UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD
CONFIGIT A/S, Petitioner
V.
VERSATA DEVELOPMENT GROUP, INC. Patent Owner ———
Case IPR2021-01055 Patent No. 6,836,766 B1

PETITION FOR INTER PARTES REVIEW OF UNITED STATES PATENT NO. 6,836,766 PURSUANT TO 35 U.S.C. §§ 311–319, 37 C.F.R. § 42



TABLE OF CONTENTS

1.	IDEN.	HIFICATION OF CHALLENGE AND REQUESTED RELIEF.	1
	A. Puł	olic Accessibility of Prior Art	2
II.	NOTE	ON EMPHASIS	6
III.	SUMN	MARY OF THE '766 PATENT	6
IV.		ECUTION HISTORY: THE '766 PATENT WAS NOT TESTENST PRIOR ART	
V.	PERSON OF ORDINARY SKILL IN THE ART		
	A. Qualifications of the POSITA		
	Coı	ing a Computer System to Test a Product Configuration for infiguration Errors As Claimed Was Well Known to the POSITA he '766 Patent	
VI.	CLAIN	M CONSTRUCTION	11
VII.	CLAIN	MS 1-5 & 9-19 ARE UNPATENTABLE	12
	A. Ground 1: Oracle1 in view of Oracle2 Renders Claims 1, 9-10, 14, 19 Obvious		
	1.	Overview of Oracle1	12
	2.	Overview of Oracle2	14
	3.	Independent Claim 1	14
	4.	Dependent Claim 9	24
	5.	Dependent Claim 10	25
	6.	Dependent Claim 14	26
	7.	Dependent Claim 19	30
	8.	Reasons to Combine Oracle1 and Oracle2	31
		ound 2: The Oracle1-Oracle2 Combination in Further View of esPlus Renders Claims 15 and 16 Obvious	33
	1.	Overview of SalesPlus	33
	2.	Dependent Claim 15	33
	3.	Dependent Claim 16	
	4.	Reasons to Combine SalesPlus with the Oracle1-Oracle2 Combination	



		ound 3: The Oracle1-Oracle2 Combination in Further View of			
	Sal	esPlus, and Yu Renders Claims 11-13 and 17 Obvious			
	1.	Overview of Yu			
	2.	Additional Overview of SalesPlus	38		
	3.	Dependent Claim 11	38		
	4.	Dependent Claim 12	40		
	5.	Dependent Claim 13	43		
	6.	Dependent Claim 17	46		
	7.	Reasons to Combine Yu and SalesPlus with the Oracle1-Oracle Combination			
		ound 4: The Oracle1-Oracle2 Combination in Further View of Moders Claims 2 and 18 Obvious			
	1.	Background	52		
	2.	Overview of Memon	54		
	3.	Memon is Analogous Art	55		
	4.	Dependent Claim 2	56		
	5.	Dependent Claim 18	60		
	6.	Reasons to Combine	60		
		ound 5: The Oracle1-Oracle2-Memon Combination in Further VesPlus Renders Claims 3-5 Obvious			
	1.	Dependent Claim 3	62		
	2.	Dependent Claim 4	64		
	3.	Dependent Claim 5	68		
	4.	Reasons to Combine	69		
VIII.	DISCF	RETIONARY CONSIDERATIONS	72		
	A. The <i>Fintiv</i> Factors Weigh in Favor of Institution—35 U.S.C. § 314(a).72				
IX.	GROU	JNDS FOR STANDING—37 C.F.R. §42.104(a)	74		
X.	MANI	DATORY NOTICES	74		
	A. Rea	al Party-In-Interest Under 37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(1)	74		
	B. Rel	lated Matters Under 37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(2)	74		
	C. Lea	ad And Back-Up Counsel Under 37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(3)	75		



	D. Service Information	75
XI.	CONCLUSION	75



EXHIBITS

U.S. Patent No. 6,836,766 to Gilpin ("the '766 patent") 1001 1002 Declaration of Dr. Kristin L. Wood, Ph.D. (with Curriculum Vitae) 1003 Oracle Configurator Developer 11i User's Guide, Release 11i for Windows 95/98 and Windows NT 4.0, April 2000, Part No. A73280-05 ("Oracle1") Oracle Configurator Configuration Interface Object (CIO) Developer's 1004 Guide, Release 11i, March 2000, Part No. A81001-03 ("Oracle2") Beologic A/S Reference Guide for the beologic salesPLUS Product 1005 Configurator, C language API, Version 2.0, 1995 ("SalesPlus") Bei Yu and H. J. Skovgaard, "A configuration tool to increase product 1006 competitiveness," in IEEE Intelligent Systems and their Applications, vol. 13, no. 4, pp. 34-41, July-Aug. 1998, doi: 10.1109/5254.708431; print ISSN: 1094-7167, electronic ISSN: 2374-9423 ("Yu") Atif M. Memon, Martha E. Pollack, Mary Lou Soffa, "Automated Test 1007 Oracles for GUIs", Proceedings of the Eighth International Symposium on the Foundation of Software Engineering (FSE-8), San Diego, CA, Nov. 6, 2000, https://dl.acm.org/doi/proceedings/10.1145/355045, ISBN: 978-1-58113-205-2 ("Memon") Declaration of Ms. Tina Brand 1008 1009 Declaration of Mr. Andrew Wolfe 1010 Declaration of Mr. Jacob Munford 1011 File Wrapper of the '766 Patent 1012 U.S. Patent No. 5,825,651 to Gupta 1013 File Wrapper of U.S. Patent No. 5,825,651 1014 Docket in Versata Software Inc. et al., v. Configit A/S., No. 20-CV-9019 (C.D. Cal.)



DOCKET

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

