

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

GOOGLE LLC,

Petitioner,

v.

NEONODE SMARTPHONE LLC,

Patent Owner.

Case No. IPR2021-01041

U.S. Patent No. 8,095,879

DECLARATION OF JACOB O. WOBROCK, PH.D.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I. INTRODUCTION10

II. QUALIFICATIONS11

 A. Education12

 B. Work Experience13

III. MATERIALS CONSIDERED22

IV. LEGAL STANDARDS24

V. LEVEL OF ORDINARY SKILL IN THE ART27

VI. CLAIM CONSTRUCTION28

VII. BACKGROUND OF THE '879 PATENT30

VIII. GROUNDS OF UNPATENTABILITY33

IX. CLAIMS 1-7, 9, 12, 13, and 15-17 WOULD HAVE BEEN
OBVIOUS TO ONE SKILLED IN THE ART BASED ON THE
PRIOR ART IN GROUNDS 1-6.....34

 A. [Ground 1] Claims 1-5, 13, and 15-17 are rendered obvious by
 Robertson and Maddalozzo34

 1. Overview of Robertson35

 a. Robertson Was Distributed to Persons of Ordinary
 Skill41

 2. Overview of Maddalozzo44

 3. Independent Claim 145

 a. [1Preamble] “A non-transitory computer readable
 medium storing a computer program with
 computer program code, which, when read by a
 mobile handheld computer unit, allows the
 computer to present a user interface for the mobile

handheld computer unit, the user interface comprising:”45

- i. Motivation to implement Robertson’s user interface in a mobile handheld computer unit.....50
- ii. Expectation of success in implementing Robertson on mobile handheld computer units54

- b. [1a] “a touch sensitive area in which a representation of a function is provided,”56
- c. [1b] “wherein the representation consists of only one option for activating the function and”61
- d. [1c] “wherein the function is activated by a multi-step operation comprising (i) an object touching the touch sensitive area at a location where the representation is provided and then (ii) the object gliding along the touch sensitive area away from the touched location,”63
- e. [1d] “wherein the representation of the function is not relocated or duplicated during the gliding.”69

- 4. [Claim 2] “wherein the function, when activated, causes the user interface to display icons representing different services or settings for a currently active application.”70
 - i. Motivation to display icons representing services or settings74
 - ii. Expectation of success in displaying icons representing services or settings.....76
- 5. [Claim 3] “wherein the user interface is characterised in, that a selection of a preferred service or setting is done by tapping on a display icon corresponding to the preferred service or setting.”77

- i. Motivation to tap an icon to select a setting or service78
 - ii. Expectation of success in tapping an icon to select a setting or service.....79
 - 6. [Claim 4] “wherein the function, when activated, causes the user interface to display a keyboard and a text field.”80
 - i. Motivation to display a touch-sensitive keyboard with the text fields84
 - ii. Expectation of success in displaying a touch-sensitive keyboard with the text fields.....84
 - 7. [Claim 5] “wherein said text field is used for inputting and editing of text through said keyboard.”85
 - 8. [Claim 13] “wherein the user interface is characterised in, that said representation of said function is located at the bottom of said touch sensitive area.”86
 - 9. [Claim 15] “characterised in, that said computer program code is adapted to function as a shell upon an operating system.”88
 - 10. [Claim 16] “wherein the representation is finger-sized.”89
 - i. Motivation to use finger-sized buttons.....90
 - ii. Expectation of success in displaying finger-sized buttons91
 - 11. [Claim 17] “wherein the location where the representation is provided does not provide touch functionality for a different function.”92
- B. [Ground 2] Claims 6, 7, and 9 are rendered obvious by Robertson, Maddalozzo, and Vayda94
 - 1. Overview of Vayda94

2.	[Claim 6] “wherein the function, when activated, causes the user interface to display a list with a library of available applications and files on the mobile handheld computer unit.”	97
	i. Motivation to display a menu list with a library of available applications and files	99
	ii. Expectation of success in displaying a menu listing a library of available applications and files	100
3.	[Claim 7] “wherein the user interface is characterised in, that a selection of an application or file is done by gliding the object along said touch sensitive area so that a representation of a desired one of said application or file is highlighted, raising said object from said touch sensitive area, and then tapping on said touch sensitive area.”	102
	i. Motivation to include highlighting scroll and confirmation tap operation in Robertson’s user interface	107
	ii. Expectation of success in including highlighting scroll and confirmation tap operation.....	108
4.	[Claim 9] “wherein the user interface is characterised in, that, one item in said list is highlighted by a moveable marking, and the user interface enables list navigation whereby gliding the object along the touch sensitive area in a direction towards the top of said list or towards the bottom of said list causes said marking to move in the same direction without scrolling the list.”	109
C.	[Ground 3] Claim 12 rendered obvious by Robertson, Maddalozzo, and Bedford-Roberts	111
1.	[Claim 12] “wherein the user interface is characterised in, that an active application, function, service or setting is	

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.