

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS  
MARSHALL DIVISION**

JAPAN DISPLAY, INC., PANASONIC LIQUID CRYSTAL DISPLAY CO., LTD.,	§	
Plaintiff,	§	
v.	§	Case No. 2:20-cv-00283-JRG LEAD CASE
TIANMA MICROELECTRONICS CO. LTD.	§	
Defendant.	§	
JAPAN DISPLAY, INC.,	§	
Plaintiff,	§	
v.	§	Case No. 2:20-cv-00284-JRG Case No. 2:20-cv-00285-JRG
TIANMA MICROELECTRONICS CO. LTD.	§	
Defendant.	§	

**ORDER**

Before the Court is the Joint Motion to Consolidate Proceedings (the “Motion”) filed by Plaintiffs Japan Display Inc. and Panasonic Liquid Crystal Display Co., Ltd. and Defendant Tianma Microelectronics Co. Ltd. (Dkt. No. 52). In the Motion, the parties seek to consolidate the above-captioned cases pending before the Court.


Having considered the Motion, and noting its joint nature, the Court finds that it should be and hereby is **GRANTED**. The above-captioned cases are hereby **ORDERED** to be **CONSOLIDATED** for all pretrial issues with the LEAD CASE, Case No. 2:20-cv-00283. All

parties are instructed to file any future filings in the LEAD CASE. Individual cases remain active for trial.

The Clerk is instructed to add only the Lead and Local Counsel of the consolidated parties into the Lead Case. Additional counsel may file a Notice of Appearance in the Lead Case if they wish to continue as counsel of record in the lead consolidated action. Counsel who has appeared *pro hac vice* in any member case may file a Notice of Appearance in the Lead Case without filing an additional application to appear *pro hac vice* in the Lead Case. Counsel who have not appeared in a member case at the point when it is consolidated into the Lead Case should file a Notice of Appearance only in the Lead Case, and such Notice should state the relevant member case.

**So Ordered this**

**Apr 20, 2021**

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
RODNEY GILSTRAP  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE