED.....	5
A. Prior Art References.....	5
B. Grounds for Challenge.....	7
V. TECHNOLOGY BACKGROUND.....	8
A. Bank Check.....	8
B. Electronic Check Processing.....	8
C. Remote Check Deposit System.....	9
VI. THE '559 PATENT.....	10
A. Brief Description.....	10
B. Prosecution History.....	12
VII. CLAIM CONSTRUCTION.....	12

VIII.	PERSON OF ORDINARY SKILL IN THE ART.....	15
IX.	PRINCIPAL PRIOR ART REFERENCES.....	16
A.	Garcia.....	16
B.	Randle	18
C.	Slater	21
X.	SPECIFIC GROUNDS FOR UNPATENTABILITY	23
A.	Ground I: Claims 1-3 and 10-12 are Obvious over Garcia in view of Randle and Slater.....	23
1.	Garcia in view of Randle and Slater	23
2.	Independent Claim 1	33
3.	Claim 2	67
4.	Claim 3	69
5.	Independent Claim 10	69
6.	Claim 11	72
7.	Claim 12	73
B.	Ground II: Claims 4, 9, 13, and 18 are Obvious Over Garcia in view of Randle, Slater, and Lev	73
1.	Claim 4	73
2.	Claim 9	76
3.	Claim 13	77
4.	Claim 18	78
C.	Ground III: Claims 5 and 14 are Obvious Over Garcia in view of Randle, Slater, Lev, and Watanabe	78
1.	Claim 5	78

2.	Claim 14	81
D.	Ground IV: Claims 6 and 15 are Obvious Over Garcia in view of Randle, Slater, Lev, Watanabe, and Aoyama.....	82
1.	Claim 6	82
2.	Claim 15	84
E.	Ground V: Claims 7, 8, 16, and 17 are Obvious Over Garcia in view of Randle, Slater, and Byrne.....	84
1.	Claim 7	84
2.	Claim 8	86
3.	Claim 16	88
4.	Claim 17	88
XI.	DISCRETIONARY DENIAL IS NOT WARRANTED	88
A.	PO Asserts Co-Pending Litigation Should Not Prevent IPR Institution.....	88
B.	<i>Fintiv</i> Factors Favor Institution.	89
C.	New Prior Art and Arguments Favor Institution.....	90
XII.	CONCLUSION.....	91

I. INTRODUCTION

U.S. Patent No. 10,621,559 (the “’559 patent”) reuses long-known and routine operations for depositing a bank check using a personal device. Although the ’559 patent claims a novel system that processes a check deposit using only the check’s image, every claim element was known in the prior art at the time the ’559 patent was allegedly invented.

Specifically, claim 1 of the ’559 patent recites (1) a “first processing circuitry” for “receiv[ing] a digital image ... of the check ... transmitted using a mobile device,” “convert[ing] the digital image into a second image format,” validating “an amount for the remote deposit of the check,” and “perform[ing] duplicate check detection”; and (2) a “second processing circuitry” for “accepting the digital image for check deposit.”

None of these claim requirements are new. WO 2005/043857 to Garcia discloses a remote check deposit system in which a bank computer receives a check image from a user’s mobile device. U.S. Publication No. 2006/0106717 to Randle teaches a back-end check processing system that includes: (1) a payee’s bank that performs routine check processing operations, including converting image formats and detecting duplicate check deposits, and (2) a payor’s bank that accepts the digital image for check deposit. EP0984410 to Slater further teaches validating the check amount. It would have been obvious to combine Garcia’s

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.